TRIAL

OF

WILLIAM WEMMS, JAMES HARTEGAN, WILLIAM M'CAULEY, HUGH WHITE, MATTHEW KILLROY, WILLIAM WARREN, JOHN CARROL, and HUGH MONTGOMERY, Soldiers in his Majesty's 29th Regiment of Foot,

FOR THE MURDER OF

CRISPUS ATTUCKS, SAMUEL GRAY, SAMUEL MAVERICK, JAMES CALDWELL, and PATRICK CARR,

Monday Evening, the 5th of March, 1770.

Superior Court of Judicature, Court of Affize, and general Goal Delivery,

HELD AT

Boston, the 27th Day of November, 1770, by Adjournment,

Before the Hon. Benjamin Lynde, John Cushing, Peter Oliver, and Edmund Trowbridge, Efgrs. Justices of the faid Court.

Taken in Short-Hand by JOHN HODGSON, and published by Permission of the Court.

BOSTON PRINTED,
LONDON reprinted, for T. EVANS, No. 54.
PATER-NOSTER-ROW.

MI AN EMENT SHEETERS THE MEANING HE ON WHITE WANTED WITH THE WANTED and John Cantin, audition Many Mor like of the factor blocked CHARLES TO rar MURBERT Fo.R Affrond Chinese Gash MI had imones TA A A A CE T TILL Boyran, plu 29th 10th of 110th ner, app. AMERICAN PROPERTY A Tell's the Unit Carry and The Jone Coulder Seem to each employers for Fore your and but marked Aug This of the er, Control of the State of the Sta SECTION TO THE TOTAL Louis Color Cri

GE Bri

Fai

pre

Tan

Wb

bou er,

and

all

the on

fift in wil

TRIAL

O F

sting leader

William Wemms, James Hartegen, William M'Cauley, Hug White, Matthew Killroy, William Warren, John Carrol, and Hugh Montgomery,

FOR THE MURDER OF

Crifpus Attucks, Samuel Gray, Samuel Maverick, James Caldwell, and Patrick Carr.

The Indicament was as follows.

This Majesty's Superior Court of Judicature,
Court of Assize and general Goal Delivery,
begun and held at Boston, within and for the
County of Suffolk, on the second Tuesday of
March, in the the tenth year of the reign of
GEORGE the THIRD, by the Grace of GOD, of GreatBritain, France and Ireland, King, desender of the
Faith, &c.

The Jurors for the said Lord the King, upon their oath present, that Thomas Presson, Esq; William Wemms, labourer, James Hartegan labourer, William M'Cauley labourer, Hugh White labourer, Matthew Killroy labourer, William Warren labourer, John Carrol labourer, and Hugh Montgomery labourer, all now resident in Boston, in the county of Susfolk, and Hammond Green boat builder, Thomas Greenwood labourer, Edward Manwaring, Esq; and John Munroe, Gentleman, all of Boston aforesaid, not having the sear of God before their eyes, but being moved and seduced by the instigation of the devil and their own wicked hearts, did, on the fifth day of this instant March, at Boston aforesaid, within the county aforesaid, with force and arms, seloniously, willfully, and of their malice aforethought, assault one Crispus Assuchs, then and there being in the peace of God, and

marc

faid]

for

Matt Mont ting

and i

dicte

Thom

Hari

Hugh

ting,

the i

A

for Pref

Hug ing faid

afor

fr

Pref

Mat

Mon

affift

and

O

met bar,

C

and of the faid Lord the King, and that he the faid William Warren, with a certain hand gun of the value of twenty shillings, which he the faid William Warren then and there held in both his hands, charged with gun powder and two leaden bullets, then and there, feloniously, wilfully, and of his malice aforethought, did shoot off, and de harge at and against the faid Crifpus Attucks, and that the William Warren, with the leaden bullets as aforesaid, out of the faid hand gun, then and there by force of the faid gun powder, fo that off and discharged as aforesaid, did then and there feloniously, willfully, and of his make a forethought strike, penetrate, and wound the faid Criffous Attucks in and upon the right breast, a little below the right pap of him the faid Crifpus, and in and upon the left breaft a little below the left pap of him the faid Crifpus, thereby giving to him the faid Crifpus, with one of the bullets aforelaid, to shot off and discharged as aforesaid, in and upon the right breaft, a little below the right pap of him the faid Crifpus, one mortal wound of the depth of fix inches, and of the width of one inch; and also thereby giving to him the said Crifpus, with the other bullet aforesaid, so shot off and discharged by the said William Warren as aforesaid, in and upon the left breast, a little below the left pap of him the said Crifpus, one other mortal wound, of the depth of fix inches, and of the width of one inch, of which faid mortal wounds, the faid Griffous Attucks then and there instantly died; and that the aforesaid Thomas Preston, Williums, James Hartegan, William Me Cauley, Hugh White, Matthew Killroy, John Carrol, Hugh Montgomery, Hammond Green, Thomas Greenwood, Edward Manwaring, and John Munroe, then and there, feloniously, wilfully, and of their malice aforethought, were present aiding, helping, abetting, comforting, affilting, and maintaining the faid William Warren, to do and commit the felony and murder aforefaid.

And so the Jurors aforesaid, upon their said oath, do say, that the said Thomas Presson, William Wemms, James Hartegan, William McCauley, Hugh Wh te, Matthew Killroy, William Warren, John Carrol, Hugh Montgomery, Hammond Green, Thomas Greenwood, Edward Manwaring, and John Munroe, then and there in manner and form aforesaid, seloniously, wilfully, and of their maliee aforethought, did kill and murder

marder the faid Criffus Attacks, against the peace of the faid Lord the King, his crown and dignity.

JON. SEWALL, Att. pro Dom. Rege.

This is a true Bill.

ity

wo

nd

at

id

ut

id.

lid

a.

us

ht

by

a-

Pro

he

to

ot

d,

m

of

id

d-

e.

nd

ir

-

100

.

i-

e,

d

1

WM. TAYLOR, Foreman.

At the same Court the said James Harregan was indicted for the murder of Samuel Gray; and the said Thomas Profession, Esq; William Wenns, William M. Cauley, Hugh White, Matthew Rillian, William Warren, John Carrol, and Hugh Montgomery, for being present, aiding, helping and abetting the said James Harregan to do and commit the selony and murder aforesaid. Act. SAML. WINTHROP, Clerk.

And at the same Court the said Matthew Killrey, was indicted for the murder of one Samuel Maverick; and the said Ibomas Presson, William Wemms, William M. Cauley, James Hartegan, Hugh White, William Warren, John Carrol, and Hugh Montgomery, for being present, aiding, helping, abetting, and assisting the said Matthew Killrey, to do and commit the selony and murder aforesaid.

Att. SAML. WINTHROP, Clerk.

And at the same Court the said John Carrol was indicted for the murder of one James Caldwell; and the said Thomas Presson, William Wemms, William M'Cauley, James Hartegan, Hugh White, William Warren, and Hugh Montgomery, for being present, aiding, helping, abetting, and assisting the said John Carrol to do and commit the selony and murder aforesaid.

Acr. SAML. WINTHROP, Clerk.

And at the same Court the said Hugh White was indicted for the murder of one Patrick Carr, and the said Thomas Presson, William Wemms, James Hartegan, William McCauley, Matthew Killroy, William Warren, John Carrol, and Hugh Montgomery for being present, aiding, helping, abetting, and assisting the said Hugh White to do and commit the selony and murder aloresaid. Att. SAML. WINTHROP, Clerk.

On Saturday, the 27th November, 1770, the Court being met, the prisoners were brought into Court and set to the bar, when the Court proceeded thus.

victor thou franch readfloor

Clerk of the Court (read the indiament to them as before: Clerk.

Clerk. How fayest thou, William Wemms, art thou guilty of the felony and murder whereof thou standest indicted, or not guilty?

William Wemms. Not guilty. Clerk. How wilt thou be tried?

William Wemms. By God and my country.

Clerk. God fend thee a good deliverance.

Clerk. How fayest thou, James Hartegan, art thou guilty of the felony and murder whereof thou standest indicted, or not guilty?

James Hartegan. Not guilty.

James Hartegan. By God and my country. Clerk. God fend thee a good deliverance.

Clerk. How fayest thou, William M'Cauley, art thou guilty of the felony and murder whereof thou standest indicted, or not guilty?

William M. Cauley. Not guilty. Clerk. How wilt thou be tried?

William M. Cauley. By God and my country.

Clerk. How fayest thou, Hugh White, art thou guilty of the felony and murder whereof thou standest indicted, or not guilty?

- Hugh White. Not guilty.

Clerk. How wilt thou be tried?

Hugh White. By God and my country. Clerk. God fend thee a good deliverance.

Clerk. How fayest thou, Matthew Killroy, art thou guilty of the felony and murder whereof thou standest indicted or not guilty?

Matthew Killrey. Not guilty. Clerk. How wilt thou be tried?

Matthew Killroy. By God and my country. Clerk. God fend thee a good deliverance.

Clerk. How fayek thou, William Warren, art thou guilty of the felony and murder whereof thou standest indicted, or not guilty?

William Warren. Not guilty. Clerk. How wilt thou be tried?

William Warren. By God and my country. Clerk. God send thee a good deliverance.

Clerk. How fayest thou, John Carrol, art thou guilty of

he

th

n

of

RO

wh

are

up

cha as

you

the

cha

San Joj

Na

Joj Abi

Ed

Wi

I fai

Tan

Ben

Jan Neb

Sam

Tole

Fan Foh the felony and murder whereof thou flandest indicted, or not guilty?

John Carrol. Not guilty.

Clerk. How wilt thou be tried?

John Carrol. By God and my country.

Clerk. God fend thee a good deliverance

Clerk. God fend thee a good deliverance.

Clerk. How fayest thou, Hugh Montgomery, art thou guilty of the felony and murder whereof thou standest indicted, or not guilty?

Hugh Montgomery. Not guilty. Clerk. How wilt thou be tried?

Hugh Montgomery. By God and my country. Clerk. God fend thee a good deliverance.

The Jury were then called over and appeared.

Clerk. You the prisoners at the bar, these good men, which were last called and do now appear, are those who are to pass between our sovereign Lord the King and you, upon the trial of your several lives; if therefore you will challenge them, or any of them, you must challenge them as they are called to be sworn, before they are sworn, and you shall be heard.

The prisoners being asked whether they would agree in their challenges, consented that William Wemms should make

challenges for them all,

ou

ed,

of

10

ilty ted.

the

challenged for cause.
- challenged for cause.
fworn
fworn f
fworn
fworn
- challenged peremtorily.
fworn.
challenged peremtorily.
challenged peremtorily.
- fworn.
- challenged peremtorily.
- challenged peremtorily.
challenged peremtorily.
fworn.
fworn.
- challdnged peremtorily.
challenged peremtorily.
Joseph.

them are guilty, you are to fay for if they or either of them are not guilty, you are to fay fo and no more. Good

men and true, stand together and hearken to your evidence.

R

Sa

pen

T

ong

the to fir

T

ful p

the

feve

upor

then

port

term

T

eigh

ed o

the

tion

in t

F

and

ferv

bou

mai

fact

tion

and

gua

fore

in t

333

to

can

Robett Treat Paine, Efq. > \ Samuel Quincy, Efq:

John Adams, Efq; } and Mr. Sampson Salter
Mr. Josiah Quincy, } Blowers.

Samuel Quincy, Efq; addressing himself to the Court and Jury, pened the cause nearly in the following words:

May it please your Honours, and you Gentlemen of the Jury.

THE prisoners at the bar, are that party of soldiers beonging to his Majesty's 29th regiment, who in the evening of
the 5th of March last, were induced from some cause or other

to fire on the inhabitants of this town, in King-fireet.

They are charged in five distinct indictments, with the wilful premeditated murder of five different persons mentioned in the respective bills; to each of these indictments, they have severally pleaded, not guilty; and by that plea have thrown upon the crown the burthen of proving the fact alledged against them: It is my province therefore to give you evidence in support of this charge, and yours, gentlemen of the jury, to determine whether they are guilty, or not.

The cause is solemn and important; no less than whether eight of your fellow subjects shall live or die! A cause grounded on the most melancholy event that has yet taken place upon the continent of America, and perhaps of the greatest expectation of any that has yet come before a tribunal of civil justice;

in this part of the British dominions.

e. e.

e.

6-

e.

e.

e.

e.

re

m.

m.

he

det

ts,

on

ive

rry

rey

TC-

of

pod se. I am aware how difficult, in cases of this fort, it ever is, and more especially so in these times, and in this trial, to preserve the mind perfectly indifferent; but I remember, we are bound, not only by the natural obligations towards God and man, but also by an oath, to examine into the evidence of fact without partiality or private; I need not therefore caution you of your duty in this respect: It is upon that evidence and the law resulting from it, you gentlemen are, in the language of your oath, to give a verdict; and I will venture, before hand, to pronounce that verdict righteous, if it is sounded in these principles as the rule of your judgment.

It has become my duty, it shall therefore be my endeavor, to acquit myself in the course of this trial with decency and candour; reflecting, that however interesting the question may

be, the object of our enquiry is simply that of truth, and the this enquiry is to be conducted by the wisdom of the laws a constitution.

A. I

the

2.

ere ?

A. 1

2.

1.

2. A.

2.

ard.

A. Q.A. Q.A. Q.A. Q.A. Q.A.

As

blute

1ºC

A

0 0

A

f. ti

mor

tion

nig

I fa

bel

wh

In support of this accusation against the prisoners at the bar, it is incumbent on the crown, to ascertain the followings; viz. The identity of the persons charged; the soft killing; and the circumstances attending and aggravational fact.

To this end, I shall immediately produce to you such e dence, from the testimony of credible witnesses, as may sufficient to sustain the several indictments, and when I has gone through the examination, make such remarks upon as may be most concise and pertinent to the present issue.

The following witnesses were then sworn and examined their order.

Jonathan Williams Austin, clerk to John Adams, Esq. fwon

Q. Do you know either of the prisoners at the bar?

Q. Which of them?

A. M'Cauley.—I knew the man before, but did not know his name; I was afterwards told it was M'Cauley. On the evening of the 5th of March last, I heard the bells ring, an immediately went into King-street.

Q. How many people do you imagine might be there when

you got into King-fireet.

A. There might be twenty or thirty I believe.—I faw the Sentry at the Custom-bouse door swinging his gun and bayonet; there were a parcel of men and boys round him. I defired them to come away, and not molest the Sentry: Some of them came off and went to the middle of the street; I then fest them and went up towards the Main-Guard. Immediately a party came down, I walked by the side of them till I came to the Sentry-box at the Custom-bouse. M'Cauley then got to the right of the Sentry-box; he was then loading his piece.

Q. How near was you to M Cauley at that time?

A. I was about four feet off: M'Cauley faid "Damn you, fland off" and pushed his bayonet at me: I did so:—Immediately I heard the report of a gun.

Q. How near did M'Cauley stand to the corner?

[11

A. He came round the Sentry box, and flood close to it the right.

2. When the party came down, were there many people

nd t

WS

at lowi

he fi

vati

h e

lay

ha

ori

.

ed

wor

nov

n the

and

he

the

net: ired

ot

hen ate.

Ш

hen

his

and

ely

A. I cannot really fay, I think about fifty or fixty.

2. What did they say to the people as they came down?
A. I did not hear them say any thing.

2. Did you hear any orders given?

A. I did not, either to load or fire.

2. Did you hear the Sentry cry out for help to the Mainard.

A. No; I was not there half a minute.

Q. Whereabouts did you fland?

A. I stood inside the gutter, close by the box.

2. Whereabout did the Sentry box stand?

A. Three or four feet from the corner of the Customouse.

2. How many guns did you hear?

A. Five or fix, I cannot swear to any particular number. Did you look round after you heard the guns fired?

A. Yes.

2. Did you see M'Cauley then? A. Yes.

2. Was he loading again?

A. I think he was; it so lies in my mind; (I cannot ablutely (wear it.)

2. Do you know whether any foldiers stood on the right of

1 Cauley ?

A. I took so particular notice of McCauley, that I minded o other object.

Ebenezer Bridgham, Merchant, fworn.

2. Do you know any of the prisoners at the bar?

A. I particularly saw that tall man, (pointing to Warren, one) f the prisoners.) Next day after the firing in K. freet, I saw nore of them whom I cannot particularly swear to now.

2. Did you see the soldiers before the justices on examina.

A. Yes.

2. Did you then observe you had seen any of them the

night before in King street?

A. I was well persuaded next day in my own mind, that I saw that tall one; but a few days after, I saw another man belonging to the same regiment, so very like him, that I doubt whether I am not miltaken with regard to him.

thin

A

A

gun

king

froi

the

In

gui

for

gui

mi

th

fe

10

10

n

0

2. Where there any other of the party you knew?

A. I am well fatisfied I faw the Corporal there.

2. Did you see White there?

A. I do not remember.

2. What was the fituation of the Corporal?

A. He was the corner man at the left of the party.

2. Did you see either of the persons, you think you know discharge their guns?

A. Yes; the man I take to be the tall man, discharged h

piece as it was upon a level.

2. Did you fee the Corporal discharge his gun?

A. I did not.

2. Where did you stand?

A. I was behind them in the circle.

2. What part of the circle did the tall man stand in?

A. He flood next but one to the Corporal. The tall ma whoever he was, was the man I faw discharge his piece.

Was any thing thrown at the foldiers?

A. Yes, there were many things thrown, what they were cannot fay.

2. How did the Soldiers stand?

- A. They flood with their pieces before them to defend themselves; and as soon as they had placed themselves, a party about twelve in number, with sticks in their hands, who stood in the middle of the street, gave three cheers, and immediately furrounded the foldiers, and struck upon their guns with their flicks, and passed along the front of the foldiers, towards Royal-exchange-lane, striking the foldiers guns as they passed; numbers were continually coming down the street.
- 2. Did you fee any person take hold of any of the guns of bayonets of any of the party?

A. I do not remember I did.

2. Did you hear any particular words from this party of twelve.

A. I heard no particular words, there was such a noise I could not diffinguish any words.

2. Did they load their guns before the people surrounded

them, or after?

A. They were loading at the time.

Q. How near did they go to the foldiers?

A. Very near them, almost close to their guns.

2 Were the people who fruck the guns, there at the firing?

A. I cannot fay whether they had gone away or not.

Q Did you apprehend the foldiers in danger, from any thing you faw?

A. I did not, indeed.

Q. Where did you fland at the firing?

A. I kept my place. At the time of their firing the first gun, I heard a clattering noise on the right like one gun ftriking against another, and immediately the first gun was fired from the right

Q. At the time of firing that gun was any affault made on

the person that fired?

know

ed h

ma

ere

efend

party Who

and their

the

diers

lown

ns or

y of

se I

ided

ng?

A. I did not see the person that fired.

Q. You faid you faw feveral blows ftruck upon the guns,

I should like you would make it more plain.

A. I faw the people near me on the left, strike the foldiers guns, daring them to fire, and calling them cowardly rafcals, for bringing arms against naked men; bid them lay aside their guns, and they were their men.

Q. Did you see any person fall?
A. Yes, I saw Gray fall.

Q. Where was that?

A. He fell in the middle of the street.

Q. Was the place where he fell nearly opposite to the tall'

man you talk of

A. No; the gun that killed him, must have been nearer to the center. When the foldiers on the left fired, there were fewer people in the street.

Did you fee a molatto among those persons who fur-

rounded the foldiers?

A. I did not observe.

Q. Did they feem to be failors or town's men?

A. They were dreffed some of them in the habits of failors.

Q. Did you hear any bell ring? A. Yes.

What bell?

A. I believe all the bells in town were ringing, I heard the Old South first.

Q. Did the clattering or blows on the guns on the right, immediate before the first gun went off, appear very violent?

A. Yes, very violent.

Q.-Where was the fecond gun fired from?

A. I took it to be the person next to him who fired the first, or very near him.

[14]

D. Between the first and second gun did you see any assault given to the soldiers?

A. No.

Q. When the firing came along to the left, were there many

Q.

A Q A Q A Q A

Q.

fired,

killed

from

left c

the fi

ward

damn

word

Q.

A.

Q.

A.

Q.

A.

diers

heard

was !

Q.

A.

Q.

befor

Q.

A.

Q.

0

A.

Cufton

Q.

A.

Q.

A.

there

people in the street ?

A. There were very few people then in the fireet.

Q. What place did those few stand in ?

A. Right over the way.

Q. Was you looking at the person who fired the last gun ?

A. Yes, I saw him aim at a lad that was running down the middle of the street, and kept the motion of his gun after him a considerable time, and then fired.

Q. Did the lad fall?

A. He did not, I kept my eye on him a confiderable time.

Q. This foldier was towards the left you fay, was he quite to

A. Not quite, but towards it.

Q. Was the lad among the party that struck at the fol-

A. He was passing the street, I cannot say where he came from?

Q. After the firing of the first gun did the people disperse?

A. They drew away down Royal-exchange-lane, but others were coming continually down the street; but when the first person was killed, they seemed all to draw off.

Q. Did the people that came down the street, endeavour to join the party that was striking the soldiers, or did they

come because of the ringing of the bells?

A. I believe they came because the bells were ringing, for they came from all parts of the town, and did not appear to me to join in the assault.

Q. How many guns were fired?

A. I believe feven.

Q. How many foldiers were of the party?

A. I did not count them, but I believe twelve.

James Dodge, Sworn.

Q. Do you know either of the prisoners?

A. Yes, I know Warren, and faw him with the party in King-firest on the evening of the 5th of March last.

Q. Do you know any of the rest?

A. I know them all by fight, but that is the only person I can swear to.

Q. The night of the firing, did you fee the Corporal

A. Not fe as to know him; but Warren I can fwear to.

Q. Did you fee him discharge his piece? A. No; I went away when the first gun fired.

Q. Where did the person stand who fired the first gun?

A. He flood towards the left of the party. Q. Whereabout did you fland yourfelf?

A. Opposite the foldiers, by Mr. Warden's shop the barber.

Q. Did you see any body fall?

- A. I faw none fall. I went off when the first gun was fired, and came back again and heard there were three men killed.
- Q. Do you mean the first gun was fired from your left, or from the left of the party?

A. From the left of the party; there were two flood to the

left of Warren.

Q. What appeared to be the conduct of the foldiers before

the firing?

A. When I got there, they were fwinging their guns backward and forward, and feveral among the people, faid, fire, damn you fire; but I think it was Capt, Prefion that gave the word to fire.

Q. How many people were there? A. I took them to be about fifty.

Q. What had they in their hands? A. They had nothing in their hands.

Q. Did you fee any ice or fnow-balls shrown at the fol-

A. I faw feveral fnow-balls and pieces of ice thrown, and heard a rattling against the barrels of their guns, whether it was sticks, or what, I do not know.

Q. Where did the fnow-balls feem to come from?

A. From the people right before the party.

Q. Did the fnow-balls feem to be thrown in anger?

A. I do not know; I faw the foldiers pushing at the people before any fnow-balls were thrown.

Q. Were the people preffing on?

A. They were very near, within reach of their bayonets.

Q. Did you see any oyster-shells thrown?

Was the snow trodden down, or melted away by the Custom-bouse?

A. No, the street was all covered like a cake.

Samuel Clark, Sworn.

Q. Did you see any of the prisoners in King firest on the

his .

Sent

dow

ware

Gray

fhou

form

Imn

run

but

tine

lan

40

to

It

A. Yes, before the affray happened, as they I o'M

Q. Which of them was it?

A. It was White. He was standing Sentry at the Custom-House; he spoke to me, and asked me how we all did at home. I immediately went home. Soon after I heard the bells ring, and went into King-street. When I came there, the soldiers were drawn up by the Main Guard.

Q. Was you there at the time of the firing?

A. I was not.

Q. When you spoke to the Sentry, was there any body with

A. No: he was walking backwards and forwards by himfelf,

Edward G. Langford, Sworn.

I am one of the Town watch.

2. Was you in King-freet that evening, the 5th March?

A. Yes. The bells began to ring, and the people cried fire; I run with the rest, and went into King-street; I asked where the fire was; I was told there was no fire, but that the soldiers at Murray's barracks had got out, and had been sighting with the inhabitants, but that they had drove them back again. I went to the barracks, and sound the affair was over there. I came back, and just as I got to the Town pump, I saw twenty or five and twenty boys going into King-street. I went into King-street myself, and saw several boys and young men about the Sentry box at the Custom-bouse. I asked them what was the matter. They said the Sentry had knocked down a boy. They crowded in over the gutter; I told them to let the Sentry alone. He went up the steps of the Custom-bouse, and knocked at the door, but could not get in. I told him not to be afraid, they were only boys, and would not hurt him.

2. Do you know the Sentry? A. Yes.

2. Is he among the prisoners?

A. Yes; that's he. (Pointing to White.)

2. Do you know any of the rest?

A. Yes, that man. (Pointing to Killroy.) The Loys were fwearing and speaking bad words, but they threw nothing.

Q. Were they prefling on him?

A. They were as far as the gutter, and he went up the steps and called out, but what he faid I do not remember.

9. Did he call loud! ... and antinerious and Transports A. Yes, pretty loud.

3 4 1

the

lom-

me.

ing, iers

oria

with

felf,

re:

ere iers vith 5 I

nty

nto out

was

oy. en-

and

to

301

ere

Q. To whom did he call?

A. I do not know; when he went up the fleps he levelled his piece with his bayonet fixed. As I was talking with the Sentry, and telling him not to be afraid, the foldiers can down, and when they came, I drew back from the Sentry to-wards Royal-exchange lane, and there I stood. I did not see them load, but somebody said, are you loaded; and Samuel Gray, who was shot that night, came and struck me on the shoulder, and faid, Laugford, what's here to pay.

2. What faid you to Gray then ?

A. I faid I did not know what was to pay, but I believed fomething would come of it by and bye. He made no reply. Immediately a gun went off. I was within reach of their guns and bayonets; one of them thrust at me with his bayonet, and run it through my jacket and great coat.

2. Where was you then?

A. Within three or four feet of the gutter, on the outside.

Q. Who alked, are you loaded?

A. I do not know whether it was the foldiers or inhabitants.

Q. Did you hear the word given to load?

A. I heard the question alked, whether they were loaded?

but I heard no orders to load. Somebody then said, are you all ready: I then heard the word given to fire, twice diftinally.

2. How many people were there before the foldiers at that

A. About forty or fifty, but there were numbers in the Q. Were they night he foldiers?

They were not in the infide of the gutter. Had any of the inhabitants flicks or clabs?

A. I do not know. I had one myfelf, because I was going to the watch, for I belong to the watch.

2. How many foldiers were there i

A. I did not count the number of them ; about feven or eight I think.

2 Who was it fired the first gun?

2. Die you fee any thing methe foldiers?

2. Where about did he fland that fired i

A. He flood on my right, as I flood facing them: I flood about half way betwirt the box and Royal-exchange-lane. looked this man (pointing to Killroy) in the face, and bid his not fire; but he immediately fired, and Samuel Gray fell at m feet. Killrey thrust his bayonet immediately through my coa and jacket; I ran towards the watch-house, and stoo nown, seed, when they cane

uns

was of ch

gun

A Was

TOYOU

COL

bay

5

Đij

evi

28

b

Where did Killroy fland ?

He flood on the right of the party.

Was he the right-hand man?

A. I cannot tell, I believe there were two or three on hi right, but I do not know.

2. You spoke to him you say before he fired, what did you

A. I taid either damn you, or God damn you do not fire and immediately he fired,

2. What in particular made you fay do not fire?

A. Hearing the other guns go off.

2. How many guns went off before he fired?

A. Two: but I faw nobody fall. Gray fell close to me. was standing leaning on my stick.

2 Did Gray say any thing to Killroy before he fired?

A. He spoke to nobody but me.

Did he throw any fnow balls ? " oup and began

A. No, nor he had no weapon in his hand; he was as naked as I am now:

2. Did you fee any thing thrown ?

A. No, I law nothing at all thrown of any kind.

2. Was you talking with Gray at the time the gun went

A. I did not speak with him at that instant, but I had been talking with him feveral minutes before that.

2. Was you so near Gray, that if he had thrown any thing on must have seen it?

you must have feen it?

A. Yes, his hands were in his bolom, and, immediately after Kithroy's firing, he fell.

2. Did you hear any other gun at that time?

A. None, till I had got near to the watch-house.

2. How near were the people standing to the soldiers, the time that gun shot Gray?

A. They were standing near the gutter on 1 .A Q. Did you see any thing hit the soldiers?

[19:]

A. No, I faw nothing thrown. I heard the rattling of their uns, and took it to be one gun against another. This rattling eas at the time Killrey fired, and at my right, I had a fai of them; I saw no body strike a blow, nor offer a blow

2. Have you any doubt in your own mind, that it was that

run of Killroy's that killed Gray?

floo

d hi

at m

CO

ftoo

WOR

n hi

d you

t fire

61217

E. .

ake

ven

eei

ing

fte

A. No manner of doubt; it must have been it, for there was no other gun discharged at that time.

2. Did you know the Indian that was killed?

2. Did you see any body press on the soldiers with a large A. No. cord wood flick?

2. After Gray fell, did he (Killroy) thrust at him with his bayonet?

A. No, it was at me he pushed.

2. Did Gray fay any thing to Killroy, or Killroy to him? A. No, not to my knowledge, and I stood close by him.

2. Did you perceive Killroy take aim at Gray? A. I did not: he was as liable to kill me as him.

Francis Archibald, Clerk to Mr. Price, Seworn.

Q. Did you fee any of the prisoners in King-fireet, that evening of the 5th March?

A. I faw Killrey go down with the party towards the Sentry.

2. How many of them?

A. I took them to be fix, besides the Corporal.

2. Did you fee any of the rest there that you knew?

A. No.

2. Did you fee any of them fire?

A. No, I was not near them; I went to Stone's door.

Q. Did you see any snow-balls or sticks thrown? 2. Was you looking at the party and the people by them before the firing?

A. Yes. There was a noise amongst them; I was not near

enough to hear what was faid, but I faw nothing thrown.

2. Where was you when the party came down?

A. Near the middle of the freet.

Q. Did you observe the party to divide themselves?

A. No; the Corporal walked in front of them, as he always does at a relief. bits

Q. Do you know who rung the bell at the Brick meeting house I few the Sentry on ON As of the Coffee her's shoot the payeas break ligh, will combar of heve round life!

-I ca

going diers of

1.

2.

2.

A.

them

Royalback a

di **Q**ni

charge

troubli

and a

what]

A.

Preflon

for ev

among

2.

2.

down

Christo fpeak

faulter on ob

powde

2.

ruck

hey fo

24.

2

1.

Did you see any body get in at the windows of the

A. No. In Cornell fomebody faid, ring the bell, but who it was I do not know.

Which belt rung first? The Old Brick, I believe.

2. Did you fee what paffed betwirt the foldiers and others was no other gue queles

at the barracks?

A. About ten minutes after nine, I faw a foldier, and a mean looking fellow with him, with a cutlass in his hand; they came up to me: fomebody faid, put up your cuttais, it is not right to carry it at this time of night. He faid, damn you ye Yankie bougers, what's your business : he came up to another that was with me, and firuck him. We beat him back, when feven or eight foldiers came out of the barracks, with tongs and other weapons; one aimed a blow at a young fellow, John Hieks, who knocked the foldier down. As he attempted to rife, I ftruck him down again, and broke his wrift, as I heard afterwards. I went to King-fireet, and when the guns were all fired, I faw teveral persons dead.

N. B. The court being unable to go through this trial in one day, the King's attorney and the priloners confented to the Court's adjourning over night during the Trial, the Jury being kept together in the mean time, by proper officers, appointed and sworn by the Court for that

purpose.
FIVE o'clock P. M. the Court adjourned to next morning, Wednesday, NINE o'clock.

Kedugiay, NINE o'clock, the court met according to adjournment, and proceeded.

James Brower, Block-maker, Sworn.

Q. Please to look upon the prisoners, do you know any of

A. I think I remember this man (pointing to Killroy.) Was you in King-freet the fifth of March laft ?

A. Yes, in the evening.

Q. Please to inform the Court and Jury what you saw there i A. I came up Rejul-exchange lane, and as I got to the head of it, I faw the Sentry on the steps of the Coffom-house, with his bayonet break high, with a number of boys round him:

I called to him, and faid, I did not think any body was going to do him harm. I faw Capt, Preflon and fome foliets come down at man 199 ase

2. Which of the prifoners was the Sentry ?

A. I cannot tell, I was not fo nigh him as to know his f

9. How many boys were there round him?

A. I think about twenty.

A. About fourteen or fifteen years old, perhaps fome of hem older, I faw no men there except one, who came up Royal-exchange-lane with me, thinking it was fire. He went back again.

I What did you take to be the reason that the Sentry

charged his bayonet?

A I could not tell what the reason was; there was no body moubling him. I was at the corner of Royal-exchange-lane, and a young man went up to the Sentry and spoke to him what he faid I do not know.

2. Was you there in the time of the firing?

A. Yes, I went towards the Sentry-box, there I faw Capt. Preflow. I faid to him, Sir, I hope you are not going to hire, for every body is going to their own homes. He faid I hop they are. I faw no more of him. He immediately went in amongst the soldiers.

2. What number of foldiers were there?

A. I think seven or eight, I did not count them. 2. Did Capt. Prefton lead or follow them down?

A. I think he was upon the right of them. As they came lown they had their guns charged breaft high. I fam Christopher Monk, who was wounded that night, I turned to peak to him, and directly they fired, and he feemed to faulter. I faid are you wounded, he faid yes. I replied, I to not think it, for I then apprehended they fired only powder.

2. Was it the first gun that you thought wounded Mont?

A. No.

2. Did you fee any of these prisoners there?

A. I think I faw Killroy, and that he was the man who buck me with his bayonet, when they came down, before hey formed.

Did any body near you do any violence to him?

A. No, I saw none.

2. Had you feen Monk that evening before than sold

10

fro

fic

Ifa

nicid

any

A

che

C

kno

time

10

or a

Mon A

had

A

Q foldi

A

U Q

WO A

W. Q

foldi

gid Q

Jang

A.

Q

C

C

A. No, nor the day before.

2. How near were you to the foldiers when they fired ?

A. I was about ten or fifteen feet from them, I flood in the fireet just above Royal-exchange-lane, about fix or feven fee from the gutter of the state of the state of the state of the

Q. Could you fee the whole party it and the whole party it and the same of the

A. Yes, they flood in a circle, or half moon.

Q. Did you take notice of the distance betwixt the first and scond gun i de M. No.

Q. Was your back to them, when the first gun was fired!

A. No, my face was to them. Q. Where did the firing begin?

A. Towards the corner of Royal exchange-lane, I think it was the man quite on the right. to proved an inches

Q. Did you know him? A. No.

2. Did the man that struck you do it on purpose, or ac. cidentally, do you think?

A. I think he did it on purpole, I apprehended it fo; I was flanding by the gutter, and he was before me.

9. Said he any thing to you the

A. No, nor I to him: he came to form, and I was close than I wished I was, and he struck me.

2. How came you to speak to the Sentry, and tell him not to be afraid?

A. Because he was swinging his gun in that manner.

Q. Did you come up Royal-exchange-lane?

A. Yes. I faw Doctor Young there, and feveral others coming up to know where the fire was; Doctor Young faid it was not fire, but that the foldiers had made a rumpus, but was gone to their barracks again. Then faid I let every man go o william but to his own home.

2. Did you fee any thing thrown at the foldiers?

A. No.
2. Did you hear any body call them names? A. No.

Did you hear any threating speeches ? sale at the W

A. No; except that the people cryed fire! fire!—the word fire, was in every body's mouth and the une sel way bid

2. Just before the firing, when Killroy struck you, wa there any thing thrown at the foldiers then !

A. I faw nothing.

Q. Was there a number of people betwixt you and the W. No. Lian week.

A. Not many, with a proper well held need tooy bell &

Q. Dld you fee Palmes talking with Capt. Preflon?

A. No; I faw the molatto fellow there, and I faw him fall.

O. Did you fee a party of people like failors, coming down from Jackson's corner, with sticks have brown all and

A. No, I faw none.

comoc rengembers some Q. Where did you first fee the molatto A. He was just before me by the gutter.

Q. Did you fee any people coming from Quaker-lane with dicks by at book every non year floor and new to best a

A. I faw feveral inhabitants coming through that lane, but I faw no flicks. Land the vists .

Q. Were there any coming up Royal-exchange-lane?

A. Yes, numbers, but I faw no flicks.

Q. When you first faw the molatto, did you hear him fay any thing to the foldiers, or strike at them? A. No.

Q. Had he a flick or club?

Q. Did you hear any huzzas or cheers as they are called?

to their barracks.

A. I heard a clamour of the people, but I heard no

Q. Did you hear them call the foldiers any names?

A. No.

bd

輔

4

; I

m-

200

ud

11

ord

evering of the crisof March Land Q. Did you hear any body fay, kill them, damn them knock them over? and A. No. monthed has been A.

Q. Did you hear the whistling about the streets at that O. Did you les env of the reft? time? A. No.

Q. Did you fee any person strike with a club at the soldiers or any of them is an A. a. No. sached apply and

Q. Did you fee them attempt to strike their guns?

ma A. No. 10 Prints on all with the gainer

Q. Did you hear the rattling of the guns as though a flick had firuck upon them?

A. No. I heard the people around call fire?

Q. Did you take that to be the cry of fire, or bidding the foldiers fire istagia bas desanevel made table anon les

A. I cannot tell now what I thought then in bill

Q. How many guns did you hear fired dad we

"A: I think feven. ? -- wond too and sit but so -- I talkent

Q. Did the word fire proceed from the people or from the squarte; I thood with him her or for rainages.

A. From the people, not in some and bull may mile.

Q Was there a greater noise than usual, when the bells rang for fire. eaceti to hun a man?

A. I did not think there was fo much. When I faw h he had a fword in his hand. When I came to lin firet it was as quiet as I ever faw it in my life, and all O

Q. Was the fword naked or not?

A. I cannot remember.

Q. What fort of a fword was it? I way but briefly

A. I do not remember. All yet servered all reserve HI

What did Young fay to you? or vis took nov hill

A. He faid it was the best way for every body to go home. 1 dQ Did any body huzza for King firest P stouch wal 1 .

No. 102W MARS.

A. No. I faid, every man home, and the word wer We've there any coming an

O Did not Dr. Young fay the foldiers were beat to their Water wen beginsaw barracks ?

A. No; He faid they had made a rumpus, and were gon Had he a first or club! to their barracks.

Q. Do you know if Dr. Young went into King freet? A. I cannot tell, I left him in the lane.

Tames Bailey, failor, favorn.

Q. Did you fee any of the prisoners in King-firest on the evening of the 5th of March last? A. Yes.

Q. Which of them ?

A. Carrel and Montgomery, and White who was the Sentry shere.

Q. Did you fee any of the rest?

A. No, I don't remember to have feen any of the reft?

Q. Was you there before the party came down? A. Yo.

Q. In what part of the fireet in a state of town O'C

A. I was standing along with the Sentry, on the Custon infe fleps; I faw a numbers of boys round the Sentry.

Q. What number?
A. Twenty or thirty.

2. Were they all boys ? dr ad as and share any bid.

A. Yes, none older than seventeen and eighteen years old.

2. Did any thing pass between you and the Sentry? A. Yes, when I first went up to him, I faid, what is the matter?—he faid he did not know.—The boys were throwing pieces of ice at him, and after I went to him, they three no more; I stood with him five or fix minutes.

2. What fort of pieces, were they small or were they big enough to hurt a man?

A. Yes, hard and large enough to hurt any man; as hig as one's fift. A was beat and beat and and a series of the A

Q. Did he complain any thing about it I wall any sid bus

A. He faid very little to me, only that he was afraid, if it the boys did not disperse, there would be something very foon, he did not mention what reproperty all any all A.

Q. Did he tell them to disperse thin and book of W. O

A. No, he did not fay a word to themson I son ob 1 .A.

Q. Did you fee any of the pieces of ice hit him ? idgir sal

A. There was nothing thrown after I went to him; if any thing was thrown, it was before eviside use bill

Q. How came you to go to him?

a club thrown? A. I went up to him because I knew him, and to see what was the matter away feel should wold will be a should about

Q. Did you hear him knock at the door has A. No.

Q. Did he call for any affiftance?

the

ntry

Yes.

rew

big

Q. Was you there at the time of firing; please to recolleft the circumftances it hand said to two work and sill . A

A. When the foldiers came down, Carrol came up to me and clapt his bayonet to my breast, and White faid, Do not burt him a mid cloud back many and and bid o

Q. Was that before the foldiers had formed?

A. Yes; immediately on their first coming down, I stood betwixt the corner of the Custom-house and the post there, with my arm a top of the post.

Q. Did you hear the first gun fired ? A. Yes.

Q. From what quarter i and shi que seen ad and . O

A. From the right, to the land and help of land a land

Q. Do you know the man that fired the gun hwo T . A

A. It was Montgomery, he was the very next person to me, close to me. When White told him not to hurt me, he took his hand and pushed me right behind him.

Q. Did that first shot kill or wound any person? .A.

A. I do not know: to force it to the part of bill. O Q. What space of time was it betwixt the first and second gun t shipmy south and I so the set ston had

MA. Half a minute, or lefs. wold and as Vi

Q. Did you see any ice or snow thrown betwixt the first and fecond gun? A. No. Tes, veryerage var

Q. Did you hear any thing faid?

A. There was a noise among the inhabitants, but I cannot fay what they faid. wante were le ploblet set del & tel at a tarpe contact Dec

Q. Did you fee any thing thrown before the firing?

A. Yes; Montgomery was knocked down with a flick. and his gun flew out of his hand, and when he recovered himself he discharged his gun. era at allid year his att.

Q. Do you know where he flood at that time?

A. He was the very corner man, on the right, close to me,

Q. Who flood next him hard hard hard

A. I do not know, but the man that flood the third from the right was Carrol, and I believe he was the next that A. There was nothing thrown size I rome to him heart

Q. Did you observe any body firike Montgomery, or was C How came you so go so will !

a club thrown?

A. The flioke came from a flick or club that was in somebody's hand, and the blow flruck his gun and his arm.

Q. Was he knocked down, or did the gun only fly out

of his hand?

A. He fell I am fure.

Q. What with the blow on his arm a sale nor sale . O

A. His gun flew out of his hand, and as he stooped to take it up he fell himself; the blow struck his arm and might hit his body, for any thing I know, daid rend has

Q. Did you see the person that struck him: was he a

Q. Was that before the foldiers bac formed? I namillat

At he was a flout man, historian years bomment ; 22 1 . A.

. Q. Was any number of people standing near the man that struck his gun?

A. Yes, a whole crowd, fifty orifixty, and noy bill. O

Q. When he took up his gun and fired, which way did he present? A. Brem the mart of he so land

A. Towards Stone's tavern, I imagine he prefented to-

wards the Molatto, no was the war in the war in A.

Q. How far distant was he from Montgomery when he as hand and pulled my sight beind him fell?

A. About fifteen feet, or no Hal doch it the contribute

Q. Did you see any of the rest of the persons fall.

A. No. When Montgomery fired, I stooped down, and when the smoke was gone, I saw three lying dead.

Q. Was the blow Montgomery received, upon the oath you have taken, violent and many von the bill. O

A. Yes, very violent.

and second gon, i. A. Por Q. When you came to the Custom-house, and saw the boys throwing ice, where did they stand?

A. In the middle of King-street . his tody val 200

Q. Were they thrown as hard as they could throw them?

A. I believe they threw them as hard as they could. Q. Was there at that time a good deal of ice in K. freet?

A. Yes, confiderable broken ice.

Q. Before the firing, after the party came down, did you fee any fnow-balls, flicks, or ice thrown at the party?

A. No. 13 week and heard and the bold of the tarter

Q. Did you hear any thing faid to the party?

A. I heard nothing in particular faid to them .-- I heard the cry of fire.

Q. Did you hear any threats?

A. No, none at all. A hors only the state of the state of the

Q. Do you remember your examination before the Justices? polyand the A. Yesoni adam to your

Q. Do you remember your faying they were throwing flicks and cakes of ice, in the mob way.

A. No, not at the foldiers,

Q. Did you hear any cheers?

A. Yes, I heard two or three cheers,

Q. What time?

A. About two minutes before they fired.

Q. Did you hear any thing faid to this purpose, knock them over! kill them! kill them!

A. No, I did not.

Q. What did the people feem to be doing?

A. They stood fronting them, and were shouting; but I faw no violence done, but to that one man.

Q. What did the people do immediately on the firing of the first gun? and the state with the transport of the state of the st

A. I could not fee because of the smoak.

Q. Did Montgomery fay any thing upon the firing of his gun?

A. Not a word; nor any of the foldiers.

Q. Did you see a number of persons coming up Royal-

A. No, I faw a number going up Corahill, and the Molat-

to fellow headed them.

Q. Was this before the guard came down or after?

A. It was before the guard came down.

Q. How many might there be of that party?

A. Betwixt twenty and thirty: they appeared to be failors; fome had flicks, fome had none, The Molatto fellow, had a large cord-wood flick.

Q. Did they come down King-firest afterwards?

A. I did not see them come down. I did not see the Molatto afterwards, till I faw him dead.

2. Which way was the Molatto with his party going,

when you faw them?

A. Right towards the Town-pump. Alac word van to

2. Which way did you go into King-street?

A. I went up Royal-exchange-lane.

2. How long before the firing, was it, you faw them in Cornhill?

A. Six, feven, or eight minutes, I believe,

2. Were the bells ringing then? A. Yes

A. They were huzzaing, whitling and carrying their ficks upright over their heads. The transpar nev of

2. What number of flicks, do you suppose might be in

the whole?

A. Seven or eight I suppose: some of them whistling, some huzzaing and making a noise. A What time to

2. Did you know their defign ?

A. I did not: when they went up Cornhill, I went up Royal-exchange-lane. O bis good was and any late.

2. Did you fee any foldiers about that time in the street! A. Yes, I faw a number at Murray's barracks, and some Worst did age geople went officers driving them in.

Richard Palmes, Merchant, Sworn.

2. Do you know any of the prisoners?

A. I know Montgomery, I faw him in King-fireet with the party on the evening of the 5th of March last. I was with fome gentlemen in company, I heard the bells ring after 9 o'clock; I went into King-freet, and I faw the Sentry at the Custom-house door as usual, and nobody with him: when I came to the Town-house, I was told the foldiers were abusing the inhabitants; I asked where, and was told at Murray's barracks. I went down there, and faw four or five foldiers, with their guns and bayonets; I told the officer who flood by, I was furprifed they suffered the soldiers to be out at that time of night; an officer faid, do you pretend to teach us our duty Sir, I faid no, only to remind you of it: You fee, fays he, the foldiers are in their barracks, why do not you go home. I faw Mr. Hickling, he was my neighbour, he said he was going home, we came up as far

as the post office, where he left me; then I faw Mr. Spear, he faid he was going to his brother David's? when I got to the Town pump, I heard a noife, and was told there was a rumpus at the Custom-house; I said, I will go down and make peace, he faid, you had better not go. I left Mr. Spear. and went down, and faw Capt. Prefton at the head of feven or eight foldiers, with their guns, and bayonets fixed: I went to Capt. Prefton, and faw Mr. Theodore Blifs talking with him, who faid to Capt. Prefien, " Why do you not " fire," " God damn you fire." I stept betwirt them and asked Capt. Presson if the soldiers were loaded, he said yes. with powder and ball: I faid, I hope Sir you are not going to fire upon the inhabitants, he faid by no means: That inflant I saw a piece of ice strike Montgomery's gun, whether it fallied him back, or he ftept one foot back, I do not know. but he recovered himself, and fired immediately. I thought he stept back and fired, he was the next man to Capt. Prefton, the only foldier that was betwixt the Captain and the Custom-house. When he fired, I heard the word fire, who gave it I do not know. Six or eight feconds after that, another foldier on the Captain's right fired, and then the reft one after the other, pretty quick; there was an interval of two or three seconds, between the last gun but one, and the laft.

2. How many guns were fired?

A. I do not know certain, seven or eight I believe, I did not count them. Before the last gun was fired, Montgomery made a push at me with his bayonet, I had a stick in my hand, as I generally walk with one, I struck him, and hit his lest arm, and knocked his gun down; before he recovered I aimed another stroke at the nearest to me, and hit Capt. Presson, I then turned and saw Montgomery pushing at me again, and would have pushed me through, but I threw my stick in his face, and the third time he ran after me to push at me again, but fell down, and I had an opportunity to run down Royal-exchange-lane.

Q. Did you take notice of the fituation of the foldiers?

A. I faw the form they were in, they were formed in a

half circle.

Q. Which way did Montgomery front?

A. He fronted the watch house.

Q. Did you stand in a range with the watch house and the corner of the Custom house?

A. Yes.

Q. Are you certain that Montgomery was struck and fallied A. Yes. back before he fired?

Q. Do you know whether it was with a piece of ice or a

Q. Do you know whether it hit his body, or his gun, or both :

A. It struck both, I suppose.

Q. Did you fee any other violence offered, except that which struck Montgomery, and the blows you aimed and gave? A. No, no other.

Q. Are you sure Montgomery did not fall, just before he

discharged his gun?

Q. Upon the firing the first gun, did the people seem to retire?

A. Yes, they all began to run, and when the rest were firing they were a running.

Q. Did you see any of the deceased fall?

A. No, I did not, but afterwards I faw Gray and Attucks lying.

Q. Did you fee all the rest of the soldiers discharge their

A. I faw the smoke, and it appeared to me at that time they all fired,

When the last gun was fired where were the people? A. They were running promiscuously about every where.

Court. Call James Bailey again.

Q. Have you heard Mr. Palmes' testimony?

A. Yes.

Q. Are you satisfied, notwithstanding what Mr. Palmes fays, that Montgomery was knocked down by a blow given him, immediately before he fired?

A. Yes, I am.

Q. Did you fee any of the prisoners at the Rope walks in the affray there, a few days before the 5th of March?

A. Yes, I faw Carrol one of the prisoners, there with

other foldiers in that affray.

John Danbrook, Sworn.

Q. Do you know any of the prisoners?

A. Yes, the two furthest men, Hartegan and Carrol.

Q. Did you fee them in King-fireet the 5th of March?

Yes. A.

Q. What time did you come into King-fireet?

A. About a quarter after nine, after the party were come down.

Q. Were these two men of the party? A. Yes. Q. Was you there at the time of the firing? A. Yes. Q. Did you see any of the party discharge their muskets?

A. Yes, Montgomery.

Q. Did you know him before? A. No.

Q. Did you fee any body strike him with a stick, or a stick A. No. thrown at him?

Q. Whereabouts did you fland?

A. About ten or twelve feet from Capt. Preston, I saw a little flick fly over their heads, but I did not perceive it thrack any of them.

Q. How large was it?

A. I took it to be a piece of a rattan.

Q. Did you fee any thing at all hit the foldiers?

A. No, I did not.

Q. Was you looking at Montgomery when he discharged his piece? A. Yes.

Q. Did you fee any body fall upon his firing.

A. Yes, I saw two fall, one fell at my elbow, another about three feet from me. I did not hear the found of another gun, before they both fell.

Were they standing before Montgomery.

A. Yes, about twelve or fifteen feet from him, and about five feet apart, one was the Molatto, the other I did not know.

Q. Do you think one gun killed both these men?

A. Yes, for I heard no other gun when they fell. Q. Are you certain the other person was killed?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you hear any other gun before that man fell?

Q. Did the Molatto fay any thing before the gun went

A. I heard him fay nothing. The Molatto was leaning over a long stick he had, resting his breast upon it.

Q. Was you in Dock-square before the firing? A. Yes.

Q. How many people did you fee there?

A. I saw about twenty or thirty gathered up by the Town pump in the market, some with clubs; they went up Cornhill, most of them drest in failors cloaths.

Q. Did you then know where they were going?

A. They faid let us go up to the Town-house. The bells were ringing at that time.

Q. Had they in general clubs?

A. The biggest part of them had clubs.

Q. Did you fee any of them afterwards in King-freet?

A. No, not that I knew.

- Q. Did you fee a tall man at the head of them? A. No, I took no notice of none in particular.
- Q. Did you hear a huzzaing before the firing, or fee any thing thrown except that flick you mentioned?

- Q. Had these persons when they were in Dock-Square, any clubs ?
- A. About half of them had flicks; there were between twenty and thirty of them.

Q. Did they hold them up over their heads?

A. Some did, and fome did not.

Q. Did you fee any body with a fword, at the bottom of Royal-exchange lane?

A. No, I did not,

Q. Did you fee any foldiers there, about that time?

A. No.

Q. What do you mean by clubs?
A. They were cord wood flicks broken up.
Q. Did any of them appear to be large? A, They were about as thick as one's wrift.

Jedediah Bass, sworn.

fi

fo

bes

I came up Royal-exchange lane, and the first I faw was Montgomery, I faw him pushing his bayonet,

Q. Did you know Montgomery then?

A. Yes: I drew back about five feet, and I faw his gun go off.

Q. Where did Montgomery stand?

A. At the corner of Royal-exchange lane, the right hand Q. Who did he push at? man of the party.

A. I cannot tell.

Q. How long after that before his gun went off?

A. About a minute.

Q. Had any thing happened betwixt that and the firing? A. I faw a flick knock up his gun.

Q. Do you'know who it was knocked it up? A. No.

Q. How neardid you fland to him?

A. About five feet off, within Royal-exchange lane. Q. Did that flick knock up his gun before he fired ?

A. Yes.

Q. Did he bring it down before he fired?

A. He brought it down to the place where it was before, and then he fired.

Q. Was you looking at him all the time before he fired ?

A. Yes.

Q. Are you certain he did not fall before he fired ?

A. Yes:

Q. Are you fure, if he had fallen, you must have feen him?

A. Yes, from my fitnation I think I must have seen him. What fort of a flick was it his can was knocked up with?

A. It looked like a walking flick.

Q. Did you see him fall after he fired?

Q. What occasioned his fall?

A. I cannot tell.

Q. Did you fee any body ftrike him, or at him? A. No.

Q. Did his gun fall out of his hand?

A. I think it did.

Q. Are you fure that was before, or after his firing?

A. After his firing.

Q. How near were the people to him at the time of his firing?

A. Seven or eight feet off.

Q. Did you fee any other of the prisoners there that might?

A. Not to my knowledge.

Q. Did you stay till all the guns were fired?

Q. How many were fired?

A. Six, I think, but I did not count them.

Q. At the place, where you flood, could you fee all the foldiers?

A. No, only two; they flood in a circular form,

Q. After the first gun was fired, did not the people be-

Q. Did you hear any words spoke by the party of soldi-ers in any of them? A. No.

Q. How long did you continue there?

A. About five minutes, not longer; until all the guns were fired.

Q. Did you come from Dock-Square up to King-freet?

Q. Did you fee any people there

A. I faw about twenty. Q. What were they doing?

A. They were talking about going home. gov at

Q. Were the bells ringing? A. Yes.

Q. Did they mention any thing why the bells were ring-

A. They faid first it was fire, and then that the foldiers

were out.

Q. Did you hear any cheers given in King-street?

A. I think I did before they fired.

Q. How many? A. Two, I think.

O. Who gave them?

A. The town's people.

O. How long before the firing?

A. About two minutes before the firing. Q. How were the people dreft in Dock-square? A. Some in failors cloaths, some in surtouts.

Q. Had they flicks?

A. Some had, fome had not,

Q. Did you hear them mention their going to the Townhouse? A. No. lyow near were the nearle to

Thomas Wilkinson, Sworn.

Q. Do you know either of the prisoners?

A. Yes; I know Montgomery; he used to live close by my house; I know none of the rest. I was at home the whole evening, the Old-fauth bell rung for nine as usual; about a quarter after, I heard Mr. Cooper's bell ring; I went out and I saw the Old-South engine hauled out. I ran down as far as the town-pump, there seemed to be a considerable body of people, and some with buckets. The people out of the chamber-windows said, Do not go down there, you will be killed.—I faw ten or twelve foldiers with naked cutlasses by Boylston's alley .- I saw them with their cutlasses and bayonets drawing up towards the people. I went back and stopped at the Main guard.

Q. Were there a number of the town's people there at

that time?

A. Yes, and many with buckets in their hands.

Q. Were they contending with any body?

A. No, they were standing in the street.

Q. What were the foldiers doing?

A. They were brandishing their swords, and fallying up to the people, but I did not tarry there one minute.

Q. What number of people were there?

A. Thirty or forty.

Q. Had the persons the soldiers came up to, any thing in their hands?

A. No they had nothing but buckets. I took it they were brandishing their swords at the people, but I saw them strike no body. I went to the Main-guard, I saw the Sentries before the Guard-house, walking as usual. I staid on purpose to see some body come back from Boylston's-alley, to know if any were wounded. People were coming down from the South-end, crying, Where is the fire? Where is the fire? I said there is no fire, but the soldiers fighting. At that time, in King-street, I do not think you could see a man, child, or boy passing I stood there at the Main-guard about four minutes.—The Old Brick bell began to ring, and the people seemed to come along sast, with buckets and bags.

Q. Did Mr. Cooper's bell ring before?

A. Yes, a good while.

Q. Could you see the Sentry at the Custom-house, where

you flood ?

A. No, I staid there about five minutes; and in a very short time I looked down King-street, and saw thirty or forty people in King-fireet; Capt. Preston came down to the Main-guard, as it were from behind the Brick-meeting, and faid turn out, damn your bloods, turn out: A party of foldiers turned out, Montgomery was amongst them; I was going to Montgomery, to alk what they were going to do?---They drew up in two files, I think they were eight men, Capt. Preston drew his sword, and marched down with them, and I went down as far as Mr. Waldo's shop with them, I thought they were going to relieve guard. After that, I went up by the Main-guard again, having left the soldiers on their march down from Waldo's shop, and passed round the Town-House, came down the north fide of it, and went down King-fireet, and got within two yards of the right of them; I saw Capt. Preston standing at the right of the circle, I flaid there about four minutes, when I heard the word given, fire! There was none fired then .-- Then I heard damn your bloods, fire !--- Instantly one gun went off, I faw the flash of every gun as they went off, one after another, like the clock striking.

Q. Where did the fire begin?

A. It began at the right.

Q. Did you see Montgomery after he got down there?

A, No.

Q. Where did you stand when the guns were fired?

A. I stood about two yards to the right, in Royal-exchangelane, and towards the back of the foldiers; I am politive the firing began at the right and went on to the left. I counted the guns.

Q. How many were fired?

A. Seven fired, and one flashed.

Q. Was there a longer distance betwixt the first and second gun, than betwixt the rest?

A. No more than the reft, I think, Q. Did you fee any man fall?

A. I did not. There was a large opening at the centre, but on the right and left wings the croud was close and thick.

Q. Could you fee all the foldiers ?

A. No, I could not, there were many people between me and the foldiers.

Q. Did you'fee the person who held the gun that flashed ?

A. Yes, but I did not know him. Q. Whereabouts was he standing?

A. I believe by the flash, he was the third or fourth man from the right.

Q. Did you fee any thing thrown at any of them before

the firing?

A. No, I flood all the time they were there, and faw nothing thrown at all-

Q. Did you fee any knocked down?

Q. You faw no ice nor fnow-balls?

A. No, I did not.

Q. Did the people round you feem to be pressing on so as to injure the foldiers?

A. No; had I feen any thing thrown, I would have gone away. weat down King-Arms, and got within

tight of them is law Capit

Q. Did you fee any blows given by any body, before or after the firing to trave solve it wice very lot going and beared-

A. No, I did not.

A. No, I did not.
Q. Do you know Mr. Palmer?

A. No, I faw a man talking with the officer.

Q. Do you know Mr. Blife? A. No.

Q. Did you hear any huzzaing?

A. Yes, before the party marched down, there were two or three huzzas, but afterwards none at all.

Q. How many people do you imagine were there?

A. Sixty or feventy.

of wave teen benefits the court Q. From the time they went from the Main-guard, till the firing, how long was it?

A. It was not more than ten or twelve minutes. Josiah Simpson, Joiner, Sworn

Q. Do you know either of the prisoners?

A. Yes, White.

Q. Do you know either of the reft?

A. Yes, Wemms,

as l'em as certains es l'am Q. Do you know any other?

A. Yes, Warren and Hartegan. I faw them there that night under arms. On Monday evening, 5th of March, I was at work near Hancock's wharf, hearing a bell ring it caused me to leave the shop to make inquiry what the matter was; I heard the foldiers had rose on the inhabitants, and I got as far as Fanniel-Hall. I saw several gentlemen, I asked them what the matter was, they answered me, that two young men had been abused by the foldiers, but that they had returned to their barracks. The bells still ringing made me proceed up Royal-exchange-lane with a number of other persons: I out run them and came to the head of the lane, there being no person there but a soldier who was the Sentry, the other inhabitants coming up, they cried out there is a foldier and huzza'd. The foldier immediately repaired to the Cuftom-house door, he was at the west corner of the house before; there, with a large brass knocker, gave three loud and remarkable strokes,

Q. What number of persons were there came up imme-

diately after you?

107.570 Somebody came to the door and opened A Five or fix. it, and spoke to the Sentry, and then shut the door again.

the drove on by them.

Que What was faid to him by bill smit with an ene

A. I did not hear. The foldier then turned about and loaded his gun, and knocked it twice very loud on the steps; then he went to the west corner of the house where he had been before, the people gathered round him; I went with him, and I cast my eye up King-street, and saw an officer and seven men, they came to the west corner of the Customhouse.

Q. Was any thing done to moleft them then?

A. No, nothing at all. The officer then cryed shoulder.

Q. Do you know who that officer was ?

A. I have feen him in the court.

Q. How many foldiers were with him?

A. Seven.

Q. How did they fland then ?

A. They flood in a circle. The officer then faid, handle your arms, eafe your arms, fecure your arms, support your arms, ease your arms, prime and load.

Q. Are you certain he faid all that?

A. I am as certain, as I am of my own existence.

Q. Where did Capt. Presson stand then?

A. He stood a little behind the soldiers towards the Custom-house. There were about fifteen or twenty inhabitants in the street, when the party came down. 1512425

Q. Were the foldiers formed before they loaded?

A. They were not really formed: they were in a kind of a circle, after they had loaded they formed more into a circle than they were before.

Q. Did you know Capt. Preston before that?

A. I did not.

Q. Was you there when the guns fired?

A. I went up to the officer, and faid for God's fake do not fire on these people: he made me no answer at all.

Q. Where was he then?

A. He was standing behind the foldiers.

Q. Was you behind the foldiers?

A. No, a little before them, at the edge of the gutter.

Q. Did you fee any person with him?

A. No, none at all. I pushed through betwixt two of the men, and spoke to him that way, he had on a red-coat, and laced hat. I faw no more of him. I went to some of the inhabitants, and faid, do not trouble these men, they are on duty.. Some faid we will neither trouble them, nor be drove off by them.

Q. Did you hear any orders given for firing?

A. I heard, damn you fire: It feemed to me as it came from the Sentry-box where I left the Captain. I was then by Vernon the barber's shop; I had passed across the street. I saw a man going to throw a club. I begged of him not to do it, for I said if he did, the soldiers would certainly fire: he said, he would not, and did not. I then saw a white club thrown at some distance from me towards the soldier's; immediately I heard the word present, I stooped down, a little space of time ensued, I heard damn you fire: two guns were discharged then as I judged.

Q. Did that club hit any body?

A. I believe it hit one of the foldiers guns, I heard it strike.

Q. Was that before the firing, or after?

A. Before the firing.

Q. How near to the foldiers was the person that threw the

A. About ten yards off. Three or four more guns were then discharged, which killed Actucks and Gray, I heard and saw them fall; then two more were discharged, one of them killed Mr. Galdwell, who was about ten seet distance from me, the other struck about five inches over my back.

Q. What space of time was there betwixt the second gun

and the third? reduct to streng a wife big bright to was

A. I took it to be about two or three seconds. Another gun was then fired, which wounded Mr. Patterson in the arm.

Q. How long after the club was thrown, was it, before

the first gun was fired?

A. Not above one or two feconds.

Q. What fort of a flick was it that was thrown?

A. I took it to be a white birch cord-wood stick, an inch thick.

Q. What fort of a man, for heighth, was he that threw it?

A. He might be about five feet and an half.

Q. How do you know what number of guns were fired together?

A. I judged by the report: I faw the flashes.

Q. Did you see any of the persons that were killed, that

Q. Upon the cath you have taken, did that man throw the flick with confiderable violence, or not?

A. He threw it confiderable hard, he threw it over hand. Were any people standing betwixt the foldiers and the man that threw that thick? Seed xot yourse sale me

bay

the

long

fold

afte

2.12 7

ther

fall

wer

ex

mi

fine

A. Yes; fome, but not many.

Q. Did the people make a great deal of noise and huzzaing ?

A. Yes, confiderable.

Q. Did you hear them fay to the foldiers, bloody backs,

some on you bloody backs ? brow set bass

A. No. I heard no fuch thing, but when the two first guns were discharged, some one cried murder, and by the voice I think it was Maverick. These guns killed nobody, unless Maverick was then thot.

Nathaniel Fosdick, Hatter, Sworms

Q. Did you fee any of the prisoners the 5th March? A. Not fo as to know them again. That evening, at the cry of fire, I came out of my house, and saw the people running down town, and I followed them: when I got by the Town-house, I saw some going down King-street, I went down alfo. At the Guard-house, I slaw a number of the soldiers running; I asked where was the fire, no body answered me. I went down to the middle of King-fireet, and while I flood there, was pulhed from behind me with a bayonet. I turned round and faw a party of foldiers coming down, I asked one the reason of his pushing at me? he damn'd my blood, and bid me fland out of their way, I faid I would not, I was doing no harm to any man, and would not stand afide for any one; they passed me some on one fide, some on the other. They came to the Sentry-box, faced round and formed a circle. I spoke to some of the inhabitants to speak to Presson, to know what the matter was; fome body spoke to him, but what was said, I do not know. I saw Presson fall in betwixt the fourth and fifth man, the word was given fire! immediately the right hand man fired; after that I pushed in towards them, and they run a bayonet at me and wounded me in my arm.

Q. Who was it struck you?

A. The second man, the first gun was then fired, the second was not; the guns went off pretty quick.

Q. Was it the same soldier that thruck you, pushed you

in the arm ? As the asked see A. No, I was pushed twice in the arm by two different bayonets; I knocked off one of them with my flick, with the other I was wounded in my breast, the wound an inch long, through a double breasted jacket.

Q. Was no blows given before the guns were fired?

A. No, not where I stood, and I saw two thirds of the soldiers.

Q. What was the occasion of your rushing in upon them after the first gun was fired?

A. All my end was to know who they were.

Q. Did you wonder what was the occasion of their firing?

A. Yes, I did not know what their intention was.

Q. Did you see any insults offered the soldiers?

A. No, none at all, I faw the right hand grenadier fall.

Q. Was it before or after he had fired?

A. It was after. He fell on his backfide.

Q. Did you see any of the people that were killed?

A. Yes, I saw the Molatto, and croffed to Quaker-lane and there stepped over two more.

Q. Where did the Molatto man lay?

A. By the gutter on the fouth fide of it.

Q. Did you see any of them before they were killed?

A. Not as I know of.

Q. What do you think was the occasion of the granadier's falling?

A. It was occasioned by his pushing at somebody that went in at Royal Exchange-lane.

Samuel Hemmingaway, favorn.

Q. Do you know any of the prisoners?

A. Yes, several, there is Killroy I know particularly well.

Q. Did you ever hear Killroy make use of any threatning

expressions, against the inhabitants of this town?

A. Yes, one evening I heard him fay, he never would miss an opportunity, when he had one, to fire on the inhabitants, and that he had wanted to have an opportunity ever fince he landed.

A. A week or fortnight, I cannot say which.

Q. Did you ever hear any of the rest threaten any thing?

A. No.

Q. Who was prefent when this conversation passed?
A. Mrs. Bouker, Mr. Apthorp's house-keeper.

Q. Was any body else present ?

A. Only the Negroe boy.

Q. What gave occasion for this?

A. He and I were talking about the town's people and the foldiers,

Q. Did he fay it with any refentment?

A. No otherways than he would not miss an opportunity. Q. Do you remember what conversation immediately preceded that? A. No.

Q. Was he in anger?

A. No.

A. No.

A. No. Q. Had there any angry words passed betwixt him and you at that time?

A. No, none at all.

Q. Was it in jocular talk?

A. I do not know. I faid he was a fool for talking fo.he faid he did not care.

Q. Had Killroy faid that evening, that he had been at the rope-walks?

A, No, he faid nothing about the rope-walks,

Q. Was this conversation before or after the affray at the rope-walks?

A. I cannot fay.

Tofeth Hiller, Sworn

Q. Do you know any of the prisoners?

A. I do not.

Q. Was you in King-fireet at the time of the firing on the evening of the 5th March? A. Yes.

Q. What did you observe?

A. I came there about fifteen minutes before the foldiers eame, I staid there till they came down, and remained there till the firing was over.

Q. Narrate what happened in relation to the Sentry.

A. I was at the North end of the town when the bells rung, when I came to the middle of the town, I was told there was no fire; but a rumpus betwixt the foldiers and the inhabitants. I passed on, the bells still kept ringing, I came to Dock Square, and was informed much to the same purpose; there were some persons there, who told me it was dangerous to go up; they seemed to be like people that were afraid to pass, because of the danger, others were going up; I went up, when I got past the ally, the treet was very clear of people, I hardly faw any body.

number, I have often feen more collected for their diversion.

Q. How many people were there?

A. From twenty to thirty. I faw the Sentry upon the steps of the Custom-house door, but I heard him fay nothing, but he had his gun waving as if it was to defend himfelf. or to exasperate the people. I thought to speak to him, but I thought he might infult me, and therefore I declined; I went in order to go away, and met the party coming down; that made me stop, because when they got to the Custom-house, there was a noise something like what they call cheers, and the people went more to the middle of the street; after the foldiers had passed through them. I went down again, as I passed before them, there was very few people there, I passed without the people, and inclined more to the Custom-house, the greatest part of the foldiers were full to my view; the people that were there, were collected in a body at the end of Royal exchange lane, they did not go fo high as Mr. Stone's house.

Q. Where did you stand?

A. I was walking right before them. They had their guns rested on their hips; when I passed the last man on the lest, the first gun was fired from the right; as I judged, the time might be twenty seconds before the first gun was fired from the time they formed, in a short space there was another, and then very soon another, and then there was a short space of time again, before the last guns were fired. A little boy run along and cryed, fire! fire! as people generally do when there is fire, a soldier pointed his gun to him and fired, but did not hit him, he was the last but one on the lest.

Q. Did the people appear to be passing off after the first

ouni

A. I did not mind the first man, I thought it was only powder to scare them; but when the next was fired, they were a scattering. After the firing ceased, a little boy came and told us some persons were killed. I saw them lye in the street, but I did not imagine it was any body killed, but that they had been scared and run away, and lest their great coats behind them: I saw nothing like an attack that could produce any such consequences: I went to look at the Malatto man, and heard a noise like the cocking of fire-

locks, but an officer passed before them, and said, do not fire on the inhabitants. The street was in a manner clear, it was as hush as at twelve o'clock at night, the noise of the cocking seemed to come from the right, and passed on to the left,

ftru

fol

us

we

Th

WC

11

gr

Oi

he

I

ft

Q. How many guns were fired?

A. Six was the leaft, and one miffed fire.

Q. Did the last man on the left fire, or not loglaxe of to

A. He did not fire, his gun feemed to miss fire, and he brought it down in a priming posture, and a man like an officer stepped up to him and spoke to him.

Q. Did you see them load betwixt the firing and this noise

you speak of, like the cocking of firelocks?

A. I did not fee them load, for I did not leave my flation.

Q. How many foldiers were there?

A. Six or eight, set thender belleg 1 ,s, alesiques as

Q. Did you see any blows given, or any thing thrown?

A. No, and I was there the whole time, of that 2194 812

Q. Did you see Palmes there, or Bliss? A. No.

Q. Did you see any body strike the foldiers guns? A. No, Q. Did you hear any huzzaing, when the foldiers came

A. There seemed to be a huzza, but when I went down and passed them they were very still, only talking together, but I heard nothing they said: the shouting was first when they went down, and it was not two minutes till they fired.

Nicholas Ferreter, Rope-maker, Sworn.

Q. Do you know any of the prisoners ? at word . It has

A. Yes, I know Warren and Killing.
Q. Did you ever fee them at the rope-walks?

A. Yes, they were both at the rope-walks.

Q. How long was that before the 5th of March?

A. On the Friday before.

Q. Did you ever hear them make use of any expressions

of mischief towards the inhabitants?

A. No. On Friday Mr. John Gray told me to go to his rope-walk to make some cables; I went and worked till about twelve, and then I saw a soldier coming down the outside rope-walk, swearing, and saying he would have satisfaction. Before this there was one of our hands while I was coiling a cable, said to a soldier do you want work, yes, says the soldier I do saith; well said he to the soldier, go clean

my little-house, he damned us and made a blow at, and firnck me, when I knocked up his heels, his coat flew open and out dropt a naked cutlafs, which I took up and carried off with me. He went away, and came back with a dozen foldiers with him: the people that were attacked called to us for help. When they called to us, we came up; then we had feveral knocks amongst us, at last they went off. They all got armed with clubs, and in the afternoon they were coming again, but Mr. John Gray flopped them.

Q. When they came the second time, was Killrey with

them is a con sale he les ald. Yes, stand our wolan 10 g bed

Q What did they do the fecond time?

A. We had a battle, and they went to their barracks. On the 7th of March I went to Quaker-Lane, and met Samuel Gray; I faid where are you going, he faid to the fire. I went into King-freet, and faw nobody there, the Sentry was walking as usual. We agreed to go home. I went towards home, and stopped at the bottom of Long-lane, and while I was talking there, I heard guns go off. I went to Kingfreet, and was told feveral were killed, I then went home. Samuel Gray, when I faw him that night, was quite calm, and had no flick and me and the one ben gross with

Benjamn Burdick, Barber, fworn.

Q. Did you fee any of these prisoners in King-firest the

night of the 5th of March?

A. Not that I can fwear to as they are dreffed. I can recollect fomething of their faces, but cannot swear to them. When I came to King-freet, I went immediately up to one of the foldiers, which I take to be that man who is bald on the head, (pointing to Montgomery) I asked him if any of the foldiers were loaded, he faid yes. I asked him if they were going to fire, he faid yes, by the eternal God, and pushed at me with his bayonet, which I put by with what was in my hand.

Q. What was it?

A. A Highland broad fword.

Q. What occasion had you to carry it.

A. A young man that boarded with me, and was at the Rope-walks, told me feveral of them had a fpite at him, and that he believed he was in danger. I had feen two foldiers about my house, I saw one of them hearkening at the window, I faw him again near the house, and asked him

what he was after; he faid he was pumping ship: Was it not you, fays I, that was hearkening at my window last night? what if it was, he faid, I told him to march off. and he damned me, and I beat him till he had enough of it, and he then went off. The reason of carrying the sword. was, they fpyed the young man in the lane, and dogg'd him, for he had been very active in the affray at the Ropes walks, and they faid they would some time or other have fatisfaction; and looked upon myfelf to be liable to be infolted likewise. When alarmed by the cry of fire, and I had got below the house, my wife called after me, and said it is not fire, it is an affray in King-fireet, if you are going take this, fo I took it, and run down and I asked the foldier what I just now told you. I knocked the bayonet with what I had in my hand, another pushed at me, I struck his gun; my face was now towards the foldiers. I heard the first gun go off, and then the second gun went off. As I was looking to fee if any body was killed, I faw the tall man standing in a line with me. I faw him fall.

Q. Whereabouts was you when you hit the gun?

A. Nigh the gutter, about the middle of the party.

Q. How long had the bells been ringing before you came from home?

A. I thought it was 9 o'clock, and did not think any thing elfe, till somebody cried fire!

Q. Did you strike before the firing? A. Yes.

A. Did you strike as hard as you could?

A. Yes, and hit the lock of his gun, and if I had struck a little lower, I should have left a mark that I could have swore to.

Q. Was the fword in your hand drawn?

A. I drew it when the foldier pushed at me, and struck at him as I have mentioned.

Land on and

Q. Which gun went off first?

A. I took it to be the right hand man.

Q. Where did that soldier you struck at stand?

A. I believe the fourth or fifth man from the corner of Exchange lane.

Q. How many foldiers were there?

A. I did not count them, it appeared to me there were fix or eight.

2. The man that faid he would fire by the eternal God, where did he fland?

tale and users raid will I

A. He was about the middle.

Q. Was you there when the first gun was fired?

A. Yes.

Q. What was the immediate occasion of that?

A. I do not know, I had only walked over from Quakerlane till I came to the foldiers, that was all the time I had.

Q. Did you fee any thing extraordinary, to induce them

to fire that gun?

A. Nothing, but a short stick was thrown, which seemed to go clear over all their heads, I heard a clattering of their guns, but what was the occasion of it I do not know.

Q. Might not their iron ram-rods occasion it?

A. No, I suppose they knocked one gun against another in taking their places. When the Molatto man was dead, I went up, and met Dr. Gardner and Mr. Brindley. I asked them to come and see the Molatto, and as we stooped to take up the man, the soldiers presented their arms again, as if they had been going to fire, Capt. Presson came, pushed up their guns, and said stop siring, do not fire. I went to them to see if I could know their faces again; Capt. Presson looked out betwixt two of them, and spoke to me, which took off my attention from them.

Q. From where was that flick thrown?

A. From Royal-exchange-lane, and it flew over their head almost as high as the fign.

Q. What did you take to be the occasion of the foldiers

answer to you?

A. I do not know, without he was affronted at my asking

the question of him.

Q. Did you see any body strike the soldiers before you ftruck with the sword?

A. No, I had not time. I mug back to have

Q. What distance of time was there betwixt the first and fecond gun?

A. A very short space, I cannot say exactly.

Robert Williams, Sworn.

Coming from Corn-hill I went down to Dock-square, I saw a number of people together; I heard there had been an affray by Murray's Barrack. Somebody said you had better all go home; some went to the North-end, some up Royal-exchange-lane, I came up to Corn-hill; when I got to the Town-pump, I heard the Main-Guard had drawn a party of

and gone to the Custom-house, I run down the north-side of the Town-house, and saw a number of people, twenty or thirty, collected. I tryed to prefs into the midst of them to know what they were about; I could not get in; I therefore stepped over the gutter, and faw the foldiers, feven or eight of them, by the Sentry-box. Some of the people were leaning on their flicks, some standing with their hands in their bosoms, and some were whiltling. Numbers were crouding to get in as I was. I had my eye on the right hand man. Somebody faid, do not press on the foldiers. I repeated the same words, do not press on the foldiers: when I faid that, I faw fomething like a flash at my left, and heard the report of a gun, and the people opened from right to left; but I could not fee where the gun was fired from; it made a noise like a pistol, and I imagined it was nothing but powder. As the people crouded to the lane, it took the view af the right hand foldiers from me, but I had a view of the left. I heard another gun go off, and faw a man fall.

Q. Where was the man when he fell?

A. He was about a foot over the fouth fide of the gutter.

n

k

Q. Was he nearer to the right than to the left of the Profes of electrical characteristics

A. They fired in a triangular manner. Q. How near did they stand together?

A. The width of a man afunder. I dropped on my knees, and faw the third gun go off, and then I faw a man who feemed to come upon his heel, and wind round a little and then fall on his back. The people were moving off, and the guns seemed to move as the people run. The fourth gun went off quickly after.

Q. Was the second gun fired from the first right hand

iman fleds trivered what save that have the frame A. The flash seemed to come from the second man from the right.

Q. Did the huzzaing encrease, and a general preffing in upon the first gun being fired?

A. No.

Q. Was there many flicks?

A. I faw but a few. mood Married & grant

Q. Was there any flicks thrown?

A. No. I faw two or three fnow-balls, which feemed to some from a distance.

Q. Did the people fland close in with the foldiers bayonets?

A. No, they appeared to be two feet from the bayonets.

Q. Did you hear a noise like striking on the barrels of the guns.

A. I did not.

Q. Did you hear a cry of the people, kill them, knock them over?

A. No, I was not there above a minute, I faw no blows given by any body; just before the firing there was a huzzing and whistling.

Bartholomew Kneeland, Merchant, Sworn.

Q. Where did you live the 5th March?

A. At my lister's Mrs. Torrey's, by the Town-pump. I heard the bells ring after nine, and went to the front door, I was followed by my lister and two others of the family; I stood there about five minutes, and saw a number of soldiers, about ten or a dozen, come towards the pump, they seemed to make a noise, one of them got nearly opposite to me, and hollowed, damn you, what do you do there? I made him no answer, he came up to me and pointed his naked bayonet at my breast, and held it there some time, and told me to get in, I told him to go along; he went towards the Post-office.

Q. Do you know what regiment he belonged to !

A. To the Twenty-pinth.

Q. Did he bid you get in when he asked you what you did there?

A Yes. In a little while I heard a volley of small arms, which I took to be in King-street.

Nathaniel Thayer, Sealer of Wood, fworn.

On the evening of the 5th March I heard a very great noise, my wife said you had better go to the door and see what the matter is; I went, and saw about twenty people I believe, coming through Borlston's alley, there was a terrible swearing, and they had clubs and swords and one thing and another; there came seven soldiers from the Main-guard without any coats on, driving along, swearing, cursing and damning like wild creatures, saying, where are they? Cut them to pieces, slay them all. They came up to my door, I shut my door and went in, they went round the

back lane to King-fireer:—this was after nine, before any guns were fired.

O. Do you know if any of these prisoners were there?

A. No, I cannot fix on any man.

O. Had they any of them pouches on?

A. I cannot say for the pouches; but they had no coats, Those people below at the alley, cried fire! which I took to be a watch-word.

f

t

I

fa

O. Were those you saw before foldiers or town's people? A. They came from the Barracks, and they were both

foldiers and town's people.

Q. How long were they there?

A. Not two minutes, they went down towards the Mar-Let, and came up to King fireet by the back-lane.

Nathaniel Appleton, Merchant, Sworn,

On the evening of the 5th March, a little after nine, I was sitting in my house, I heard a considerable noise in the freet, I listened a little, and found it continued, I went to the door, I found the chief of the noise was at the bottom of the street, I enquired the reason, I was told the soldiers and inhabitants were fighting; I waited at the door a minute or two, people were running down in two's and three's at a time, at length the noise subsided, and seemed to be down by Dock-Square; I heard the bells ring and heard the cry of fire, I asked where it was! I was answered there was none, but the inhabitants and foldiers fighting. Deacon Mar/h came out, and there came a party of foldiers from the fouthward, ten or twelve I think, they had short cloths I think, I faw fome white flieves amongst them with bayonets in their hands, but I apprehended no danger from them; I flood on the flep of the door, they appeared to be pushing right down the street, when they got a few rods from the door, their course began to bend towards us, still I apprehended nothing but that they were coming to walk on the fide of the way, then they lifted up their weapons, and I began to apprehend danger, they faid fomething, I do not know what it was, but I went in as fast as I could, and that the door immediately. They were within half a foot of it, had it been open a second longer they would have had the command of the door, but I was too quick for them, and bolted my door, went up to my chamber, looked out of my window, and faw people flying here and

there like pidgeons, and the foldiers running about like mad men in a fury till they got to the bottom of the freet.

John Appleton, a young lad, fon to Nathaniel Appleton, fworn-

About nine I was sent on an errand into King-street, I had my little brother with me, I heard a noise, I run out of the shop where I was, to see what was the matter, I went into the middle of the street, and saw some talking to the Sentry, I thought they were going to quarrel, and came away. Coming by Jenkin's alley, my little brother with me, there came out about twenty soldiers with cutlasses in their hands, my brother sell and they run past him, and were going to kill me, I said, soldiers spare my life, one of them said no, damn you, we will kill you all; he listed his cutlass and struck at my head, but I dodged and got the blow on my shoulder.

Q. Was the cutlass drawn?

A. I believe it was not, for it ratled on my shoulder as if it had been sheathed.

Lieut. Col. Thomas Marshal, Taylor, fworn,

I was at Col. Jack/on's a few minutes after nine on the 5th of March. When I came out into Dockfquare, the fquare was entirely quiet, I saw no persons in the whole square. I came up Royal-exchange lane, I faw nobody there. I faw the Sentry at the head of it in peace and quietness, nobody troubling him : I never faw King-fireet more quiet in my life. I went into my house, where was a kinsman of mine; I asked him how he did, and while I was speaking the youngman in the shop knocked for me, I went into the shop, and in half a minute, I heard the cry of murder once or twice; there is mischief, said I, at a distance, so there is, said be; I opened the front door to see, I saw nobody. I heard a lad noise, which seemed to come from Rowe's barracks. I stopped a little, space, and the first I faw enter King-fireet, was a party from the Main-guard, ten or twelve came rushing out violently, I saw their arms glitter by the moon light, hallowing, damn them, where are they, by Jesus let them come. Some of them turned into Pudding-lane, and some went by the Town-house steps; I went in and told my family to keep themselves easy, for there was no diffurbance near the house. I went to the door again, and saw a party about the head of Quaker-lane, and they used much

the fame expressions as the aforesaid party, and hallowed fire. They passed over the way, and the shade of the moon light hindered me to fee if they went down Royal-exchange lune, or went up towards the Town-boufe. Something Strikes my mind, I am not politive now, but I think it was that night, there were a few boys round the Sentry. I went and faid, boys you have no bufiness with the Sentry, go off, and they went off. I have often feen boys with the Sentry, and heard words often. The bells were then ringing, and the people began to collect as they do at the cry of fire, and I began to think it was fire. I had a mind to get my staff and go out, but I had a reluctance, because I had been warned not to go out that night : but while the people were collecting, I came to the door, and faw them gathering thick from all quarters, forty, fifty, or fixty. When the party came down, I thought it was no more than I had feen every day, I thought they had come to relieve the Sentry, they feemed to be in a posture of defence, and came through the people. I faw no opposition. When they came up, they palled out of the moon light into the dark, fo that I could not see them, but I wondered to find them tarry fo long. I heard a gun go off, I thought it was an accident, but in a little time another gun went off, and a third and fourth, pretty quick, and then the fifth. There feemed to be a finall stop in their firing, I than had no concern, but before the imoke was well away, I faw the people dead on the ground. I faw no opposition when they were drawn up, the people were not near them; what opposition might be at the lane I could not perceive, because the box covered that from my view.

jou

11

fix

· fix

W

Q. Are you certain that the foldiers came from the Main-

Guard?

A. Yes, I am certain of it.

Q. You saw that party that fired come from the Mainguard, but the first party of ten or twelve, did they come out from the Main-guard?

A. Yes.

Q. How were they dreffed?

A. I could not see their dress, but I saw their arms glitter.

SIX o'clock. P. M. the Court adjourned to Thursday morning Nine o'clock.

[53]

Thursday NINE o'clock, the Court met according to adjournment and proceeded.

Joseph Grofswell, Taylor, Sworn.

Next morning after the 5th of March, in King-street, before the soldiers were apprehended, I saw Killroy, I have known him by sight almost ever since he hath been here, I saw his bayonet bloody, the blood was dryed on five or six inches from the point.

Q. How near were you to the bayonet ?

A. About the same distance I am from the Judges, viz.

Q. Was it shouldered?
A. I forget the posture.

Q. Are you fure it was blood ?

A. It appeared to be covered from the point five or fix maches, it appeared to me to be blood, and I thought then, it was blood dryed on.

James Carter, Writing School-master, fworn.

The next morning I observed the same with Mr. Gross-well, I do not know his name, but that's the man (painting to Killroy) his gun was rested on his right arm.

Q. Did it appear to you to be covered from the point with

blood ?

A. Yes, I am politive it was blood.

Q. How nigh was you to him?

A. As nigh as I am to you, Sir, viz. three feet off.

Jonathan Cary, Kegg-maker, fworn.

Q. Did you know young Maverick, who was killed by the firing in King fireet on the 5th of March?

A. Yes, very well.

Q. Did you fee him that night?

A. He was at my house that night at supper with some young lads, and when the bells rung, as we all thought for sire, he run out in order to go to it.

John Hill, Elq; Sworn.

Q. Did you see any thing of the affray at the Rope-walks?

A. I faw a party of the foldiers near the Rope-walks with clubs, ordered them to disperse, commanded the peace, told them I was in commission for the peace, they paid no

E 54 3

regard to me or my orders, but cut an old man who was coming by, before my face, and some of them struck at me, but did not hit me.

O. Were any of the prisoners among them?

A. I do not know that they were.

The evidence for the Crown being closed, Samuel Quincy, Esq; then addressed the Court and Jury, as follows:

May it please your Honours, and you Gentlemen of the Jury, HAVING gone through the evidence on the part of the crown, it is my province to support the charge against the prisoners. The examination hath been lengthy, and from the nature of the transaction complex, and in some part difficult; I shall apply it as distinctly as I am able, without endeavouring to misrepresent or aggravate any thing to the prejudice of the prisoners on the one hand, or on the other to neglect any thing that justice to the deceased sufferers, the laws of my country, or the preservation of the peace of society demand.

1

T

E t t e ji v o

There are two things necessary to prove, which I mentioned in the first opening of this cause, namely, the identity of the prisoners, that is, that they were that party of men, who, on the 5th of March last, were in King-street, and that they committed the facts mentioned in the indistments, and farther, Gentlemen, the circumstances attend-

ing and agglavating the commission of those facts.

As to the first point, to prove the identity of the prisoners, all of them have been sworn to, and most of them by more than one witness.

To KILLROY, Gentlemen, you have Langford, Archi-bald and Brewer, who swear positively; and farther you have the evidence of Ferriter and Hemmingway. The one, of Killroy's being in the affray at the rope-walks, and the other to his uttering a number of malicious and threatning expressions in regard to the inhabitants of the town of Boston.

To WHITE, gentlemen, you have four more, Simpfon, Langford, Bailey and Clark.

To MONTGOMERY, you have Bailey, Palmes, Bass,

Danbrook and Wilkinfon.

eace, they paid no

To HARTEGAN, you have Danbrook and Simpson.
To WEMMS, you have Simson and Bridgham.

To CARROL, Baily and Danbrook.

To WARREN, Bridgham, Dodge and Simpson. Bridgham indeed expressed some doubt, and gave his reasons for it, which may be worthy notice hereafter.

To M'CAULEY, you have Mr. Austin,

And that Warren was at the Rope-walks, you have allo

the testimony of Mr. Ferriter.

All these witnesses as I have mentioned them to you, have testified on oath to the several prisoners, that they were that evening in King-street, and of the party; the next thing to be enquired into, gentlemen, is as to the facts. In order to ascertain these it will be necessary to have recourse to the testimony of the witnesses. I could have wished I had been able, after the fatigue of yesterday, to have ranged the evidence in the order of time as the facts took place; but not being able to do this, I must take them up as the witnesses were examined. I will however endeavour

to state the facts in in the best arrangement I can.

The first witness Mr. Austin. says, that he was in Kingstreet that evening, near the Sentry-box which was placed
at the Custom-bouse; that about a quarter after nine he
saw the party coming from the main-guard; when they
got down to the Sentry box, they wheeled to the left and
formed themselves round it; and in coming round M'Caules
pushed at him with his bayonet, damned him, and bid him
to stand off, this was the first instance of their conduct. Mr.
Austin was not particular who fired, his back being towards
the soldiers when that happened. He says there were five
or six guns fired; and he saw M'Caules after the siring.
These are the most material cicumstances of his testimony.

The next witness is Bridgham, who says, he was in Kingfireet also; and the next morning when he went to the
goal to view the prisoners, he apprehended he had seen
Warren in King sireet the evening before, but afterwards he
saw a person that looked very like him belonging to the same
regiment, which occasioned him to doubt whether he was
the man or not; my remark upon this, is, it was probable
that the first impressions made on his mind were the strongest, and therefore you cannot well doubt he was right in
judging that Warren was in fact the person he saw the evening before; he saw also Wemms the corporal stationed
on the left of the party betwixt him and the tall man;
the Carporal was on the left entire, if so, gentlemen, Ware

ren must have been the third man from the left in that fituation; there were a number of people, he fays, round the party huzzaing, some having sticks; his face was the other way when the first gun went off, he heard a noise like the clashing of guns, he saw Gray fall, and says the person that killed him must have been near the center of the party; when the left man fired there were but few in the ffreet. they divided and were passing off; the last man that fired, e fays leveled his piece, following a lad that was running lown the ffreet before he fired; he also mentions a number of people coming down from the north fide of the Townboufe, collected, as he supposed, by the bells, and not disposed to commit any injury whatfoever; he did not apprehend himself, or the soldiers in any danger from any thing he observed; he says, about seven guns were fired, and there were about twelve people at that time before the party. Thefe are the most material circumstances in his evidence

CC

ca

pi

fo fo

de

41

es H

in

Dedge fays, he saw Warren, but cannot swear to any of the rest, the man who sired first he thinks stood towards the lest, about two from the corner, however he was over at Vernen's shop across the street, and perhaps not able to make so good observations as some others; he saw about fifty people in the street, but he saw nothing in their hands; he saw a number of snow balls thrown, but none as he observed with violence or in anger; he saw the people near the party of soldiers, and they pushing at them with their bayonets; he does not imagine there was any thing

befides frow balls thrown.

Clark, the next witness, saw White the Sentines at his sta-

no one at that time near or molesting him.

Mr. Langford comes next, and this withis is parhaps as particular as any one withes on the part of the Crown; it appears by the relation of his evidence that he came down about nine o'clock as a watchman, in order to go to the Watch-house next adjoining the Town-house; when he came down, he was told the people and soldiers were fighting at Murray's Barracks; upon this he took his course that way, but the matter being over by the time he got there, he returned to King street: there were a number of boys round the Sentinel, to whom he spoke and told him he need not fear, the boys would not burt him; soon after this the Sentinel, without saying any thing to the people

went up the Guffsm-houfe steps, and knocked at the door; a person within opened it, and faid something, but what, the witness did not hear; upon that the Sentines turned round, and pointed his piece at the people opposite to him. Langford fooke again, and told him there was no danger, the boys would not hurt him, and he shouldered. The witness continued talking with the Sentry till the party came down, and then he went into the street. About this time Gray, one of the unhappy sufferers, came and clapped Langford on the houlder, faying, What's here to pay! Langford replies, I do not know, but fomething, I believe, will come of it by and by; his stand was half way, as he faid, betwixt the Sentrybox and Royal exchange-lane; the box being on the right corner of the lane, and he opposite the centre of the lane; the witness and Gray were standing together, talking familliarly Langford leaning on his flick, and Gray flanding, with his hands folded in his botom, without a flick in his hand, neither faying or doing any thing to the foldiers. You cannot but recollect, Gentlemen, that this witness was exprefily and repeatedly alked, if Gray had a flick, or faid any thing to the foldiers ? he as often answered, No. Langford spoke to Killroy, and after two guns were discharged. feeing him present his piece, said to him, Damn you, are you going to fire? Profently, upon this, Killrey levelled his piece, and firing directly at Gray, killed him dead on the fpot! The ball passed through his head, and he fell on Lang. ford's left foot; upon which, not fatisfied with baving murdered one of his fellow creatures in that cruel and inhuman manner, he pushed with his bayonet, and pierced Lanford through his great coat and jacket; here, Gentlemen, if any there can be, is evidence, and I think compleat evidence of a heart desperately wicked, and bent upon mischief, the true characteristic of a wilful malicious murderer.

It could not be thought, at the diffance the witness and Gray were standing from him, without offering any violence, but Killroy the prisoner saw them distinctly, and simed to destroy them. If you compare this testimony with Mr. Hemmingway's, who swears to Killroy's uttering expressions importing, that he would rais no opportunity of siring on the inhabitants, he had wished for it ever since he landed, you certainly, Gentlemen, can have no doubt in your minds but that he had that intention at heart, and took this op-

portunity to execute it.

The crime of murder, Gentlemen, it will be agreed by all, necessarily involves in it the malice of the heart, and that malice is to be collected from the circumstances attending the action; but it is not necessary to constitute malice, that it should be harboured long in the breast; a distinction is made in the books betwixt malice and hatred, and a good distinction it is; I have it in my hand, and will read it:

KELYNGE 126, and 1270 MAWGRIDGE'S CASE.

Some have been led into mistake by not well considering what the passion of malice is; they have construed it to be a rancour of mind lodged in the person killing, for some considerable time before the commission of the fact, which is a mistake arising from their not well dislinguishing between hatred and malice. And a little after, Malice is a design formed of doing mischief to another; cum quis data opera male agit, he that designs and usether the means to do ill, is malicious. 2 Inst. 42. He that doth a cruel ast voluntarily, doth it of malice propensed. Inst. 62.

Though, Gentlemen, it happens on a sudden occasion as this was, if the act is in its nature wanton and cruel, the law will presume it to be malicious, unless that presumption

is taken off by contrary evidence. The survey much govern

Ferriter, who testified to the same person, tells you, he was remarkably active at the Rope walks amongst the rest of the soldiers; taking therefore all the circumstances of this testimony together, it must remove every fort of dissipationally in your minds as to the purpose Killray had at that time; it seems apparent that there were strong marks of malice in his heart; the person you can have no doubt of; the fact you can have no doubt of; nor can you, I think, doubt of the species of crime.

The next witness, who also testifies to Killroy's going down, and being of the party, swears, that he was about twenty feet from the party when the first gun was fired; that he also had been, previous to this, at Murray's barracks, when the affray happened there, and tells you the behaviour

of the foldiers in that scene.

Brewer, another witness, also swears to Killroy. He saw the sentines on the Custom-house steps; at that time there were about twenty people, boys chiefly about fourteen, and some younger, round about him, but they made no great shew; he saw the Captain come down with the

party, the Sentinel at this time had his gun breaft high that while the witness was speaking to Monk, (a young lad who was wounded) he loft fight of Presson, and the guns went off. Monk complained of being that, but Brewer apprehended it was nothing but powder, and that he was more frightened than hurt; the firing began at the right, and extended to the corner man on the left. Killroy attacked this witness in the same manner M' Cauley did Austin, by pushing at him with his bayonet; a number were collected by the ringing of the bells, but he heard nothing particular in regard to abulive language; he law no fnow balls thrown, and when the foldiers came down, he heard fome of the people crying, Fire, and that was the general cry; some crying fire because the bells rung; some, no doubt, fire to the foldiers, daring them to it; but of this nothing great can be made in the present case. There were seven guns, he says, fired, he was certain as to the number, having counted them himself. He says further, he met Dr. Young in Dockfquare and that he had a fword; the witness faid, let every man go to his own home, and the Doctor replied, that is the best way, the foldiers are gone to their barraeks : perhaps fomething will be attempted to be made of this circumstance, and therefore I shall make an observation upon it .- If you attend to the testimony of several of the witnesses, there were that evening in the streets at all parts of the town, a number of foldiers; they fallied out from Murray's barracks and every where with clubs, cutlaffes, and other weapons of death; this occasioned a general alarm; every man therefore had a right, and very prudent it was to endeavour to defend himself if attacked; this accounts for the the reason of Dr. Young or any one inhabitant of the town having a fword that evening, the Doctor furely could not be supposed to have any intentions of mischief, because the same witness tell you his cry was, the foldiers were gone to their barracks, and go every man to his own home.

Mr. Baily, the next witness, testifies as to the identity of some of the party, that there were Montgomery, Carrol and White there; that he placed himself at the post by the Custom house, and stood there all the time; that there were about twenty boys, some sourteen years old, and some under that; he was near the Sentinel when the party came down; Carrol pointed at his breast with his bayonet, and White said do not hurt him; that Montgomery discharged his piece first:

be thinks it was about half a minute before the feeded gun went off; the grenadler's gun he fays was struck out of his hand by some person near him, and that he recovered it; and then sited; that Carrol was the next but one to him; he imagines, Gentlemen, that Montgomery killed Attacks: Attacks was about sifteen seet from him over the gutter: He continued in his station at the corner from the time of the party's coming down till all was over; he did not apprehend himself or the soldiers in danger from clubs, sticks, snow balls, or any thing else; he saw the person that struck Montgomery, as he supposed, at the corner of Royal-exchange-same; he was asked, if Attacks was the person, he answered, No. From this witness you ascertain, Gentlemen, that Montgomery fired first, and that he was on the

right wing of the party.

The next witness is Mr. Palmes; he saw the sentry, and nobody near him: He had come from Murray's barracks, and bearing a disturbance in King-street, he was told he had better not go down, he faid, I will, and try to make peace; he alfo law Montgomery there; the flick that struck Montgomery was thrown, as he apprehended: Montgomery stept back, and then fired; he thinks he heard seven or eight guns, but did not count them, and it was seven or eight seconds between the first and second gun; as the last gun went off, Montgomery pushed at him with his bayonet, and he struck him with his cane, and struck the gun down; the bayonet fluck in the fnow, and the gun fell out of his hand; Mr. Palmes at this time flipt and fell, but quickly recovered himself; Montgomery attempted again to push him with his bayonet, and he threw his cane at him and run; not fatish? ed with this, Montgomery attempted to push him a third time, and in that attempt he flipt and fell, and thereby gave Palmes an opportunity to get out of his way, or else he says he had been run through the body; from the testimony of this witness, you have further proof, that Montgomery was the person who fired first; that after firing; he continued to discover marks of malice and malevolence, by pushing with his bayonet, and endeavouring to deftroy not only Mr. Palmer, but all around him.

Next comes Mr. Danbrook; he faw there Hartegan, Montyomery, and Garrol. Here is another witness to three of the party; it was about a quarter after nine when he came up; he stood about ten or twelve feet from Montgomery; he saw no thick frike him, but a little flick he fays flew over their heads, which he took to be a piece of a rattan; he was looking on Mantgomery when he fired; this is another evidence as to the fact of firing, upon which, the witness thinks, two men fells if that was the case, there was execution indeed; by the discharge of one gun two persons killed on the spot! He did not hear the second gun, but supposes, that by one of the guns Attucks fell, he stooped to see if the Molatto was dead, then turned round and saw another man fall; Attucks at that time was near him, at his left, leaning on his stick; that circumstance I would have you keep in your minds Gentlemen, that you may remember it when you have the whole evidence together.

change lane; when he got into King fireet, he saw Montgomery there; here Gentlemen is another witness as to the identity of one of the prisoners, and the witness saw him push his bayonet at a man that stood near him; he drew back into the lane, and in a minute Montgomery fired; the number of guns he took to be fix, but did not count them; the people began on the firing of the first gun to run, some one way and some another. As he came up Dock-square, the people were saying let us go home, there is no sire, the soldiers are

gone to their barracks.

After this witness comes Mr. Wilkin fon, who gave a very regular account; he tells you he was at his own house when the bells rung for nine as usual; a little while after that he heard Dr. Cooper's bell, on which apprehending it was for fire, he put on his furtout, and went out; he came towards the Town-House, went past it as far as the town-pump, and the people from the windows were cautioning those in the street not to go down, for they would be killed; the night was fo bright that he was able where he was to fee down the frient as far as Boylston's alley, and there he saw a number of soldiers fallying out, brandishing their swords, and contending with the people; there were about thirty or forty round them with buckets and bags, thinking, as he supposed, that the bells rung for fire; after this he went to the Guard-house, intending to wait there, to learn if any mischief had be done at the barracks; he presently law Capt. Preston come down, as he imagined from behind the Old Brick meetinghouse, and call to the guard, and ordered them to turn out ; then he faw the party come out, and faw the Captain

draw his fword and march down with them; at that time there were about thirty or forty people in King fireet; he went a little lower, and turned back again round the north fide of the Town houle, and placed himlelf at the Royal-Exchange tavern; and the party was formed when he got there: he tells you he was not at all apprehensive of danger, consequently he was capable of making observations, and placed himself in such a situation as to do it; the party formed in a circle, and he flood about four or five minutes, before he heard the word given to fire: that he heard it twice: on the first command they did not fire tit was repeated, and then the guns went off one after another, like the Ariking of a clock, he was about two yards from them and thinks the firing began at the right. This corresponds with the testimony of several witnesses. He saw the sash of each gun, feven went off and one flashed. There Gentlemen you have evidence of all the party's, firing fave one; the witness was affeed if he faw fnow-balls, ice, oyfter-fhells, on any thing elfe thrown by the people, to which he answered No; he faid, if he had, he should have thought himself in danger, and have retreated; he heard two or three cheers before the party came down, but none afterwards. Now, Gentlemen, if you recollect that circumstance, and the manner of his relating it, you will remember he expressed himself very emphatically; from this tellimony you have further express evidence of the fact of firing, that it came from the right, and from thence followed on to the left; he did not fee the persons who were killed, therefore there is nothing in his evidence relating to that. on soo in the hours of all to

ìì

1

P

From the next witness, Mr. Simpson, you have proof of White, Wemms, Warren, and Hartegan, four of the prisoners, that they were all of the party that evening; and after relating a number of minute circumstances, he swears to the discharge of eight guns, which if you give credit to his testimony, will prove to you that the whole party fired; from him you have also further evidence of the killing Attacks, Gray and Galdwell.

Mr. Fosdick, deposes that upon his going down King-street, the first salutation he had, was the pressing of soldiers behind him with the points of their bayonets, crying out. damn your blood stand out of the way I this Gentleman, was the conduct of the party as they came down along. From Mr. Fosdick also you have evidence of their managers.

fired, the second man from the right pushed his bayonet at him, and wounded him in the breast, you saw Gentlemen the mark in Court; before this two different men pierced him in the arm and elbow quite to the bone; here Gentlemen were three thrusts given to a person innocently passing down upon the cry of sire! he knew not as he swears to you, what was the occasion of the partyls coming down. The right-hand Grenadier sell after he had fired, occasioned by pulling at a person who went down Royal exchange lane, this probably was Mr. Palmes, in whose evidence if you remember, you have this circumstance related, that on his pushing at him the third time, in ontgomery's foot slipped, which gave him an opportunity to escape down the lane.

Hemming way, the next witness, swears, that being in company with Killroy, he heard him say he never would miss an opportunity to fire on the people of the town, for he had wanted it ever since he landed; that Killroy was not then in liquor nor appeared to be in anger; he told him he was a fool for saying so; he said I do not care, I will not miss an opportunity for all that; these expressions Gentlemen, speak for themselves, they are of such a nature as you cannot but draw from them the temper of the man's heart who spoke them, which you will consider at your leisure.

Mr. Hillier, came from the North end, was told there was no fire, but the foldiers were infulting the inhabitants; number of people in Dock Square seemed afraid to go up to King-fireet, another circumstance which accounts for the appearance of the inhabitants, at that time in Dock fquare; the witness went up to King fireet, faw the Sentry with his bayonet charged breast high, about twenty or thirty boys about him; he had often feen many more in that street in such a night as that was; it was bright moon light; the people on the party's coming down feemed to collect in a body in Royal-exchange-lane; as he passed the last man, he heard a gun from the right, thinks it was about twenty feconds before the second gun fired; he observed a little boy running a cross the street crying fire, and the left hand man followed the boy with his gun; there was nothing passed he observed to induce them to apprehend any danger; he fays, had even the foldiers pointed at me, I should not have thought myfelf in danger; he thinks there were fix guns fired; he hw no fnow balls thrown, if there had been, he must have from them. When the foldiers came down, there was a fort of shouting, and a short time after, the first gun fired. I need not dwell longer on this testimony for you must re-

member it yourselves. It and the stand and them

Nicholas Ferriter was next fworn, who knew Killroy and Warren; he fwears to their being at the Rope-walks before this affair happened; he relates the circumstances of three Geveral attacks in the Rope-walks, the first was a single perfon who challenged him out to fight; a fquabble enfued, and the foldier took to his heels; he foon collected a dozen more, came again, and had a farther battle, in which the foldiers were again worsted; they then collected a large number, to the amount of thisty, and in about three quarters of an hour they came back, and went at it again; in this last fquabble the foldiers were a third time worked From this affair perhaps may be dated a good deal of the proceedings of the Monday evening; you have heard from the witnesses that the foldiers of that regiment remembered the grudge, and discovered a malicious disposition; were frequently feer in parties, and when fingle, with arms, attacking the people passing the streets. Killroy, one of the prisoners, and Warren, are expressly sworn to, that they were in this affray; Gray and Feeriter went into King-fireet, Gray had no flick; Ferriter left Gray in King-fireet; it appears he did not go down with a disposition to commit any affault at all.

Burdick is the next witness, he says when he came down to King-street he spoke to a soldier, he thinks it was Montgomery, he asked him if he was loaded and intended to sire; yes, by the eternal God! was the answer he received.—The intention of that soldier, whoever he was, you clearly discover; the witness thinks it was Montgomery; he says surther, a soldier pushed at him with his bayonet, and he struck his gun; he saw nothing slung but a small stick, which hit nobody; as he was stooping to take up the dead, they cocked their guns and presented at him again; thus you see the same disposition continued, they were aiming to push at every body round about them; and after they had killed these persons, they were not satisfied with that, but attempted to push those that were taking them away.

Mr. Williams who was next fworn, hath nothing material in his testimony, but that of the guns following the people as they ran after the first gun was fired; that seven

guns were fired, that he faw no flicks or fnow balls fall near them, that all the fnow balls he did fee feemed to be

light, and not hard.

It has been asked from the bench, Whether there may not be voluntary manslaughter. I readily grant there may; it has also been observed, that homicide which includes murder, must be committed with coolness and deliberation; I allow it, and my application of this rule, is, that it comes within the evidence you have of the particular facts related by the witnesses with regard to Killroy; there is no manner of doubt with me, but the fact was done in the manner which the law calls fedato animo; he was doing a deliberate action with a cool and calm mind; it appears, if you believe Langford, he was not molested; it appears the person he killed, and at whom he aimed, and the person whose cloaths he pierced with his bayonet, were standing peaceably, one leaning on a stick, and the other with his arms folded.

After the witnesses we have gone through, a number of gentlemen were examined, most of whom lived in Garnhill, who have testified to the conduct of the soldiers, that even-

ing the affair happened.

e

,

ê

it

it

n

6

-

ys

he

k,

us

to

ad

ut

ial

en

I will not take them in order, for I apprehend, by recuring to Colonel Marshal first, the rest will come in more naturally; he fays, he came from Colonel Jackson's in Dockfquare, about a quarter after nine o'clock; that the ftreet was quite ftill, nobody passing thio' Dock-fquare; he came up to his own house next the Custom-house, he passed the Sentinel, and there was no body near him; King-street was quite still, fewer people passing than he had usually feen on fuch a fine night; he went into his own house, and soon after heard a distant cry of murder, what part of the street it came from he did not know : He, gentlemen, you will remember, intimated also this circumstance, that he had been warned not to go out that evening; this gave him an apprehension there was some mischief to be betwirt the foldiers and the inhabitants; he mentioned it to the person in the shop, and went out; looking towards the Guard-boufe. he faw a number of foldiers iffue from thence in an undrefs. with naked fwords, cutlaffes, &c. crying out "Damn them where are they? By Jesus let 'em come." As to the situation of the Moon, whether the was north or fouth, which has been much altercated, I cannot fee it will make much one way or the other, it is sufficient that Colonel Marshall,

whole credibility and capacity will not be disputed, has fworn that from his door he observed a party of soldiers come down in undress, armed with cuttasses and other weapons, the cutlaffes he swears he particularly saw glittering in the moon light; the expressions he faid he plainly heard, while they were brandishing their swords; when this party passed off, he saw a second party come up Quaker-lane, armed in the same manner, and making use of the same kind of language, and that party, he faid, cried fire; in his testimony on the trial of Captain Preflon, he faid the bells rung on that cry; he expressed some doubt of this yesterday, but it was certainly just about that time; the use I would make of this is, to compare it with what the other witnesses say of the conduct of the foldiers in Cornhill; as Mr. Thayer expreffes it, it is probable the word fire was a watch-word; it appears to me, that if we can believe the evidence, they had a delign of attacking and slaughtering the inhabitants that night, and they could have devised no better method to draw out the inhabitants unarmed, than to cry fire!

Mr. Thayer was fitting at his fire, in Carnhill, near Boylston's alley, he heard a great noise, and went to the door, he saw seven soldiers in an undress coming down like wild creatures, with cutlasses in their hands, crying damn them, where are they? upon this he heard a cry of sire,

and supposed it to be a watch-word.

Mr. Kneeland, who lives by the town-pump, came out and stood at his door; saw a number of soldiers pass by him armed; one of them came up to him and said, damn you what do you do here? and pointed his bayonet to his

breast, telling him to go in.

Mr. Appleton, who lived opposite, tells you he was standing by his neighbour Mr. Marsh, they were both at the door; a number of soldiers came running down, armed with cutlasses, in an undress, and they seemed to come out of their way, (observing them at the door) with uplisted weapons, intending, as it appeared, to strike them; but they fortunately got into their doors.

Then, gentlemen, comes the son of Mr. Appleton, the young master who was sworn yesterday, whose story, with his manner of telling it, must strike deep into your minds; I am sure it did in mine; a child of his age, with a younger brother, sent of an errand a few steps, and on returning bome, struck at by a party of soldiers, nay russians, with

cutlasses, he innocently crying, soldiers spare my life! No, damn you, we will kill you all, or words to that purpose, attended with a blow, was the answer the little victim reseived! what can indicate malice if this does not! cruelty almost equal to that of a Pharon or Herod. I remember at the last trial, my brother Adams made this observation, that "Man is a focial creature, that his feelings, his paffions, his imaginations are contagious," I am fure if in any instance it is so, here was food enough for such

passions, such imaginations to feed upon.

But, Gentlemen, as it does not immediately relate to the prisoners, all the use I mean to make of it is, to show you that from the conduct and appearance of the foldiery, in different parts of the town, the inhabitants had reason to be apprehensive they were in danger of their lives; children and parents, husbands and wives, masters and servants, had reafon to tremble one for another. This apprehension, together with the ringing of the bells, collected numbers of people in different quarters, as is commonly the case when there is any appearance of fire; and the center of the town, when there is a doubt where fire is, becomes naturally the place of rendezvous: this accounts for the number of people that were there, and for some having sticks and canes. I mention this only to take off the force of any evidence or pretence that may be made, that there was an intention of the people to affault, or as it has been expressed, swallow up the foldiers.

I have now gone through the evidence on the part of the Crown, in support of the charge against the prisoners, I shall make a very few observations, and leave it with theprisoners and their Council to make their defence, and Mr. Paine, who is on the fide of the crown with me, to close the

I think, Gentlemen, upon the whole evidence, you can, in the first place, have no doubt but that all the prisoners at the bar were of that party of foldiers, headed by Capt. Prefton, who went down to the Custom-house on the 5th March, the evening mentioned in the indictments; that the five perfons named in those indictments were killed by some or other of that party, but who they were that killed those several persons, may not be precisely ascertained, except in the case of Killroy, against whom I think you have certain evidence. attob to more

It is a rule of law, Gentlemen, when the fact of killing is

once proved, every circumstance alleviating, excusing, or justifying, in order to extenuate the crime must be proved by the prisoners, for the law presumes the fact malicious,

untill the contrary appears in evidence.

There is another rule I shall mention also, and that is, that it is immaterial, where there are a number of persons concerned, who gave the mortal blow, all that are present, are in the eye of the law principals. This is a rule settled by the Judges of England upon solid argument. The question therefore then will be, what species of homicide this is? and the decision of that question must be deferred, untill the defence comes out by the evidence on the other side.

tl

m

to

qı

m

ta

be

in

th

ex

tin

en

jul

tle

fid

ot

th

The laws of fociety, Gentlemen, lay a restraint on the passions of men, that no man shall be the avenger of his own cause, unless through absolute necessity, the law giving a remedy for every wrong; if a man might at any time execute his own revenge, there would be an end of law.

A person cannot justify killing, if he can by any means make his escape; he should endeavour to take himself out of the way, before he kills the person attacking him.

Here one of the Court judging it improper for the Council in opening the cause to anticipate the desence, and this being determined by the whole Bench, Mr. Quincy then closed, with saying,

I was about to make fome farther remarks, but it is thought by the Honourable Court improper to anticipate what may be urged on the other side. I shall therefore rest the case as it is, and doubt not but on the evidence as it now stands, the facts, as far as we have gone, against the prisoners at the bar, are fully proved, and until something turns up to remove from your minds, the force of that evidence, you must pronounce them GUILTY.

Mr. Josian Quincy, jun.

May it please your Honours, and you Gentlemen of the Jury.

THE prisoners at the bar stand indicted for the murder of five of his Majesty's leige subjects, as set forth in the several indictments, which have been read to you: the persons slain, those indictments set forth, as "being in the peace of God, and our Lord, the King," at the time of the moratal wounds given.

To these indictments the prisoners have feverally pleaded Not Guilty; and for their trial have put themselves on God and their country; which country you are. And by their pleas, thus feverally pleaded, they are to stand or fall, by the evidence, which shall respectively apply to them.

By their plea of Not Guilty, they through the burden of proof, as to the fact of killing, upon the Crown; but, upon which being proved, the matters they aledge to julify, excufe, or extenuate, must be adduced by them, and supports ed by legal evidence. The truth of the facts they may thus alledge, is your fole and undoubted province to determine; but upon a supposition, that those facts shall appear to your satisfaction, in the manner we alledge, the grand question then to be determined, will be, -whether, such matters so proved, do in law extenuate, excuse, or justify. The decision of this question belongs to another department, namely, the Court. This is law fo well known and acknowledged, that I shall not now detain you by a recital of authorities, but only refer to Judge Foster's Crown Law, where this point is treated with precision, and fixed beyond controversy. It may not be amis, however, to affore you, that as certain as the cognizance of facts is within your jurisdiction, as certain does the law, resulting from these facts, in cases of the present kind, seem to reside solely in the Court; unless cases where juries, under the direction of the Court, give general verdicts, may be denominated exceptions.

I take it, that in the cause now before us, it will not be contested, that five persons were unfortunately killed, at the time the indictments charge; and this case will naturally enough divide itself, into three main divisions of enquiry.

I. Whether any homicide was committed ?

II. By whom was it committed?

III. Is there any thing appearing in evidence, which will justify, excuse, or extenuate such homicide, by reducing it

to that species of offence, called manslaughter?

Before we enter upon these enquiries, permit me, Gentlemen, to remind you of the importance of this trial, as it relates to the prisoners.—It is for their lives! If we confider the number of persons now on trial, joined with many other circumstances which might be mentioned, it is by farthe most important this country ever saw.

[70]

Remember the ties you are under to the prisoners and even to yourselves; the eyes of all are upon you. Patience in hearing this cause is an essential requisite; candor and caution are no less essential. It is tedious and painful to attend so lengthy a trial; but remember the time which has been taken up by the Crown, in the opening. By every bond of humanity and justice, we claim an equal indulgence: nay, it is of high importance to your-country, that nothing should appear on this trial to impeach our justice, or stain our humanity.

And here let me remind you of a notion, which has certainly been too prevalent, and guard you against its baneful influence. An opinion has been entertained by many among us, that the life of a foldier, was of very little value: of much less value than others of the community.—
The law, Gentlemen, knows no such distinction;—the life of a soldier is viewed by the equal eye of the law, as essential.

able as the life of any other citizen.

I cannot any other way account for what I mention, but by supposing, that the indigence and poverty of a soldier the toils of his life—the severity of discipline to which he is exposed—the precaurious tenure by which he is generally thought to hold his life, in the summary decisions of a court-martial, have conspired to propagate a sentiment of this kind; but a little attention to the human heart, will

diffipate this notion.

The foldier takes his choice, like all others, of his course of life:—he has an equal right with you or me fo to do. It is best we should not all think alike. Habit makes all things agreeable. What at first was irksome, soon becomes pleating. But does experience teach, that mifery begets in general an hatred of life. By no means; we all reluct at death; - we long for one short space more -- we grasp, with anxious folicitude, even after a wretched existence. God and Nature has implanted this love of life. - Expel therefore from your breafts, an opinion fo unwarrantable by any law, human or divine; let not any thing so injurious to the prisoners, who value life as much as you; let not any thing to repugnant to all justice have an influence in this trial. The reputation of the country depends much on your conduct, Gentlemen, and, may I not add, Justice calls aloud for candor in hearing, and impartiality in deciding this cause, which has, perhaps, too much engrolled

è

t

V

ti

li

our affections-and, I speak for one, too much excited our

naffions.

in

u-

t.

as

ıl.

at

e,

T.

e-

ny

a :

ife

n-

ut

he

ly

a

of

ill

ſe

0.

ıll

ts

at

p, .

pel

le

ń.

ot

in

on

ce

d-

ed

The law, by which the prisoners are to be tried, is a law of mercy—a law applying to us all—a law, judge Blackstone will tell us founded in principles, that are permanent, uniform and universal, always conformable to the feelings of humanity, and the indelible rights of mankind." Sec. 4, 13. Cap. 3.

How ought we all, who are to bear a part in this day, to aim at a strict adherence to the principles of this law—how ought we all to aim at utterly eradicating every undue bias of the judgment—a bias subversive of all justice and hu-

manity.

Another opinion equally foreign to truth and law has

been adopted by many.

It has been thought, that no possible case could happen, in which a soldier could fire, without the aid of a civil magistrate. This is a great mistake—a very unhappy mistake indeed!—one, I am asraid, that had its influence, on the fatal night, which we all lament. The law, as to the present point, puts the citizen and soldier under equal restraint. What will justify and mitigate the action of the one, will do the same to the other.—Let us bear this invariably in mind, in examining the evidence. But before we proceed to this examination, let us take a transient view of some occurrences, preceding and subsequent to the melancholy sifth of March.

About some sive or six years ago, it is well known, certain measures were adopted by the British Parliament, which gave a general alarm to this continent. Measures were alternately taken in Great Btitain, that awakened jealously, resentment, fortitude, and vigilance.—Affairs continued long sluctating. A sentiment universally prevailed, that our dearest rights were invaded. It is not our business here to enquire touching these delicate points. These are concernments, which however interesting or important in themselves, we must keep far away from us, when in a Court of law. It possons justice, when politics tinctures its

current.

I need not inform you, how the tide rose, as we were advancing towards the present times. The general attention became more and more roused—people became more alike in opinion and practice. A vast majority thought all

that was dear was at stake—sentiments of liberty—property—ignominious bondage—all conspire- to encrease the serment.—At this period the troops land.—Let us here pause,

and view the citizen and foldier,

The causes of grievance being thus spread far and wide the inhabitants viewed the foldiery as called in, foreign from their prime institution, to force obedience to acts, which were, in general, deemed subversive of natural, as well as constitutional freedom. With regard to the universal prevalence of ideas of this kind, it does not fall within our present plan, to give you direct positive evidence. It would be too foreign to the present iffue, though pertinent enough, when considered as a clue to springs and motives of action, and as an additional aid to form a just judgment in our present enquiry. You, Gentlemen, who come from the body of the county, are prefumed to know these facts, if they are true, pay their notoriety must be such, provided I am not mistaken in my conjecture, that the justice of my observation on this matter, must be certainly confirmed by your own experience. I prefume not in this, or any other matter of fact, to prescribe to you; if these sentiments are wrong, they ought to have no influence; if right, they ought certainly to have their due weight.

I say, Gentlemen, and appeal to you for the truth of what I fay, that many on this continent viewed their chains as already forged, they saw fetters as prepared, they beheld the foldiers as fastening, and riveting for ages, the shackles of their bondage With the justness of these apprehensions, you and I have nothing to do in this place. Disquisitions of this fort are for the Senate, and the Chamber of Council-they are for flatesmen and politicians, who take a latitude in thoughts and actions; but we, Gentlemen, are confined in our excursions, by the rigid rules of law.-Upon the real, affual existence of these apprehensions in the community, we may judge—they are facts falling properly within our cognizance—and hitherto may we go, but no further. It is my duty, and I ought to impress it on your minds, and you, Gentlemen ought to retain the impression.-You are to determine on the facts coming to your knowledge :- You are to think, judge, and act as Jurymen,

t

1

i

n

ri

t

7

V

-

and not as State men.

Matters being thus circumstanced, what might be expected. No room was left for cordiality and friendship.

Discontent was seated on almost every brow. Instead of that hospitality, that the soldier thought himself intitled to, scorn, contempt and silent murmurs were his reception. Almost every countenance lowered with a discontented gloom, and scarce an eye, but stashed indignant fire.

Turn and contemplate the camp. Do we find a more fa-

vourable appearance.

)-

ut

n,

p.

The foldier had his feelings, his fentiments, and his characteristick passions also. The constitution of our government has provided a stimulus for his affections.—The pride of conscious virtue, the sense of valour, the point of honour.

The law had taught him to think favourably of himself. Had taught him to consider himself*, as peculiarly appointed for the safeguard and defence of his country. He had heard, that he put not off the citizen, when he entered the camp; but became he was a citizen, and wished to continue

fo, he made himself for a while a soldier.

How stinging was it to be stigmatized, as the instrument of tyranny and oppression? how exasperating to be viewed, as aiding to enthrall his country? He selt his heart glow with an ardour, which be took for a love of liberty and his country, and had formed to himself no design satal to its privileges. He recollected no doubt, that he had heretofore exposed himself for its service. He had bared his bosom in desence of his native soil, and as yet selt the smart of wounds received in consist for his King and Country. Could that spirit, which had braved the shafts of soreign battle, brook the keener wounds of civil contest?—The arrows which now pierced him, pierced as deep, and rankled more, than those of sormer times. Is it rational to imagine much harmony could long subsist?

We must take human nature as we find it, and not vainly imagine, that all things are to become new, at such a criss.

There are an order of men in every commonwealth who never reason, but always act from fealings. That their rights and liberties were filched away one after another, they had often been told. They had been taught, by those whom they believed, that the ax was now laid to the root of the tree, and one more stroke compleated its fall. It was in vain to expect to silence or subdue these emotions by reasons, soothings, or dangers. A belief, that nothing could

^{*} See Blackstone's Commentaries, Vol. I. p. 407.

be worse than the calamities which seemed inevitable, had extended itself on all sides, and arguments drawn from such fources had little influence. Each day gave rife to new occurrences which encreased animolities. Heart-burnings, heats and bickerings became more and more extensive. ciprocal infults fowered the temper, mutual injuffes imbittered the passions.

Can we wonder, that when every thing tended to some important action, the period fo foon arrived? Will not our wonder be encreased, to find the crisis no sooner taking place, when so many circumstances united to hasten its approach? To use an allusion somewhat homely, may we not wonder, that the acid and the alcali, did not sooner fer-

ment ?

A thought here imperceptibly forces itself on our minds, and we are led to be aftonished, that persons so discordant in opinion, so opposite in views, attachments and connections, should be stationed together. But here, Gentle men, we must stop. If we pursue this enquiry, at this time, and in this place, we shall be in danger of doing great injustice. We shall get beyond our limits. The right of quartering troops in this province must be discussed at a different tribunal. The constitutional legality, the propriety, the expediency of their appointment are questions of state, not to be determined, nor even agitated by us, in this Court. It is enough for us, if the law takes notice of them when thus stationed; if it warrants their continuance; if it protects them in their quarters. They were fent here by that authority, which our laws know; they were quartered here, as I take it, agreeable to an act of the British Parliament; they were ordered here, by your Sovereign, and mine. I expect hereafter, to be more particular on this head . deal an event cross that every the readers the

0

21 0

lo

in hi

ev

"

"

15 1

"

31

of t

fult

it ci

poin

at th

rage

nimi

me

Let me here take a method very common, with another order of men. Let me remind you of what is not your

duty. A manta out was hard and this die Gentlemen, great pains have been taken by different men, with very different views, to involve the character, the conduct and reputation of the town of Boston in the present issue. Beston and its inhabitants have no more to do with this cause, than you or any other members of the community. You are, therefore, by no means to blend two things, fo elfentially different, as the guilt or innocence of this town

and the prisoners, together. The inhabitants of Boston, by no rules of law, justice or common sense, can be supposed answerable for the unjustifiable conduct of a few individuals hastily assembled in the streets. Every populous city, in like circumstances, would be liable to similar commotions, if not worse. No rational or honest man, will form any worse opinion of this metropolis, for the transactions of that melancholy night. Who can, who will, unnecesfarily interest themselves, to justify the rude behaviour of a mixt and ungovernable multitude? May I not appeal to you, and all who have heard this tria!, thus far, that things already wear a different aspect from what we have been, heretofore, taught to expect? Had any one told you some weeks ago, that the evidence on the Crown-fide, would have appeared in its present light, would you have believed it? Can any one think it his duty, to espouse the part acted, by those assembled in King-street? - I think not; but lest my opinion should not have any weight, let me remind you of an author, whom, I trust, and wish in the hands of all of you. One whom I trust you will credit. I am sure you ought to love and revere him. I wish his sentiments were ingraven in indelible characters on your hearts. You will not suspect him of being unfriendly to liberty; if this cause and its event must, at all hazards, be held as interwoven with a matter fo foreign to it. I allude to the third Letter of the FARMER of Pennsylvania to his countrymen.

"The cause of liberty, says that great and good writer, is a cause of too much dignity, to be sullied by turbulence and tumult. It ought to be maintained in a manner suitable to her nature. Those who engage in it, should breathe a sedate, yet fervent spirit, animating them to actions of prudence, justice, modesty, bravery, humanity,

" and magnanimity."

d

15

ur

n,

n-

nt

th

y. ef.

WN

What has there transpired on this trial, savouring of any of these virtues? Was it justice or humanity to attack, infult, ridicule and abuse a single Sentinel on his post? Was it either modest, brave or magnanimous to rush upon the points of fixed bayonets; and trisle, vapour, and provoke at the very mouths of loaded muskets. It may be brutal rage, or wanton rashness, but not surely any true magnanimity.

"I hope, says the same eminent writer, my dear countrymen, that you will in every colony be upon your guard

against those, who AT ANY TIME endeavour to stir you up, under pretences of patriotism, to any measures DIS-

" RESPECTFUL to your Sovereign, and our mother

"country." of varval some front in halden

By this it should seem, as though the Farmer never expected any period would arrive, when fuch measures would be warrantable. Now what more difrespectful to our parent country, than to treat with contempt a body of men stationed most certainly by the consent of her supreme legislative, the parliament of Britain? What more difrespetiful of our common fovereign, than to assume the sword of justice, and become the avengers of either public or private wrongs? Tho' the foldiers, who appeared in the earlier part of the evening, in Cornhill, acted like barbarians and savages, they had now retired, and were now confined in their barracks: what tho' an impertinent boy had received unjustifiable correction from the Sentinel; the boy, and the persons in Cornhill, must have recourse only to the law for their redress, Courts of law are stiled " pindices injuriarum," the avengers of injuries, and none others are to assume this prerogative. The law erects itself as the supreme, dernier resort, in all complaints of wrongs; and nothing could more effentially fap our most important interests, than any countenance to fuch dangerous encroachments on the domains of municipal justice.

But finally, to finish with the justly celebrated Farmer—

"Hot, rash, disorderly proceedings, injure the reputation of

a people as to wisdom, valour, and virtue, without procur
ing the least benefit.". Thus have you the sense of this
great authority with us. And let me ask all those, who
have thought the cause of their country connected with the
agents of the assembly in King-street, whether the proceedings of that unhappy night were hat, rash, or disorderly?

If they were, have they not, in the opinion of this great
friend of liberty, injured our reputation, as to wisdom, valour, and virtue; and that too, without procuring the least
benefit? Who then would sacrifice his judgment and his inte-

1

i

h

d

grity, to vindicate swch proceedings?

To what purposes the soldiers were sent; whether it was a step warranted by sound policy or not, we shall not enquire; we are to consider the troops, not as the instruments for wresting our rights, but as fellow citizens, who being to be tried by a law, extending to every individual, claim a part in its benefits—its privileges—its mercy.—We

must steel ourselves against passions, which contaminate the fountain of justice. We ought to recollect, that our present decisions will be scann'd, perhaps thro' all Europe. We must not forget, that we ourselves will have a reslective hour—an hour, in which we shall view things through a different medium—when the pulse will no longer beat with the tumults of the day—when the conscious pang of having betrayed truth, justice, 'and integrity, shall bite

like a ferpent and fting like an adder.

Consider, Gentlemen, the danger which you, and all of us are in, of being led away by our affections and attachments .- We have feen the blood of our fellow men flowing in the streets. We have been told that this blood was wrongfully shed. That is now the point in issue. But let it be borne deep upon our minds, that the prisoners are to be condemned by the evidence here in Conrt produced against them, and by nothing elfe. Matters heard or feen abroad, are to have no weight: in general they undermine the pillars of justice and truth. It has been our misfortune, that a system of evidence has appeared in the world against us. It is not our business to blame any one for this. It is our misfortune, I fay. It should be remembered, that we were not prefent to cross examine: and the danger which refults from having this publication in the hands of those who are to pass upon our lives, ought to be guarded against. We fay we are innocent, by our plea, and are not to be denounced guilty upon a new species of evidence, unknown, in the English system of criminal law.

But as though a feries of ex parte evidence was not enough, all the colours of the canvass have been touched in order to freshen the wounds, and by a transport of imagination, we are made present at the scene of action. The prints exhibited in our houses have added wings to fancy, and in the fervour of our zeal, reason is in hazard of being lost. For as was elegantly expressed, by a learned Gentleman at the late trial, "The passions of man, nay his very imaginations are contagious." The pomp of funeral, the horrors of death have been so delineated, as to give a spring to our ideas, and inspire a glow incompatible with sound, deliberative judgment. In this situation, every passion has alternately been predominant. They have each in its turn, subsided, in degree, and they have sometimes given place to despondence, grief and sorrow. How careful should

we be, that we do not mistake the impressions of gloom and melancholy, for the dictates of reason and truth. How careful, lest borne away by a torrent of passion, we make

in la

for

duce

the

guil

plie

liab

all

of

cun

for

fro

Sh

fta

wl

2

th

ho

th

th

B

di

thip-wreck of conscience.

Perhaps, you may be told, Gentlemen, as I remember it was faid, at the late trial, that passions were like the flux and restux of the sea—the highest tides always producing the lowest ebbs. But let it be noticed, that the tide, in our political ocean, has yet never turned; certainly the current has never set towards the opposite quarter. However similies may illustrate, they never go for proof.—Though I believe, that it will be found, that if the tide of resentment has not risen of late, it has been because, it had reached the summit.—In the same mode of phraseology, if so homely an expression may be used; perhaps, as the seamen say, it has been high-water slack—but I am satisfied the current has not as yet altered its course, in favour of the prisoners at the bar.

Many things yet exist sufficient to keep alive the glow of indignation. I have aimed at securing you against the catching slame. I have endeavoured to discharge my duty, in this respect:—What success will follow those endeavours, depends on you, Gentlemen. If being told of your danger will not produce caution, nothing will. If you are determined in opinion, it is in vain to say more; but if you are zealous enquirers after truth; if you are willing to hear with impartiality—to examine and judge for yourselves—enough has been said to apprize you of those avenues, at which the enemies of truth and justice are most likely to

enter-and most easily to beset you.

Gentlemen of the Jury,

I shall now, for argument's fake only, take it for granted, that the fact of killing, had been proved upon all the prisoners: you are sensible this is not really true; for as to this point, there are several of the prisoners upon whom this fact is not fixed. But as I shall hereafter take occasion to consider the distinct case of each prisoner, as he is affected by the evidence, I at present chuse to avoid confusion, and apply myself to the full strength of the crown; and, upon a supposition, that all the prisoners were answerable for the act of any one, see how the prisoners are chargeable, by the evidence already offered, with the crime of Murder:—or rather endeavour to point out to you those facts, appear-

mg by the evidence on the crown fide, which will amount, in law, to a justification, an excuse, or, at least, an extenuation of their offence.—For we fay, that give the evidence for the king we are force, and our offence is rethe privilege of that law, by in which case, we claim guilty, we must suffer the pains of death :---- which, if can never again claim-a privilege, that by no means nuplies exemption from all punishment: the offender becomes liable to imprisonment, for a year-incurs a forfeiture of all goods and chattels; and, till he receives the judgment of law, is to all intents a felon-fubject to all the disabilities and other incidents of a felon. Without taking up time, in attending and discussing points, no way pertinent to the present issue; without a tedious recapitulation of circumstances, with which, I take it, we have no more concern, than either of you, Gentlemen; I say passing over all these matters as foreign to this trial; -let us state evidence appearing even from the crown witnesses.

These witnesses, (whose testimony I shall not consider in the order they were produced) inform you, that in the former part of the evening a number of soldiers rushed from some of the lanes near the Guard house, or as Col. Marshall supposes, from the Guard house itself. But some circumstances he relates, as to their dress, may render it doubtful, whether he is right in this point. Soldiers on guard have a peculiar regimental habiliment, which they never dare put off; and if I am rightly instructed, no soldiers, but those on duty, are suffered to be at the Guard house at those hours. However thus much is certain, that being dressed in short jackets or working coats, proves them not to be of that particular party who had mounted guard at this time.

The cry was "where are they—damn them where are they!" They brandish their weapons, and proceed to Cornhill. What those weapons were the witnesses say differently. But it should be mentioned, as we go along, that the soldiers of the twenty-ninth, are never allowed to wear swords or cutlasses.

As these soldiers pass down Corn-hill, they assault, abuse and attack people. The soldiers in their turn are beaten. One has his wrist broke—and the general cry soon after was—"they are beaten—they are drove into the barracks!"

Some part of this conduct may hereafter be accounted for,

dar

doz

Mr. to

not

and

frai

YO

to

tic

ti

ty

th

th

b n

and other parts of it may stand in a very different light, But we are ready to admit, that their behaviour was also. But we are ready to admit, that took upon ourselves as any gether unjustifiable—for we don't look upon which, if some way concerned in their conductor, feems more like that of of the witnessee warrans, than like reasonable creatures. If acted like favages or ruffians, what is that to us? This evidence, therefore not applying to this case, we are injur. ed if it has any influence to our prejudice. Being foreign to the iffue, we humbly conceive it ought never to have been introduced; -or being introduced, it ought to be rejected, in our determining the guilt or innocence of the

prifoners.

Mr. Josiah Quincy then proceeded to a minute detail of the crown evidence, pointing out, as he went along, those circumstances that favoured the prisoners; and com. menting chiefly on those facts, which served to refute or invalidate the politions of the Council for the Crown; by showing an affault and attack upon the Sentry. He then reviewed those parts of the evidence, which had a tendency either to prove infult, abuse, or assault and battery of the party; he pointed out the various quarters, from which all thefe, but especially the affault and battery proceeded; and from the facts, time and circumstances testified, inferred the attack to have been on various fides at the fame inflant, From the noises, violence and rattling of the guns he drew other consequences useful to his cause. From the inattention of some, and the forgetfulness of others; from the tumult, fright; confusion and passions in the scene, he made fuch deductions as might account for the contrariety and feeming incompatibility of the evidence.

He next very particularly stated the Evidence for the prisoners, as he had been instructed it would turn out on examination; and as he opened his evidence, he carefully remarked its conformity to, and connection with, many parts of that already exhibited by the Council for the King .-He then called the witnesses, who swore as follow.]

James Crawford, Truckman, Sworn.

Q. Did you observe on the evening of the 7th of March last, any of the inhabitants armed, or any commotions in the streets before the firing?

A. On the night of the 5th of March last, a sittle after dark, as I went home, I met uncommon numbers of people with sticks; at Calef's corner there were more than a dozen inhabitants. I met some also in Quaker-lane, and by Mr. Dalton's, going towards King-street. I looked upon it to be more than what was common. Their sticks looked not to be common walking canes, but pretty large cudgels.

Archibald Gooll, Merchant, fworn.

Q. Did you observe any such commotions at that time?

A. Going over the Swing-bridge, the evening of the 5th of March, I saw people running from all corners, with sticks and instruments in their hands; I being a stranger was afraid to go home; when I came to Faneuil-hall I met with a young man, he said he would conduct me home; as I came to Green's-lane, I met great numbers, twenty or thirty together, and the streets were as full of commotion as ever I saw in my life.

Q. What fort of sticks were they that they had?

A. Uncommon sticks, like what are pulled out of hedges.

Q. What part of the town was you in when you first noticed these commotions?

A. I was croffing the Swing-bridge. This was before any bells rang.

Archibald Wilson, Merchant, Sworn.

Q. Give the Court and Jury an account of the transactions in Dock-fquare, on the evening of the 5th March last?

A. On that evening I was in company with some gentlemen in Mr. William Hunter's house near Dock-square, a certain gentleman came in, and asked how we came to be sitting there, so contented, when there was such trouble betwixt the soldiers and inhabitants; this was betwixt 8 and 9 o'clock. Some of the company went and looked out of the window at the foot of Exchange-lane; I came into the Vendue-room and went to the balcony, there were so many in it I was afraid it would fall down; I withdrew from thence and looked out of the window; I saw a great number of people assembled there before the bells rung; I saw a number of people come from the north end; they made two or three sundry attacks up that lane where the barracks which are called Murray's, or Smith's barracks were.

Q. How were they armed that came from the North-end?

A. They had flicks or flaves, I do not know what they are called.

Q. Was it a moon-light night?

A. I do not remember seeing the moon, but it was very

Q. What number of persons did you see in Dock-square?

A. I cannot fay, I judge there might be about two hundred in all; they left the square and went three different
ways, some up the main street, some up Royal-exchange-lane,
and some up the other lane; they gave two or three cheers
for the main guard; about the space of five or six minutes
after the cheers I withdrew from that house, and went up
Royal-exchange-lane; and when I was about the middle of
the lane the guns went off. I turned, and came down the
lane, and went home.

Q. Did you hear the bells ring?

A. I heard the bells ring, but what time it was I do not -know.

Q. Was it before you went up the lane?

A. Yes.

- Q. Did numbers cry for the main guard, or but one or
- A. Numbers did. They also cryed fire. I said it was very odd to come to put out a fire with sticks and bludgeous.

William Hunter, Vendue-master, fworn.

I was in my own house, and Mr. Wilson, the former witness, with me; we heard a noise, and Mr. Mitchelson came in and told us there was a disturbance amongst the inhabitants and foldiers; I went to the Vendue balcony, and saw great numbers coming up from the North-end, with large sticks in their hands, most of them I saw went in parcels up to the barracks, and then came down in numbers. This they did several times as they gathered from the North-end.

Q. Were the bells ringing?

A. I do not remember; a gentleman came up with a red clock, they gathered round him, and he stood in the middle of them, and they were all very quiet; he spoke to them a little while, and then he went off, and they took off their hats, and gave three cheers for the Main-guard; they went up Royal-exchange-lane as fast as they could, I went after them, and some of the company at my house went up the lane elso.

Q. Was the man who spoke to these people a tall or short

A. Pretty tall.

Q. How was he dreffed?

A. He had a white wig and red cloak, and instantly after his talking a few minutes to them, they made huzzas for the main guard.

David Mitchelfon, Seal-engraver, fworn.

I am the person that came up stairs and told the witness examined before me, that there was a disturbance in the freet. The whole I have to fay is this ___ Coming home that evening from a friends house in Fore-street, I called at a house in Union-Street. Turning the corner of Fore-street, I heard a noise which drew my attention immediately, it seemed to come from the Post-office, or thereabouts : Immediately I went to see what the matter was. At the bottom of Royal-exchange-lane, I asked a man that was at a distance what the matter was? He said it was a squable betwixt the inhabitants and foldiers; I then food at the bottom of the lane. I had not flood long there, till I was obliged to go away, the party, engaged with the foldiers, having been routed as I thought, came rushing down towards where I stood. I went into Mr. Hunter's, found some gentlemen there; I told them they were very quiet indeed, considering there was such a number of people in the street. We went into the balcony and flood there, to feethe transactions below; and the only thing material I can recollect, that passed, was this: I saw a pretty large number of people affembled together, drawn together, I apprehended, by the noise of them that were first engaged with the soldiers. It was proposed by severals of them, to call out fire!- Fire was called feveral times, and then the bells were fet a-ringing. This drew a great concourse of people, not knowing but it was fire. The greatest part had sticks of various sorts; they made several attempts to get up a lane leading to Murray's barracks, but I suppose meeting with opposition there, they came down as if they had been pursued. After making several such attempts, they affembled in various little knots, with various leaders, I suppose every party had a leader. I heard them propose, let us go up and attack the Main-guard.

Recollect the words as near as you can.

A. I cannot recollect the precise words, but they were to that very effect. Some of them went up Royal-exchangelane, part of them through the other lane (called Boylfon's-alley,) and part up Cornhill. Bath od and tool

. 0

feet

SE A

ed C

A fold

(

thei

C that

A

Nor

I li Dog

I fo kin'

Boy

fold

peo

me,

I fa

thre

go,

ing ed

doz

the

dov EII

We

ring

Ifi

ring

thi

ket

Q. Who led the party that went up Cornhill?

A. I cannot tell, it was not light enough, and the confusion together, I could not tell which was leader, or which was follower.

Q. Did the bells ring then? A. Yes.

What bells?

A. I do not know what bells they were.

Q. Did you notice if the largest party went up Corn-

A. Yes, they did. After they went from that place of the street which I could see from the balcony, the street was then particularly clear of them, except the people coming from Union-street and the other streets. Anxiety to know what might happen in King-fireet, led me to take my hat and go to fee: When I was about half way up the lane, the guns were fired, and I faw the flashes of some of them. I then turned and came down.

Q. How many people do you imagine were affembled in Dock-square, when the greatest number was together?

A. I imagine two hundred.

Q. Did you see a man with a red cloak and white wig?

A. Yes, he made a confiderable figure there.

Q. Was he in the attitude of speaking, and they of attention? A. Yes.

Q. Could you hear what he faid to them?

A. No, but after he had harrangued them about three minutes, they huzza'd for the Mam-guard.

John Short, Merchant, Sworn.

Give the Court and Jury an account of any commotions cialonal ion

you faw that evening.

A. The evening of the 5th March, after the nine o'clock bell had rung, I heard the bells ring again, I supposed for fire, the people in the neighbourhood asked where it was? I faid, I would go fee; I went up as far as Fanenil-hall, and to Mr. Jackson's shop, there were a number of people in Corn-hill at the time; I immediately came down again, and went on board an oyster-boat, staid there about a quarper of an hour, and heard the guns go off.

F 85

. O. Did you fee any body at the Market, take out the feet and break the stalls have hat allow stages to many land

A. No, I did not. i com or its bate

O. Did you fee any collection of people there?

A. Yes, I asked what was the matter? I was told, a

foldier had hurt an oyster man.

Q. Did you fee a number of people with any body at their head (very town A dire to a wood surve bus A. I did not. combine the service of the star duck said

and had an opportunity of Reing wait nather on the Benjamin Davis, Merchant, fworn.

Give the Court and Jury an account of what you faw

that evening.

A. The evening of the 5th of March, I fpent at the North-end; a little after nine I left the house to go home. I live in Green's-lane, and my nearest way lay through Dock-fquare; I heard a number of people and great noiles. I foon found it was a quarrel, I stopped at the corner of Jenkin's-lane fome time; I faw the people collected close to Boytson's alley, I learned, that it was the town's people and foldiers a quarreling, I plainly heard that the found was like people fighting with clubs. Two young men came up to me, and faid, will you go and help us to fight the foldiers : I said no, I do not intend so; one of them had a cloak, and threw it off into my arms, and then faid, if you will not go, hold my cloak, and went away with the other, enquiring where were any clubs or cordwood flicks, they hollowed fire! fire! And that collected a few people, about one dozen or fo, presently the little knots of people passed up the passage way by the pump, and there was a general run down the street as fast as they could run. I went into Mrs. Elliot's gate, and I faw feven, eight, or ten foldiers run up the alley that leads from her house to King-siteet, they had fomething in their hands, whether it was clubs or other weapons, I cannot tell: whether the bells had begun to ring before that I cannot say, it was the brick meeting bell I first heard; I staid in Mrs. Elliot's till the bells were done ringing, I left the cloak with her. To he he he had a see a

Q. Which way were these nine or ten soldiers going? A. They came down from the alley by the barracks, and run up Jenkins's alley by Mrs. Elliot's house, I passed through this alley and went into King-freet, and faw some with buckets, the engine was in King-street, but nobody with it :-

I went up by the north fide of the Town-houfs and faw feve. ral knots of people collected, some at Jackfon's corner some by the Town-house, and all round in little knots, I went from one knot to another, to fee if I could learn what the matter was, I walked to the fouth fide of the Town-house, and the next thing I heard, was huzzaing in King-fireet, and then these little knots that were collected, answered the huzza, and went down towards King-street, I went by the fouth fide of the Town-house, and stopped at Mr. Price's office, and had an opportunity of feeing what passed on the other fide of the way. Col. Marshall I think, must be mistaken in what he says relative to the shade of the moon's being on the north fide, for I remember well, I went to the fouth fide of the Town-house, on purpose that I might be in the shade and see more clearly what was doing on the opposite side of the way. I faw the Sentinel standing with his back to the Custom-house door, and a number of people round him, boys and men. I would have a bayer

t

1

E

D

ti

h

t

25

0

di

2

in

lav

Q. Was the Sentry in the shade?

A. No, I faw him very plain standing on the Custom-house Rops, I heard a confiderable noise, the boys were laughing and faying fire! and why do you not fire! I faw the Sentisel bring his piece upon a level as if to fire, and the people gave back, and he put it up again. I found the numbers were encreasing, and while I was standing there, two men without hats on, came up to the Main Guard, and faid, you must send affistance directly, or the Sentry will be murdered, the officer I observed was quite a young officer, and there were a number of foldiers standing with their watch coats on, whether they or any foldiers went into the Main Guard I cannot fay, I heard very foon the word given, "Guard," and bid take off their watch coats; there came out about feven, I think their guns were not shoulderad, but they had them in their right hands, walked across the street, and took their stand near the Sentry box, but whether in a half moon or circle I cannot tell, the people erouded round them, I heard a great deal of confused noise, general confusion of noises, and there I stood till the guns were fired.

Q. Did these men, one of which gave you the cloak, go A. Yes.

Q. Did you hear a noise like the breaking of the stalls?

Shubal Hughes, Tallow-chandler, fworn.

Give the Court and Jury an account of what you faw

in the Areets, on the 5th of March last?

A. That night I spent the evening with an acquaintance near the Town-aock, fitting in the room, the mafter of the houle came into the room, and faid, fire was cried. and the bells a ringing : as I belonged to the engine, I was the first out of the door, with my furtout and stick, when I came out, I faw a man running to where the Porters fand, I thought I should meet our engine coming down the lane or Corn-hill, and when I came round by the Market I law a-cross the Market a number of people coming from the northward; I thought the fire was out, and that it had been at the North end. I stopt by Col. Jackson's a considerable time, at last fomebody came along; I asked were is the fire, they said there is no fire but a dispute betwixt the inhabitants and foldiers by Murray's barracks. I went up a little farther and faw nothing; I moved down again and stopped where I had been before; the street was middling full, as generally when fire is cryed : at last I saw a number of young people get foul of the stalls in the Market, pulling out the legs of them, I do not remember whether I faid any thing to them or not: I stayed there a while, I saw no difurbance nor heard no great noise, the man who was with me said, we have no business with the soldiers nor with their disputes, and we returned to the place we came from, and staid there till the guns were fired.

Q. Where did they come from that got foul of the stalls?

A. Some from the northward, and some by Hubbard's

warehouse.

e.

nè

nt

he

e.

t,

ed

by

e's

he

be

n's

te

ht

be

th

ple

u/e

ng

ti.

00-

m-

wo

pd

be

er,

the

ere

er-

roli

but

ople

rife,

uns

go

ls N

Q How many were of them ? A. Six or feven

James Selkrig, Merchant, Sworn.

Q. Was you at Mr. Hunter's house, the 5th of March last!
A. I was that evening there in company with some gentlemen, and to the best of my remembrance betwixt eight and nine o'clock, some of the company said there is some noise in the street; Mr. Hunter said it is an alley that there is noise enough in very often. A gentleman soon after came in and said there is something bad in the street, you had as good go and see what it is, three of the company went to the balcony, I went to the window fronting the street. I saw considerable numbers of people coming from the North.

end, all armed, or the greatest part of them, in the same manner, with white sticks. They made attacks on the bar. racks, and were always drove back; always as a new fresh party came from the north part of the town, they made new attack, there were about five or fix different attacks made. In the middle of the street I saw a large man, with a red cloak and white wig, they gathered round him, and he fooke two or three minutes, and they gave some different cheers for the Main Guard, and I think for the Neck; they faid they would do for the foldiers; when they turned round that corner where the stone store is, they beat the stone with their weapons, and faid they would do for the foldiers, Some went up Royal Exchange lane, some went up Jenkin's lane, and some by the Post office.

Q. How many people do you think there might be in the

whole?

A. Betwixt two and three hundred.

Q. Were the bells a ringing?

A. I cannot tell, I faw them all go away, I came down and found the gentlemen gone, I went into the falley and heard the first gun fired, I then went home, and know no more of it.

Q. Was that expression, we will do for the soldiers, ut-

tered by a number or by a few?

A. By a great number, and they struck their weapons a. gainst Simpson's stone store, as they said it.

or hope and Archibald Bowman, Auctioneer, fwants and las

Q. Was you at Mr. William Hunter's on the evening of

the 5th of March last ?

business and most send A. Yes. That evening I was at his vendue room, at ther foot of the Royal Exchange lane, I heard some noise; I cannot fay who came up; but a little after dark there came up two gentlemen, who said there was a disturbance in the freet. I immediately went to the front window, some of the company into the balcony, where I afterwards went, I faw numbers of people hallowing fire, fire, in different quarters. Numbers enquiring where the fire was, they gathered in a large body; some went up by way of the Post Office, some went up the lane by the pump, and some came down forcibly as if chased: they whistled through their fingers and cried fire: Among the rest I observed a gentleman with a red cloak and white wig, the croud gathered round

die confiderable numbers of regist assiming from the News.

him, they staid a little while with him, and then drew off and huzzaed for the Main Guard; they then dispersed, some went up Royal-exchange-lane, some went up Jenkin's alley, and some went up Cornhill, I saw no more of them.

Q. How many people were there when they were talking

with the gentleman?

h

4:

3

3

e,

ta

y

d

C

.

0

n

d

0

0.0

1

ÛV

sdi

of

ie7

p

ne

of I

Esti-

d

e,.

n

th

nd .

A. I cannot say how many there were; there was a great number.

Q. Where did they stand?

A. They stood opposite Mr. Lewis Deblois' shop.

Q. Did you see them strike with their sticks at Mr. Simpson's store? A. No.

Q. Did you hear them fay they would do for the foldiers?

A. No.

William Dixon, fworn.

Q. Was you of the company at Mr. Hunter's?

A. Yes, I was there that evening; a gentleman came in and faid there was a disturbance in the street, I went down to the lower room, and went to the balcony, and saw people going up that alley where the barracks are.

Q. Did you hear the last witness examined; do you con-

firm all he mentioned?

A. No, not all of it; the people went up to the alley, and ran down quick as if they had met with opposition; they flood about the pump; they encreased from the North end to pretty large numbers; they gathered together in a croud opposite to where I stood, and huzzaed for the Main guard.

Q. Are you certain they huzzaed for the Main guard?

A. I am certain of it; I went with one or two more into the lane, intending for King-street, when we heard the guns,

I returned and went home.

John Gillepfie, Merchant, fworn.

Q. Did you know of any disturbance or commotion that

evening ?

A. On the evening of the 5th of March, I went from my own house in Queen-street, about seven o'clock, to spend the evening with some company at Mr. Sylvester's at the Southend; in my way I met not less than fifty people, with white sticks in their hands, in small parcels, and the company all observed they met with numbers of people, and said they were apprehensive of the consequences. Somebody came

M

in and faid there was fire; Mr. Fleening faid he would fend his man to fee where the fire was, and defired us not to be uneasy, for he had heard it was only to gather people to fight the foldiers, or to this effect. I was uneasy, however, and came away to go home. I met a good many people with flicks and bags, and fome other things. I met Mr. Fleem. ing's man coming back, and he faid it was no fire, but the foldiers and inhabitants fighting. I faw two engines, and the people putting their buckets and bags in people's houses. I enquired where the fire was; I got the same answer, no fire, but the foldiers and inhabitants fighting. I heard fome fay, Come, let us go back; others faid, No, by God, we will go and help them. I faw Mr. Knight standing at his own door; I stopt but very little time, left him, and came to the head of King-street. I heard somebody say, Damn them, why do they not break the glass. I imagined somebody had got into the Guard-house, and that they wanted to break the glass to get them out. I went home, and in about ten minutes I heard the guns go off.

Q. Was it soldiers or inhabitants that wanted to break

the glass? A. It was the Inhabitants.

Thomas Knight, Merchant, Sworn.

On the 5th of March I came up King-fireet foon after the bells had rung for nine. I came by the Main-guard, faw the Sentinel as usual, and saw no disturbance; I went home, took up the news-paper, and read about half of an hour; by and by I heard the bells ring, which I took for fire. I run to the door, when I came there, the people were paffing pretty thick; some with buckets, some with bags, and numbers with sticks and clubs; they said there was no fire, but some disturbance with the soldiers and inhabitants. I returned into the room fometime, but feeling uneasy, I went to the door again, and faw feveral companies of people pass; six or eight in a company; one company consisting of eight or ten, had white flicks or clubs in their hands; one of them hollowed out, Damn their bloods, let's go and attack the Main-guard, and knock them to hell first. There was one in the same company made a stop, and either said I will go back and get my gun, or let us go back and get our guns, I cannot tell which.

Q. Was this before the firing !

A. Yes; this stopt my curiolity from going to Kingfreet.

I thought it was best to stay in the house. I shut the door and went in; I told my wife if she heard any firing not to be afraid, for I was apprehensive there would be blood shed from what I had heard. I tarried about two or three minutes in the room; I felt very uneasy, and walked to the door again; and being there about a minute or two, I heard one gun fired; in about two seconds I heard another, and so on till sive, six or seven were discharged. It was all in about twelve or sourceen seconds at the farthest.

John Cockfon, Trader, /worn.

Q. Was you at the Green Dragen, on the evening of the 5th of March, in company with some gentlemen there?

A. Yes.

Q. What observation was made on the ringing of the bells, by any of the company?

A. Some one in the room faid it was not fire, but a rumpus.

Q. Did any particular person of that company there say, it is no fire but a rumpus with the soldiers, and I am prepared for them, and immediately take a pistol or pair of pistols out of his pocket?

A. Some one observed there was a rumpus, but I saw no

piftol.

William Strong, Clerk in the Custom-House, sworn.

Q. Was you in King-street on the evening of the 5th of March last?

A. On the evening of the 5th of March I was at Mr. Marston's, several of us were standing by the fire : we heard the cry of fire; some faid we will go out and see where the fire is. I went and I faw feveral people running to and fro, I asked what the matter was; they said a soldier had killed a a boy. I was answered in that manner by another; some people said, we will go back again and get our sticks: I did not see any number of people, but a few running up to King-freet, one of them struck the ground with his flick, and shivered it. I then went into King-street, and was coming away again, when I heard a huzza, and a number of feet behind me, and I stood to let them pass; there might be about ninety; they run up King-fireet, huzzaing. I walked after them; when they came opposite the Custom-house, they stopped, and some said, that is the fellow that used the inhabitants ill; another contradicted them, and faid it was

not him; upon that the people encroached on the Sentinel; I was in the midst of the people, and he retreated back and they went forward; at last I saw him go on the steps of the Custom-house, and they went closer, and he set his back to the door, and loaded. I heard the ball go down distinctly.

O. How many people were there then?

A. About ninety, or more.

Q. Were they boys ?

A. The generality of them were young men. He prefented his gun, and faid, Keep off, or I will fire upon you; the reply was, Fire, God damn you, fire, fire and be damn'd. I went about fifteen yards below, there was a man standing by me; he had the butt end of a bat in his hand, and faid he would throw it at the Sentinel; I faid, do not, for he will fire at whatever place it comes from. Whether he threw it or not, I do not know, for I left him and went to Mr. Sherwin's door. I was faying it was imprudent to attack a Sentinel on his post; somebody said he was disarmed; I thought so too, for I saw the glittering of arms; I walked to the Custom-house steps; curiofity led me to see if they were fo prudent as to fasten the Custom-house door; I tried the latch, and it was fast; a fellow said to one of the soldiers, Dainn you, why do you turn your bayonet this way? turn it the other way. I thought I was not fafe there, but went to my old place, and stood there a few minutes; I thought I heard two guns cock, immediately I heard one go off; foon after another, and I think four more. I think fix in all. The people faid, where I was standing, they fired nothing but powder. I thought to go up to an acquaintance's house, and went in the middle of the street, and coming opposite to the foldiers, I saw two men lay; one on the right, and one on the left, on their backs; I concluded they were dead.

Q. Did you fee any thing hit the Sentinel?

A. I believe there was fnow balls thrown, but they fell thort of him.

Q. These people that were round the Sentinel, had they elubs?

A. Yes, some of them.

FIVE o'clock, P. M. the Court adjourned till next morning, Friday, nine o'clock.

Friday, NINE o'clock, the Court met according to adjournment, and proceeded.

Doftor Richard Hirons, fworn.

Q. Do you know any thing of the proceedings at Murray's barracks on the evening of the 5th March last, previous to

the firing in King-firect.

A. I live opposite the barrack-house, and was at home A little after eight I heard a noise and difturbance in the street, I went out to know what it was, and was told there was a difference between the town's people and foldiers. I faw feveral foldiers pass and repass, some with bayonets, some with clubs and one thing and another. I stood at my own door; I observed the noise seemed to come from towards the market; I faw a number of people running to and fro across the bottom of the street. I shut my door and went in about eight or ten minutes. I heard a noise like a fingle person running thro' Boylston's alley with great violence; he ran as I took it towards the barrack gate, and cried out, town born turn out, town born turn out, then turned to the fide of the lane, and faid town born turn out, town born turn out. I heard this repeated twenty or thirty times, I believe, it was the constant cry. I remember after coming out the second time, to hear the voice of a person which I took to be Ensign Maul, say, who is this fellow, lay hold of him. I did not hear a word pass betwixt the people that passed backwards and forwards, and the Sentinel at the barrack gate, nor from the Sentinel to them; this cry of town born turn out, was repeated for feven or eight minutes, when I heard the voice of a great many more.

Q. Were they foldiers?

A. I do not know, they might be foldiers; from the first of that cry it might be a quarter of an hour or more, they seemed to retreat and come on again, and struck their sticks very hard against the corner of the house. The collection of such a number, with the noise of the clubs, induced me to lock my door, put out my light in the fore part of my house, and to go up stairs into the chamber fronting the barracks; when there, I observed four or five officers of the 29th, standing on their own steps, and there might be betwixt twenty or thirty of the town's people surrounding the steps. About that time came a little man, who he was I do not know; he said, why do you not keep your soldiers in their barracks, they said they had done every thing they possibly could, and would do every thing in their power,

to keep them in their barracks; on which he said, are the inhabitants to be knocked down in the street, are they to be murdered in this manner; the officers still insisted they had done their utmost, and would do it, to keep the soldiers in their barracks; the same person then said, you know the country has been used ill, you know the town has been used ill, we did not send for you, we will not have you here, we will get rid of you, or we will drive you away; which of the last expressions I cannot say, but it was one or the other: the officers still insisted they had done their utmost, and would do it, to keep the soldiers in their barracks, and begged the person to use his interest to disperse the people, that no mischief might happen; whether he did address the people or not, I cannot say, for the consusion was so great I could not distinguish.

2. How was that man dreffed?

A. He was a little man, I think in a furtout; immediately the cry of home, home, was mentioned; I don't recollect feeing any person go away at the first cry, and there was such confusion I could not tell what was said, but in five minutes afterwards the cry home, home, was repeated, on which the greatest part of them, possibly two thirds, went up Boylston's alley towards the Town-house, huzzaing for the Main guard.

Q. What number were there?

A. A considerable number. I then observed more of the town's people come from towards the Market; there was a good deal of squabble and noise betwixt the people and the officers, but what was faid I could not hear. thing I recollect in the affair was, a little boy came down the alley, clapping his hand to his head, and cried, he was killed, he was killed; on which one of the officers took hold of him, and damned him for a little rafcal, asking him what business he had out of doors; the boy seemed to be about seven or eight years old. Some little time after that, I saw a soldier come out of the barrack gate with his musket, he went directly facing the alley, in the middle of the ffreet, and kneeled down on one knee, and faid now damn your bloods, I will make a lane through you all; while he was presenting, Mr. Maul an Ensign, with either Mr. Dixon or Mr. Minchin, I do not know which, came after him, immediately laid hold of him, and took the mulket from him, shoved him towards the barrack, and I think gave him the

musket again, and charged him at his peril to come out again. I do not recollect any discourse that passed between the town's people and officers, there was still such clamour and confusion, that I could not hear what passed; but in a little time either the soldier who came out before, or another, came out again, he repeated much the same words as the other, he had his gun in his hand, he did not offer to kneel down, but used the same expressions.

Q. Did he present his firelock?

A. He was presenting when Mr. Maul knocked him down, took his musket from him, drove him into the barracks, and I think the barrack gate was then shut; about this time I recollect I heard Dr. Cooper's bell ring, I heard some officer say, go and stop that bell from ringing, whether any body went or not, I cannot say, but it did not ring a great while: About this time I saw Capt. Goldsinch of the sourteenth, on the steps with the officers of the twenty-ninth; there came up another little man, who he was I do not know, but in a much different manner from what the other did.

Q. How was he dreffed ?

A. He had on a great coat or furtout of a light brown, he requested the soldiers might be kept in their barracks, and that the officers would do every thing in their power to keep them there, the officers said, they had, and would do so; and as the soldiers were in their barracks, begged the people might go away; this little man said to the people, gentlemen, you hear what the officers say, that the soldiers are all in their barracks, and you had better go home; on which the cry was, home, home, home.

Q. Do you suppose this was after you heard the bell ring?

A. Yes; on which a great many went up the alley again, and I heard the expression, Let us go to the Main guard: Capt. Goldsinch was still on the steps, and I heard his voice still talking, and I think he desired every person would go away; while he was talking, I heard the report of a musket.

Q. How long was that after the cry of home?

A. It was not many minutes; in a few seconds I heard the report of a second gun, presently after that a third; upon the siring of the sirst gun, I heard Capt. Goldfinch say, I thought it would come to this, it is time for me to go.— I then saw a soldier come down the alley from Gornhill,

and went up to the steps where the officers stood, and said, they fired from or upon the Main guard. I then heard the drum at the Main guard beat to arms, I came down stairs and did not go out till I was sept for to some of the wounded people.

Q. At the time when the first soldier came out, were there

a body of people in the fireet before the barracks?

A. There were some, but I suppose the most part were in the alley, there were several about the meeting-house.

Q. Did they fay or do any thing to the foldiers who came

out with their mulkets?

A. The officers immediately took hold of them and turned them in.

Q. Was you fent for to Maverick? A. Yes.

Q. Did he fay any thing to you?

- A. Yes, about two hours before his death, I asked him concerning the affair, he went be said up the lane, and just as he got to the corner, he heard a gun, he did not retreat back, but went to the Town-House, as he was going along, he was shot: It seems strange by the direction of the ball, how he could be killed by the string at the Custom-House; it wounded a portion of the liver, stomach and intestines, and lodged betwixt the lower ribs, where I cut it out; the ball must have struck some wall or something else, before it struck him.
- Q. Where did he say he was when he was wounded?

 A. He was betwixt Royal-Exchange-lane and the Town-house, going up towards the Town-house.

Captain John Goldfinch, Sworn.

Q. Was you at Murray's barracks that evening?

A. The 5th of March, about nine in the evening, I was passing over Cornhill, I saw a number collected by the passage to the barracks, I went towards it and two or three people called me by name, and begged me to endeavour to send the soldiers to their barracks, or else there would be murder; with difficulty I got to the entrance of the passage, the people were pelting the soldiers with snow-balls, the soldiers were defending themselves at the entrance.

Q. Had the foldiers cutlasses?

A. No, by no means, I think one of them had a fire-snovel, as soon as the soldiers knew me, they with my persuasion went to the bottom of the passage, when I got there, tl

[97]

I faw fome officers of the twenty-ninth, I told those officers I suspected there would be a riot, and as I was the oldest officer I ordered the mem to the barracks, and they were immediately confined; the mob followed me, and came to the gate of the barracks, and abused the men very much indeed, with bad language, so that the men must have been enraged very much, but by the vigilance and activity of the officers, the mem were kept within bounds; the mob still insulted the men, dared them to come out, called them a pack of scoundrels, that dared not come out to fight them, and it was with difficulty they were kept in their barracks; I never heard such abuse in my life, from one man to anoother. A little man came up and spoke to the people, and defired them to go home, as they faw the officers used their best endeavours to keep the men in their barracks; immediately the best part made towards the passage to Cornhill, I suppose a body of about forty or fifty people. I thought it necessary to stay some time to assist the officers in keeping the men in their barracks; in a quarter of an hour or twenty minutes after the people had moved off I heard fome guns fire, and the Main-guard drum beat to arms; I told Mr. Dixon it was necessary for me to move off, to join my own regiment. The same evening, about half an hour before this affair happened, I was in King-street, and was accosted by a barber's boy, who faid, There goes the fellow who hath not paid my mafter for drefling his hair, fortunately for me, I had his receipt in my pocket; the fentinel faid, He is a gentleman, and if he owes you any thing he will pay it. I passed on, without taking any notice of what the boy said.

Benjamin Davis, jun. son to Mr. Davis, a former witness,

On the evening of the 5th of March last, near the bottom of Royal exchange-lane, I saw a mob by Mr. Greenleas's, I went right along into King-street, I saw the Sentinel; a barber's boy was there crying, and said, the Sentry had struck him, and asked him what business he had to do it. I went home, and staid at the gate in Green's lane some time; Samuel Gray (one of the persons killed that night in King-street) came along, and asked where the sire was? I said there was no sire, it was the soldiers sighting, he said, Damn it, I am glad of it, I will knock some of them on the head; he ran off, I said to him, take heed you do not get killed in

the affray yourself; he said, Do not you fear, damn their bloods.

Q. Had he a stick in his hand?

A. He had one under his arm.

Q. What fort of a stick was it?

A. I did not take notice.

Q. How long was this before the firing?

A. I do not suppose he could have got into King street two minutes before the firing.

James Thomfon, fworn.

Q. What did you hear or see passing through Quaker lane or Green's lane on the 5th of March last in the evening.

A. I came out of the Green Dragon tavern about nine o'clock, I went up to King street, I heard no noise, nor saw any person, I went through Quaker lane into Green's lane, had a person with me hand in hand, I met about sisteen persons walking on different sides of the street, and they had sticks in their hands.

Q. What fort of sticks were they?

A. They seemed to be pretty large sticks, rather too large for walking sticks; just as they passed, I turned about and heard them say, we are rather too soon; I passed on and went on board a vessel at Grissia's wharf, when I came on board, I said to the people, I believed there would be mischief that night, for I had met several people armed with sticks, and what the consequences would be I did not know, for they seemed to be after something; just as I spoke, we heard the bells ring, and some said it could not be the usual bell for nine o'clock, they had heard that ring before; they all went on deck, and hearing a noise and cry of sire, together with the bells, every person went off and left me alone.

Q. How many people were on board the veffel?

A. Four went away; I went also to see where the fire was, I heard the engines going along the street, and then stop; I heard Mrs. Marston, who keeps tavern at the head of the wharf, say, Good God! this is not fire; there will be murder committed this night; a little after I heard a huzzaing, and guns go off in King street; I think seven.

Q. Did you count them ?

A. Yes, I think there were seven; I think there were no more; I remained there till a person came down the wharf,

and I asked him what was the matter? He told me there were some people killed in King street.

Alexander Gruckshanks, Jeweller, Sworn.

On the 5th of March, I was in Royal exchange lane, as the clock struck nine I came up the lane, and at the head of the lane, hearing some abusive language by two boys, I stopped at Stone's tavern, they were abusing the sentinel; before the box stood about twelve or sourteen lads; I often saw the boys go towards them and back to the Sentinel, with a fresh repetition of oaths; they said to him, Damn you, you son of a bitch; called him lobster and rascal, wished he was in hell's stames; often and often lowsy rascal; I neither heard nor saw the sentinel do any thing to them, only said it was his post, and he would maintain it, and if they offered to molest him he would run them through; upon his saying this, two boys made up some snow balls, and threw them at the Sentinel.

Q. Did they hit him ?

A. I cannot say, but on their throwing snow balls, the Sentinel called out, Guard, guard, two or three times.

Q. Did he call loud?

A. Yes, very loud; upon that, there were some soldiers came from towards the Main guard; seven or eight, I believe, they were not of the guard, by their having furtout coats on; they came towards the Sentinel; some had bayonets, some swords, others sticks in their hands; one had a large kitching tongs in his hand; on their approach, these people, and the boys who stood before the box, went up to the back of the Town house, by the barber's shop; I then crossed King street, and intended to go in by Pudding lane, and I heard a noise in the Main street; three or four of these foldiers came up to me, and damn'd me, and asked me who I was; I said I was going home peaceably, and interfered with neither one fide or other; one of them, with a bayonet or fword, gave me a light stroke over my shoulder, and faid, Friend, you had better go home, for by all I can forefee, there will be the devil to pay, or blood shed, this night; they turned and went towards the Sentinel at the Custom house.

Q. Did you know these foldiers?

A. I did not; I then, instead of going by Pudding lane, went up by the Guard house; and when I had passed it a lit-

the way, I saw the soldiers who went down before the Gustom house returning back, with a mob before them, driving them up past the Guard house. I stepped on pretty quick and endeavoured to get into Mr. Jones shop, the apothecary.

Q. What number of people were there before the foldiers ?

A. Sixteen or eighteen.

Q. Were they men or boys?

A. Some of them were boys, but the most of them were men from twenty to five and twenty years of age, I believe; Jones's people shut the door and would not let me in; I went to the side of the Brick meeting, and saw two or three boys or lads, pushing at the windows to get in and ring the bell; I went home.

Q. Did you take the stroke you received from the soldier

to be in anger ?

A. No, it was not in anger, it was very light.

Q. Did you hear a noise in the street at that time?

A. Yes, I heard a great deal of noise; I took it to be about Queen street, and towards the Post office.

Lieutenant William Carter, fworn.

On the evening of the 5th of March I was at my lodgings in Blind lane at the fouth part of the town, I heard a bell ring, which I took at first for nine o'clock, but recollecting I had heard the bell ring for nine before, I thought it must be for fire. I went to the top of the house, but could see no fire : hearing by this time feveral bells ring, I came down and found the family at the gate; I asked what the matter was, I was affiwered, there was a riot in King fineet. I saw several men pass, not in a body, but in two's and fingly; they walked faster than people generally do on bufinels, they went up Hogg lane; I observed that not a man passed but what had either a club, sword, hanger, cutlass, or gun: as I had reason to believe people in a military character were not agreeable, I went in and ordered my fervant not to go out. I went a second time to the gate, and saw more men passing by in the same manner as before; prefently after that, I heard the report of several guns. I heard the drum beat to arms, which I knew to be customary when a riot happens; but as the drum came nearer, I discovered a peculiarity in the beating, which made me imagine it was not a regular-drum. I did not go from my lodgings that night.

Patrick Keaton, fworn.

On the evening of the 5th of March I was at my lodge ings, I heard a noise, and went out towards Union-street, and saw people coming from the North-end, with sticks and clubs in their hands; it was about nine o'clock. I followed them to Dock-square, somebody asked what was the matter, he was answered, that a boy and soldier had been foul of one another; they hallowed King-street; I went up to the foot of Jenkin's lane, and there I saw a tall Molatto fellow, the same that was killed, he had two clubs in his hand, and he said, here take one of them; I did so.

Q. What fort of clubs were they ?

A. They were cord wood sticks; I went up to the head of the lane, and I dropt the stick in the snow; he went on cursing and swearing at the soldiers, down towards where the people surrounded the soldiers; I stood by the stone steps at the Custom-house, there were people coming from all parts, calling out bloody back, and one thing and anothers. I could not distinguish what one half of them said; I had not been long there 'till three or four guns went off, and I went home.

Q. Did you fee any thing thrown at the foldiers?

A. No.

Q. Did you see any body strike upon their guns?

A. No, but I heard the foldiers say, keep off, keep off. Q. What number of people was there in Dock square?

A. About two hundred.

Q. Did you hear any body fay, kill them, kill them, knock them over?

A. No.

Q. Did the people appear to be pressing on the party?

A. Yes, they were as I thought.

William Davis, Serjeant-major of the 14th Regiment, fworn.

Monday evening the 5th of March, about eight o'clock, I was going towards the North-end in Fore-fireet, near Wentworth's wharff, I saw a number of people in the street before me.

Q. What number?

A. About two hundred, I then stept aside, and when they came up, I saw several armed with clubs and large sticks, and some had guns; they came down regularly in two's and three's a breast; they were a minute in passing me.

Q. Were they foldiers that had guns?

A. No, I faw no foldier in the street; I heard them fav. ing damn the dogs knock them down, we will knock down the first officer, or bloody backed rascal we shall meet this night; fome of them then faid they would go to the fouthward, and join some of their friends there, and attack the damned scoundrels, and drive them out of the town, for they had no business here. Apprehending danger if I should be in my regimentals, I went into a house at the North end and changed my drefs, and in my return from the North end, about nine, coming near Dock Square, I heard a great noise, a whistling and rattling of wood; I came near the Market place, and faw a great number of people there, knocking against the posts, and tearing up the stalls, fay. ing damn the lobsters, where are they now; I heard several voices, fome faid, let us kill that damned scoundrel of a Sentry, and then attack the Main guard; some said, let us go to Smith's barracks, others faid, let us go to the ropewalks; they divided: - The largest number went up Royal. exchange-lane, and another party up Fitch's alley, and thereft through the main street, up Cornhill. I passed by the Golden Ball; I faw no person there but a woman, persuading a man to flay at home; he faid he would not, he would go amongst them if he lost his life by it. I went into Kingfreet, looking towards the Custom-house, I saw a number of people feemingly in great commotion; I went towards my barracks, and near the fifth stall at Oliver's dock, I met a great number of people coming towards King-fireet, with clubs and large flicks.

Q. What time was this?

A. It was past nine, for I heard bells ring before. One of them was loading his piece by Oliver's duck, he said he would do for some of these scoundrels that night. The people were using threats against the soldiers and Commissioners, damn the scoundrels and villains of soldiers and Commissioners, and damn the villain that first sent them to Boston, they shall not be here two nights longer. I went to my barracks; the roll had been called, and there was not a man absent, except some officers that quartered in the town, and their servants. Immediately after I heard as it were a gua street in King street, and afterwards two or three more.

Nathaniel Ruffel, Chairmaker, fworn.
On the evening of the 5th March, betwist nine and ten

[103.]

o'clock, I was at my own house and heard the bells ring. I run out to know where the fire was: I got from Byles's meeting down to the South meeting; I saw a number of men and boys armed with clubs, and fifteen or twenty more coming along, some were damning the soldiers that they would destroy them, and fink them, and they would have revenge for fomething or other I could not tell what, that they would drive them before them: fome of the people there faid they had been to Rowe's barracks, and had driven the foldiers or the Sentinel into the barracks. I went to the Town-house, there I saw Mr. Cox; I saw a number of people with clubs; I saw at a distance, a parcel of soldiers at the Custom-house; I went down to the right of them, where Capt. Preston stood; I had not been there a minute before the guns were fired, previous to which, I saw several things, but don't know what they were, thrown at the foldiers, as they stood in a circle by the Custom house. I was at the west of the foldiers; I was looking over the Molatto's shoulder: I faw Samuel Gray there. Upon these things being thrown, I intended to retreat as fast as I could; I had not got three yards before the guns were fired, first one, then another, and so on; I think there were seven in all.

Q. Before you turned, did you fee any thing strike the

guns?

1-

e

10

d

ıd

he

4

ar

e,

al

a

uś

e-

1/-

ft

?7

of

ıy

th

ne

he

0-

rs,

0-

11,

ny

an

nd

un

en

A. I did not see, but I heard something strike, and the guns clatter. There was a great noise, the cry was, fire, damn you fire.

Q. Was the cry general?

A. Yes, it was general.

Q. How many people do you imagine were then gathered round the party?

A. Fifty or fixty able bodied men.

Q. Did they crowd near the foldiers?

A. So near, that I think you could not get your hat betwixt them and the bayonets.

.Q. How many people do you think there might be in the whole?

.A. About two hundred.

Q. Did the foldiers fay any thing to the people ?

A. They never opened their lips; they stood in a trembling manner, as if they expected nothing but death. They fired first on the right. I was looking on the whole body, no one between me and the soldiers that interrupted my

[104]

fight; I saw no blows given, or any of the soldiers fall.

Q. Might not their trembling proceed from rage as well as fear?

A. It might proceed from both.

John Cox, Bricklayer, fworn.

Note. This witness was called on the part of the Crown, to invalidate the testimony of Russel the former witness.

2. Did you come down from the South end with Mr. Russel?

A. No, I met him at the Town house. I saw three soldiers, two belonging to the Neck, and one to the Main guard, by Liberty tree, I was at Mr. Gore's shop opposite the Tree; one said to the other, bring half your guard, and we will bring half ours, and we will blow up this damned pole; I said, so sure as you offer ye scoundrels to blow up that pole, you will have your brains blown out.

Q. How were these soldiers dressed?

A. In their regimentals, one was a drummer.

Q. Was he a black man?

A. No, he was a white man.

Henry Knox, Stationer, fworn.

I was at the North end, and heard the bells ring, I thought it was fire; I came up as usual to go to the fire; I heard it was not fire, but the soldiers and inhabitants were fighting; I came by Carnhill, and there were a number of people, an hundred and fifty, or two hundred; I asked them what was the matter, they said, a number of soldiers had been out with bayonets and cutlasses, and had attacked and cut the people all down Cornhill, and then retreated to their barracks; a fellow said they had been cutting fore and aft. The people fell gradually down to Dock square. I came up Cornhill, and went down King street, I saw the Sentinel at the Castom house steps loading his piece; coming up to the people, they said the Sentinel was going to fire.

Q. How many persons were there at that time round the

Sentinel?

A. About fifteen or twenty, he was waving his piece about, and held it in the position that they call charged bayonets. I told him if he fired he must die for it, he said, damn them, if they molested him he would fire; the boys were hallowing fire and be damned.

Q. How old were these boys?

A. Seventeen or eighteen years old. I endeavoured to keep one fellow off from the Sentinel, I either struck him or pushed him away.

Q. Did you hear one of the persons say, God damn him,

we will knock him down for mapping?

A. Yes, I did hear a young fellow, one Usher, about eighteen years of age, fay this.

Q. Did you fee any thing thrown at the Sentinel?

A. No, nothing at all.

Q. Did you see the party come down ! A. Yes.

Q. What was the manner of their coming down?

A. They came down in a kind of trot, or a very fast walk.

Q. Did they come down in a threatening posture?

A. Very threatening, at least their countenances looked fo, they said make way, damn you make way, and they pricked some of the people.

Q. Did you see the corporal?

A. I saw a person with the party, whom I took to be the cerporal.

Q. Had he a furtout on?

A. Yes, he had.

John Bulkely Clerk to Mr. Josiah Quincey, Sworn.

Hearing the bells ring I went out, and imagined it had been for fire, but found I was mistaken. I went to Mr. Quincy's office near the Main Guard, there was a prodigious noise in King Street. I apprehended the sentinel was in danger, and stood in expectation of seeing the guard turned out. Capt. Presson was before the office, and appeared in a great flutter of spirit. I knew not he was captain of the day. A very young officer commanded the guard, I pitied his situation.

Q. What induced you to believe the guard would be turned out?

A. By the fentinel's being furrounded, and the noise,

Q. What number was about him?

A. About fifty or fixty.

Q. Did you stand in the shade, or was the shade on the

other fide of the way?

A. I did stand in the shade. A person came to Capt. Present and said they were killing the sentinel; Capt. Presson said damn you why do you not turn out; he spoke roughly to

them, then forme foldiers came out, and he and they went down to the Custom house.

Q. Do you know who it was came up to Capt. Presson?

A. No.

Q. Did you expect they would carry off the fentinel?

A. I did not know what would be the confequence, I thought if he came off with his life he would do very well.

Benjamin Lee, an Apprentice, fworn.

On the 5th March there were four of us in a house together, I heard that there was fire; I went to Dock square, when I came there I heard some in the crowd say, that the town's people had been fighting with the soldiers, and then they huzzaed for King street.

Q. How many people were there in Dock fquare at that

time !

A. Between fifty and fixty. I went up Royal Exchange lane and came to the Custom house.

Q. Did you go alone?

A. No, feveral went up beside me, they went up as thick as they could, and some went up the next lane, and some went up Cornhill. As I stood by the sentine, there was a barber's boy came up and pointed to the sentinel, and said there is the son of a bitch that knocked me down; on his laying this, the people immediately cried out, kill him, kill him, knock him down.

Q. What number of people was there then?

A. I cannot tell, I believe there was as many as in this Court, some boys, some men; the sentinel went up the Custom house steps and knocked at the door with the but of his gun, but could not get in; then he primed and loaded, and levelled it with his hip, and desired the people to stand off, and then called to the main guard to come down to his assistance.

Q Did he call loud? A. Yes, pretty loud.

Q. What was the expression he used?

A. Turn out main guard.—Then Capt. Presson and nine or ten soldiers came down, and ranged themselves before the sentry box.

Q. Had these people that stood round the sentinel clubs

or flick?

A. I law no clubs, some had sticks, such as people gent-

Q. Did you see any thing thrown at the sentine! A. No.

Q. Did you hear the people hollow or shout?

A. They whistled with their fingers and huzzaed.

John Frost, an Apprentice, Sworn.

Q. Did you meet fome boys that evening, who faid they

had drove some soldiers to their barracks

A. In Dock fquare some people said so, and huzzaed for King street. I went up there, and saw a barber's boy, who said this is the son of a bitch that knocked me down; the people crowded in upon the sentinel, and he drew back to the Gustom house steps.

Q. Did you see any thing thrown at the sentinel.

A. No, he knocked at the Custom house door with the but end of his gun, as I thought, to get in, and then I saw him prime and load his piece, and level it with his hip.

Q. Were they preffing on him ?

A. Yes they were, they faid fire, damn you fire, you dare not fire.

Q. How many people were there?

A. About fifty or fixty young men and hoys.

Q. How old were these young men?

A. About twenty or twenty two.

Q. What do you call boys ?

A. Such as myself, about eighteen.

William Botfon, an Apprentice, fworn.

I was at the Market and went up Royal Exchange lane, I faw no soldier but one, and he was the sentinel, he got on the steps and loaded, by and by I saw a party come down from the Main guard, and all that stood round cried sire!—by and by they did sire; as soon as I saw a man drop, I went away.

Q. Did you fee any ice thrown at the foldiers ?

A. I faw fnow balls, but no ice.

Q. Did you see any thing strike upon their guns ? A. No.

Q. Did you hear their guns rattle?

A. No, I faw frow balls thrown both at the fentinel and at the party.

Q. Did you fee any clubs thrown? A. No.

Q. What number of people were there about the fenti-

A. Near two hundred boys and men.

Q. Was the Custom house door opened ?

A. Not that I minded, they hollowed, fire! fire! you dogs.

Q. Was any considerable number of snow balls thrown

at the fentinel ?

A. A dozen before the party came down.

Q. And when they came down, did they throw?

A. Yes, as fast as ever.

Q. Did you hear any huzzaing?

A. Not in particular.

James Waddel, Mariner, Sworn.

On the 5th March I was in King street at the main guard, I saw the soldiers going down to the Gustom house, I saw the soldiers very much molested by the people of the town throwing snow balls, sticks, and more rubbish than I can mention, I saw also the sentinel molested at the Gustom house door; when the party came down, he fell in amongst the rest of the soldiers; I saw a soldier knocked down, but who he was I cannot tell.

Q. Where did you stand?

A. Betwixt the foldiers and the fentry box.

Q. Do you know who knocked the foldier down?

A. No, I do not, I am not certain whether it was a stick that struck him down, or a brick bat.

While STATES Dure A

Q. Did his firelock fly out of his hand?

A. Yes, the firelock flew out of his hand, and he took it up, again and fired, and I think he was the first that fired.

Q. Which way did the flick or brick bat come that

knocked him down?

A. It came as if thrown from towards the Town house.

Q. How near did the people stand to the soldiers, when the first gun was fired?

A. The nighest might be about ten or a dozen yards from

the foldiers.

Q. When you stood there, did you see any one strike at any soldier with a stick in his hand?

A. No.

Q. Did you see any of the prisoners there that night?

A. Yes, I saw Hartegan, I was acquainted with him in Hatifax, and I kept my eye upon him more than upon any of the rest.

Q. Whereabout did he ftand ?

[109]

A. I came up the Royal Exchange lane, and he was then the nearest man to me.

Q. How many guns did you hear fired?

A. I believe about feven.

Daniel Cornwall, Barber, Sworn.

On the evening of the 5th of March I was in milk street, I heard the bells ring, and ran down to the Town house, I saw diverse of the inhabitants there, I enquired the reason of the bells ringing? a young man told me a rascally soldier had struck one of the inhabitants with a cutlass, I replied, where is the damned villain gone? He gave me no answer, presently they turned round and gave two or three cheers.

Q. How many people were there?

A. About thirty or forty: They went to the alley leading to Murray's barracks, some were for going down the alley, some were not, I staid at the head of the alley, presently they went to the bottom of Royal Exchange lane, and huzzaed and went up the lane, I myself went up the main street, the bell at this time had stopped; as I got to the Town house, they had all got into King street, I went down to see what they would do, there were several gentlemen perswading them to go off, and I believe they would all have gone in a few minutes, had not the soldiers come.—I saw them throwing oyster shells and snow balls at the sentry at the Custom house door, he was on the steps.

Q. 'Are you' fure you faw them throw oyster shells at him?

A Choose of the state of the state of

Q. One or two, or a number?

A. I think two or three. Q. Did they hit him?

A. I do not think they did. Some were hallowing out, let us burn the fentry box, let us heave it over board, but they did neither; I stood then opposite the Custom house door, presently I saw a party of soldiers come down, who placed themselves before the Custom house.

Q. Before the party came down, did you hear any per-

fon fay, kill him?

A. No. I observed Capt. Presson standing by the sentry box, I saw him talking with a man, I do not know who he was, I went to hear what they said, but I could not; in the space of two or three minutes, I heard a stick, club, or

Something elfe strike a foldier's gun, immediately the gun const of many fluction of went off, and then I run.

Q. Did you hear any thing rattle on the pavements?

A. Yes, I heard a bayonet, or fomething like it, rattle on the pavements.

Q. How many people were there when the foldiers came Christine creating of standard and and the

down ?

A. I believe fixty or feventy. O. Where did you fland?

A. I stood at the head of Royal Exchange lane, about three yards and a half from the fentry box. 500 Mars bid

Q. Could you fee all the foldiers?

A. No: just before they fired, I heard the people fay, Damp you fire, you bloody backs.

2. Did you hear the expressions, Rnsh on, knock them

over, knock them over? A. No.

Q. How long was you there ! A. About seven or eight minutes.

John Ruddock, Elq; Sworn.

of adding \$ 300 98 phone investors

As I went home that evening, I met a number of boys with clubs, they went so for several months before, they chused to do so, because they had been so often knocked down by the foldiers, fome faid the foldiers were going to fight with the people.

Q. What number did you meet?

A. They were in two's or three's, three's or four's in a bunch, in the whole there might he about twenty.

Q. What time of night was that?

A. About eight o'clock.

Newtown Prince, a free Negro, Sworn.

When the bells rung I was at my own house, I run to the door and heard the cry of fire, I put on my shoes, and went out, and met two or three men, asked them where the fire was; they faid it was something better than fire. I met some with clubs, some with buckets and bags, and some running before me with sticks in their hands; I went to the Town house, looked down the street, and saw the soldiers come out with their guns and bayonets fixed : I faw Capt. Presson with them; there were a number of people by the west door of the Town house, they said let's go and attend the main guard, some said for God's sake do not meddle [uu]

with them; they said by God we will go, others again said do not go. After a while they huzzaed and went down King street; there was a number of people came down Prifon lane, and some from the post-office; they went down to the custom house, and I went down. The soldiers were all placed round in a circle with their guns breast high. I stood on the right wing, when the captain came the people crouded in to speak to him, and I went behind them, I went next to the custom house door, there were people all round the soldiers.

Q. How near were the people to the foldiers?

A. About three or four feet from the point of their bayonets, the thickest part was by Capt. Presson. When I got to the corner I saw people with sticks striking on their guns at the right wing. I apprehended danger, and that the guns might go off accidentally. I went to get to the upper end towards the Town bouse, I had not got to the center of the party, before the guns went off; as they went off I run, and did not stop till I got to the upper end of the Town house.

Q. How many did you see strike upon their guns?

A. I cannot tell how many of them did it.

Q. Did you hear at that time they were striking, the cry of fire, fire?

A. Yes, they said fire, fire damn you fire, fire you lobsters, fire, you dare not fire.

Q. Did you fee any thing thrown at the foldiers?

A. Nothing but fnow balls, flung by fome youngfters.

Gregory Townsend, Esq; Merchant, sworn.

Just after the bell rung nine, hearing the bell ring again, I went out thinking it was fire; I saw numbers of people running from the South end, some had buckets, the principal number had clubs in their hands. I asked where is the fire, I received for answer, at the Rope-walks and in King street. Numbers were coming with buckets, and the rest said, Damn your bloods do not bring buckets, bring clubs.

Q. Was this before the firing? A. Yes,

Andrew (Mr. Oliver Wendall's Negro,) fworn.
On the evening of the 5th of March I was at home, I heard the bells ring, and went to the gate; I staid there a little and saw Mr. Levell coming back with his buckets, I asked

him where was the fire, he faid it was not fire; after that I went into the street, and faw one of my acquaintances and we run down to the end of the lane and faw another acquaintance coming up, holding his arm; I asked him what's the matter, he faid the foldiers were fighting, had got cutlaffes, and were killing every body, and that one of them had struck him on the arm, and almost cut it off; he told me I had best not go down; I said a good club was better than a cutlass, and he had better go down and see if he could not cut fome too. I went to the Town house, faw the sentinels placed at the main guard standing at Mr. Bowes's corner: numbers of boys on the other fide of the way were throwing fnow balls at them; the fentinels were enraged and fwearing at the boys; the boys called them lobsters, bloody backs, and hallowed who buys lobsters; one of my acquaintance came and told me that the foldiers had been fighting, and the people had drove them to Murray's barracks; I saw a number of people coming from Murray's barracks who went down by Jackson's corner into King street; presently I heard three cheers given in King street, I said we had better go down and fee what's the matter; we went down to the whipping post and stood by Waldo's shop, I saw a number of people round the fentinel at the Custom bouse, there were also a number of people who stood where I did, and were picking up pieces of sea coal that had been thrown out thereabout, and fnow balls, and throwing them over at the fentinel. While I was standing there, there were two or three boys run out from among the people, and cried we have got his gun away, and now we will have him; presently I heard three cheers given by the people at the Custom boufe; I said to my acquaintance I would run up and fee whether the guard would turn out. I paffed round the Guard house, and went as far as the west door of the Town house. While I stood there one of my acquaintance faid he would go round the corner of the Town house, and see if the guard had turned out; he went to the corner and called me, and told me the guard was come out. I went and looked down the street, I saw a file of men, with an officer with a laced hat on before them; upon that we all went to go towards him, and when we had got about half way to them, the officer faid something to them, and they filed off down the street; upon that I went in the shade towards the Guard house, and followed them down as far as Mr. Peck's corner; I saw them

pass through the croud, and plant themselves by the Custom; house. As foon as they got there the people gave three cheers. I went to cross over to where the foldiers were, and as foon as I got a glimple of them, I heard somebody huzza and fay here is old Murray with the riot act, and they belowed him as far as Philip's corner, and I do not know where he went. I turned back and went through the people until I got to the head of Royal exchange-lane, right against the soldiers; the first word I heard was a grenadier fay to a man by me, damn you frand back.

Q. How near was he to him?

A. He was so near that the Grenadier might have run him through if he had stept one step forward. While I stopt to look at him, a person came to get through betwixt the Grenadier and me, and the foldier had like to have pricked him; he turned about and faid, You dainn'd lobster, bloody back, are you going to stab me, the soldier said, by God will I; presently somebody took hold of me by the shoulder, and told me to go home, or I should be hurt; at the same time there were a number of people towards the Town house, who said, come away and let the guard alone, you have nothing at all to do with them. I turned about and saw the officer standing before the men, and one or two persons engaged in talk with him. A number were jumping on the backs of those that were talking with the officer, to get as near as they could officer, to get as near as they could.

Q. Did you hear what they faid! Dan diquods A. No. Upon this I went to go as close to the officer as I could; one of the persons who was talking with the officer turned about quick to the people, and faid, damn him, he is going to fire; upon that they gave a shout, and cryed out, fire and be damn'd, who cares, damn you, you dare not fire, and began to throw from ball, and other things, which then flew pretty thick. Q. Did they hit any of them : hat is the stone to

A. Yes, I faw two orthree of them hit, one struck a Grepadier on the hat, and the people who were right before them had flicks; and as the foldiers were pulling with their guns back and forth, they struck their guns, and one hit a Grenadier on the fingers. At this time the people up at the Fown house called again, come away, come away; a at the Town house called again, fout man who flood near me, and right before the Grenadiers as they pulhed with their bayonets the length of their arms, kept striking on their guns. The people seemed to be leaving the soldiers, and to turn from them, when there came down a number from Jackson's corner, huzzaing and crying, damn them they dare not sire, we are not asraid of them: one of these people, a stout man with a long cord wood stick, threw himself in, and made a blow at the officer; I saw the officer try to fend off the stroke, whether he struck him or not I do not know: the stout man then turned round, and struck the Grenadier's gun at the Captain's right hand, and immediately sell in with his club, and knocked his gun away, and struck him over the head, the blow came either on the soldier's cheek or hat. This stout man held the bayonet with his lest hand, and twitched it and cried, kill the dogs, knock them over; this was the general cry; the people then crouded in, and upon that the

his gun, and immediately he discharged it.

Q. Do you know who this sout man was, that fell in

Grenadier gave a twitch back and relieved his gun, and he up with it and began to pay away on the people. I was then betwixt the officer and this grenadier, I turned to go off, when I had got away about the length of a gun, I turned to look towards the officer; and I heard the word fire; at the word fire I thought I heard the report of a gun, and uppon my hearing the report, I faw the fame grenadier swing

and ftruck the grenadier ?

A. I thought, and still think, it was the Molatto who was shot.

A. Do you know the grenadier who was thus affaulted and fired?

A. I then thought it was Killroy, and I told Mr. Quincy fo the next morning after the affair happened, I now think it was he from my bell observation, but I can't positively swearit.

Q. Did the foldiers of that party, or any of them, flep or move out of the rank in which they flood to push the people?

A. No, and if they had they might have killed me and

many others with their bayonets.

Q. Did you, as you passed through the people towards Royal-exchange-lane and the party, see a number of people take up any and every thing they could find in the firset, and throw them at the soldiers?

A. Yes, I law ten or fifteen round me do it.

Did you yourlelf pick up every thing you could find, and throw at them water Lapar Traffic and the Doct to be

A. Yes, I did.

Q After the gun fired, where did you go?

A. I run as fast as I could into the first door I saw open, which I think was Mr. Dehones, I was very much frightened.

Oliver Wendell, Merchant, Sworn.

Q Is the witness last examined your servant? A. Yes. Q How long has he lived in your family?

A. Above ten years.

Q What is his general character for truth?

A. It is good, I have heard his testimony and believe it to be true, he gave the same relation of this matter, to me on the same evening, in a quarter of an hour after the affair happened; and I then asked him whether our people were to blame, he faid they were.

Q Can Andrew read and write?

A. Yes, very well, he has been well educated.

Pray Sir, is it not usual for Andrew to amplify and

enbellish a story?

Ö

đ

it

t.,

10

nd

ds

rle

A. He is fellow of a lively imagination, and will fometimes amuse the servants in the kitchen, but I never knew him tell a serious lye.

FIVE o'Clock, P. M. the Court adjourned till next

morning, Saturday, NINE o'Clock.

Saturday, NINE o'Clock, the Court met according to adjournment; and proceeded.

William Whitington, Sworn.

I was in King street a quarter after nine o' clock on the 5th of March, and two others with me, I croffed King-fireet at Oliver's-dock, and I met a few people, but did not mind them, and the people with me did not; in a little time I heard the bells ring, and I made a stop and asked what was the matter? They faid fire, I saw several people with buckets, &c. and I asked them where they were going? They faid there is fire somewhere. I came up by Pudding-lane, and went in betwixt the guardand Guardhouse, for at this time the Main Guard was turned out, I faw Mr. Baffet the officer, and Capt. Preston, while I was standing there, some person in the croud fronting the soldiers cried out to the [115]

guard, will you stand there and fee the Sentinel murdered at the Custom-house? Capt. Presson and Mr. Baffet were both together, Mr. Basset said to Capt. Presson, what shall I do in this case? Said Presson, take out fix or seven of the men, and let them go down to the assistance of the Sentry; I think there were fix men ordered out of the ranks, they formed themselves by files, the Corporal marched in the front, and the Captain in the rear; I was at this time on the outlide of the foldiers on the left hand, and I kept on the outlide from the time they marched from the parade till they came to the Cuffon house, but how they formed themselves when they came there I did not see, but when I faw them they were formed in a half circle, I was about two or three yards distance from them, I heard Capt. Preston use many intreaties to the populace, begging they would disperse and go home, but what they said I cannot tell; but I heard them hollow, damn you fire! You dare not fire, we know you dare not fire: Capt. Presson defired them to go home many times; I departed and faw no more of them, and went to Wheelwright's wharf.

Joseph Hinkley, Sworn.

On the evening of the 5th March I heard the bells ring. I was in Mr. Hall's house, I went out in order to see where the fire was, I heard the drum beat, I went to the shop and got a stick, and went down to the Conduit, I saw thirty or forty people with sticks in their hands.

Q. Were they walking sticks?

A. Some were short clubs, some were walking sticks,—Then they hollowed, King-street forever, and huzzaed, some went up Royal-exchange-lane, I went with a number up Jenkin's-alley, I went towards the Sentinel, he was walking backwards and forwards with his firelock on his shoulder; some of the people said, kill him; I had not been there long, before the party came down, and then a good many more people gathered round before the Sentinel-box, some from Quaker-lane; some from the Town-house, and some from the bottom of King-street, some with sticks, some without, they came close to the Sentinel, the bells were ringing, I had not been there long before they loaded, I was close to them when they loaded.

Q. Who gave orders to load?

A. I did not hear, there was such huzzaing, hollowing

and whistling, that I could not hear, they had their bayonets about breast high, shoving and pricking with their bayonets to make the way clear, then the people hollowed firet why do you not fire? Damn you fire? you bloody backs.

Q. Did they tell the people to keep off? A. Yes.

Q. And did the people go back when defired in the

A. No, they pressed more upon them, while the people were thus pressing on the party, they fired, I did not hear any orders given.

Q. How near did you ftand to the foldiers to

gun was fired.

Q. To which wing did you fall did ad a fall and a state of the state o

A. To the center, I was night facing them.

Q. How many guins were fired ! all of the I do made

A. I think fix or feven, I did not count them.

Q Did you fee the people come close up to the foldiers and strike on their guns?

A. No, they held their sticks up over their heads, sourishing and brandishing them, saying, damn you fire? you dare not fire.

Q. Did you fee any flicks thrown ?

A. No, nor any thing elfe, Samuel Gray, who was that hight, clapped me on the shoulder, and said, do not run my lad, they dare not fire, and he ran back and forth among the people, and clapped others also on the back as he did me.

Q. Had he any thing in his hand?

A. I think he had not; I looked to my left foon after the guns were fired, and faw him upon the ground, and with the help of some others, carried him to Dr. Loring's shop, but could not get in, and left him there.

Q. Do you know Langford in this town? A. No.

Q. Did you fee any body go up to Gray, and thurst at

A. No, I did not fee it and or mounts from ton haw the

Q. How near did he fall to the foldiers?

A. He was in the middle of the fireet.

Q. Did you fee any of the foldiers move out of the ranks?

fired, but there were as foldier : fond prople wife coming

A. Nov I recision of the base speed of orde they

Q. How near was you to Gray the old and Jon saw

A. About three or four yards distance of salt of all new I

wed that the Harrison Gray, jun Swotness of mode star

That evening upon returning home, I saw a number of people round the Sentinel, making use of opprobious language and threatenings, I defired them to go off, and said, the consequence would be satal if they did not; some sew show balls were thrown, and abusive language continued, they said, damn him, let him sire, he can sire but one gun.

Q. Were they men or boys?

A. They were a mixture, about eighteen or nineteen

Q. How many were there of them?

A. There might be from leventy to an hundred, I did not particularly observe; when I could not prevail to take them off, I went to Mr. Pain's, in a little while the party came down, I saw nothing afterwards; soon after I heard the guns fired, and Mr. Pain was wounded with one of them.

Q. Did the Sentinel call out for the guard?

A. I did not hear him, he retreated to the steps of the Custom-house.

Q. Was you standing at Mr. Pain's door when the guns

were fired ?

A. I was, but was not looking that way, nor did I observe when the party came down; I told the people, the Sentinel was on duty, that was his post, and that he had a right to walk there, and that he could have enough to relieve him, if he stood in need of it, as he was so near the Main-guard,

Charles Willis, an Apprentice, fworn.

I know nothing worth the telling; I was not in Kingfireet, I heard there was no fire, but I heard the foldiers were fighting. I went to Dock-fquare, and faw a number of people there, I came up Roy al-exchange-lane, and faw the firing, but was not near enough to fee any thing the people did.

Matthew Murray, fworn,

That evening I was at home, and heard the bells ring, I went into the street and asked the occasion, I was told it was not fire, but the soldiers sighting with the inhabitants; I went into the house and could find no stick, but I cut the handle of my mother's broom off, with this I came to King, street, but there were no soldiers; some people were coming

T one I from Royal exchange lane, some from the Town house, some hid, damn its they are only making fools of us, it is best to go home: I went to the head of Rayah axchange lane, and faw a cluster of people there, and I faw a boy who faid that the Sentry had knocked him down with the but end of his gun; I faw the Sentry on the Steps, and the people after he loaded, faid, fire! Damn you fire! Prefently after the party came down, I flood close to them, they were fwinging their bayonets, telling the people to make way, I faw a man talking with Capt. Prefton, I went to hear what he faid. I could not hear, the grenadier on the right was fireck fome where on his right fide, but I do not know with what,

Q. Was that the right hand man ?) of w. A. Yes

Q. Was you close to the foldiers?

. Q. Did you fee any fnow-balls thrown before this?

but directly he fired. to dank on Law ment der vews roll

A I think I faw two or three a hooft ow has good reb

there were three or four boys round the Sontingly above not Thomas Symmonds, Victualler, fwormend to hol i

Betwixt eight and nine o'clock of the 5th March, I was in my own house near Murray's barracks, the people were running backwards and forwards, and there was a great mob and riot by the barrack gate; I heard the people as they went along declare, if the foldiers did not come out and fight them, they would fet fire to the four corners of the barracks, and burn every damned foul of them.

Q. Did you fee the people?

A. I was standing at my own door. I saw them pass and repais me, but I knew none of them.

Q. Was there any diffurbance before that?

A. Yes, there was a disturbance half an hour before that.

2. What fort of a diffurbance was it before?

A. I faw a good number of town's people had cutlaffes, clubs, and fwords, there was knocking down, riot and difturbance, and this declaration of theirs was after that, and before the bells rung.

Q. Was that said by one, two, or a number?

A. I cannot tell indeed how many faid for

Q. Did you at that time keep a victualling house?

A. Yes, I did.

Necessian spanish we look omid Q. Did the foldiers frequent your house?

A. Yes, they did a near to ourself a state yout . A

William Parker, Bricklayer, fworn.

On the evening of the 5th March, I was at Mr. Goleman's at the north fide of the Market, I came from thence through the Market on the fouth fide, I faw seven or eight people, the chief were boys, three or four of them were on the infide the rails, pulling the butthers stalls to pieces.

Q. How old did thele boys appear beat bill delected

Av About a dozen of years old; of smaller, some about eighteen, I went up to them and observed they were geting flicks; about half a minute after; came along a foldier, L took him to be an officer's fervant, forne faid here is a dam'd foldier, and got foul of the man, and I got the foldier away from them, and he went off, and I went towards home round by the Galden ball, and up into King-fireet; I met one Mr. James Bayard he and I walked together, and I did not see a single soul in the street; we passed the Sentinel (I think that was he, pointing to White) it was cold under foot, and we stood upon Stone's steps; in a few minutes there were three or four boys round the Sentinel, they got foul of him; one of them faid the Sentinel had ftruck him with his gun, and they kept pushing one another against him, and pushed him into the box; I said to Mr. Bayard there will be trouble by and bye. About two minutes after there came a parcel of boys and young fellows together, in number about fifteen or fixteen, the chief of them with Ricks in their hands of old let hive a vail med the bas

Q. What fort of flicks were they be and the stand of

A. They looked like the sticks they took at the Market, like pieces of the stalls split. When they got to the head of the lane, there was a little talking and whistling amongst them, and they said let's go up to the Main-guard, and they went up by the foot of the Town-house; about one minute after there were five or six boys made their appearance out of Royal-exchange-lane, from that I went to go up round Jackson's corner, when I came to the watch-house, I met a number of people coming round by Jackson's corner.

Q. How many people ! for the second second second

A. Twenty or thirty. To me and ville me it of the

Q. Had they flicks busin wood best in the source 1 .

A. Some had sticks, some had none, some had short sticks, some had walking canes.

Q. What fixed people were these !

A. They were a mixture of men and boys running to-

gether, I asked them what had been the matter, they said, there had been a squabble by Murray's barracks, and they had drove the soldiers in; they said it was all over; then I lest Mr. Bayard and they all came down into King street, and betwixt Quaker lane and Royal exchange lane they made a stop, and met in a cluster, and not long afterwards dispersed; I did not leave above twelve or sitteen in King street, when I came out of it. I went down Quaker lane, and a number that lived that way went downwith me; as I got home and listed the latch of the door I heard some bell ring, and I heard a gun and then another, I heard them all sired, I came back as far as the bottom of the lane and no farther.

Q. What said the boys in the Market to the soldier who

paffed by ?

A. They faid here is a damned foldier, some faid they are all alike, this is as bad as any of them. I believe they would have beat him if I had not rescued him; he was passing quietly along.

John Gridley, Merchant, Sworn.

On the evening of the 5th of March, I passed my time at the Bunch of Grapes in King fireet, in company with three gentlemen of the town; betwixt the hours of nine and ten we were alarmed with the bells, and a cry of fire, they faid to me come Girdley we had better go and enquire where the fire is, I faid, I had rather fit where I was, there might be some disturbance, and I did not want to be in it: however I agreed, and we went. I faw Mr. Davis particularly, he faid to me what do you make of this; I told him I believed there was no fire, but rather a tumult. I said to Mr. Davis I will go up the street and see what the matter is, and return again and let him and the rest that were on the steps of the door know what the matter was. I went up the street into the middle of it, and I stopt just before the Sentinel placed at the Custom house, there were a large number of boys, and some men amongst them, about ten young men; the boys were in the front, and the men in the rear; I believe about twenty-five boys men and all.

Q. How big were these boys?

A. Little trifling boys. The Sentinel had his gun and bayonet charged, levelled with his hip. I went from thence

[_122]

up to the fouth end of the Town house opposite to the Main-

Q. Was the Sentinel at that time in the box or on the

steps !

A. He was retreating towards the steps with his bayonet charged. I then found the Main guard to be in confusion. I went up to the head of the Town house, where were a number of gentlemen collected together, I asked them what was the matter, they told me that the soldiers had rushed from Murray's barracks, and had cut several of the inhabitants with their cuttailes; several people were running about the streets, and the cry was God damn the rascals. Some said this will never do, the readjest way to get rid of these people is to attack the Main guard. Strike at the root, there is the nest.

Q. Was this particularly spoken by one or two only?

A. No, it was general, they joined in with one another as they met. I went to the north fide of the Town house, with a view to return to the place from whence I came; I stopt at Mr. Kent's door, and while I was standing there, a party of the guard came down from the Main guard a-cross King street. I turned round and saw a non-commissioned officer (as I took him to be by his appearance) leading the party, which I at first thought was to relieve the Sentinel at the Custom house as usual, but perceiving this guard was going down to support the Sentinel, I thought it time to go where I came from, to tell the gentlemen what I had seen according to promise. I proceeded down street on the Custom-bouse side, on the stat stones; the soldiers were drawn up in two ranks front and rear, as I thought it, they had not had time to form as I came down; I walked betwist the two ranks, they were then loading their pieces.

Q. Did you hear any orders given for loading?

A. No. Passing betwirt the ranks, their guns being on a loading position, I passed leisurely through, and they put their guns and bayonets up to let me go through. I returned to the Bunch of Grapes from whence I came, I saw Mr. Davis and the other gentlemen on the steps. Mr. Davis asked me to give an account of this matter. I told him I could give no account, except a general one, that the solders had come out of their barracks, and that they had been a quarreling, and the Sentinel had been interropted in his duty. Mr. Davis asked me what was that collection

of people before the Custom house, who did they confid of? they are nothing, faid he, but a parcel of boys; I haltily replied, Yes, Mother Tapley's boys.

Q. What did you mean by that? A. I meant boys as big as I am.

Q. When you passed betwixt the soldiers, was any thing

thrown at them, or did any body firike them.

A. No, not that I saw. When I was at the Bunch of Grapes I faw fome fnow balls thrown; fome from the rear, some from the middle of the street, and some from Quaker lane, all thrown towards the Cuftom house.

Q. Was there any noise just before the firing?

A. As I flood on the steps of the Bunch of Grapes tavern, the general noise and cry was, why do you not fire? dama you; you dare not fire; fire and be damn'd. These words were spoke very loud, they might be heard to the Long wharff. The noise was very great indeed. There was about fifty before the foldiers, and about half the number before the Sentinel, before the party joined him.

modern distinct Mrs. Catherine Field, Sworn.

Q. Did you know Patrick Car, who was killed by the firing in King fireet on the 5th of March last? A. Yes.

Q. Was he in your house that evening? A. Yes.

Q. Did you hear any thing he said, when he was told

there was an affray with the foldiers?

A. When the bells rung, he went up stairs and put his furtout on, and got a hanger, and put it betwixt his coat and furtout; my hufband coming at that time, gave him a outh, and felt the fword; he wanted to take it from him. but he was unwilling to let it go; my husband told him he should not take it with him. I do not know what he faid. but one of the neighbours was in the house, and coaxed the fword out of his hand, and he went out without it. He faid on his death bed, he faw a parcel of boys and negroes throwing snow balls at the guard. He thought the first or second man from the Sentinel box was the man that shot him.

John Mansfield, Sworn.

Q. Did you know Patrick Carr?

A. Yes. On the night of the 5th of March, when the bells rung, he would go out. I perfuaded him much to flay [924]

his coat and furtout. Mr. Field coming in, felt it, and faid, he should not take it out with him; with much coaxing a woman, who lived next door, got it from him.

A. Did you hear any acknowledgement by him on his

death bed ?

A. I was often at his bed-fide, and all that I ever heard him fay was, he thought he knew the man that shot him, but he never made it known to me.

Doctor John Jeffries, fworn.

Q. Was you Patrick Carr's Surgeon ?

A. I was, in company with others. I was called that evening about eleven o'clock to him, I was engaged with Mr. Paine, and could not go; next morning I went; after dreffing his wounds, I advised him never to go again into quarrels and riots: He faid he was very forry he did go .-Dr. Lloyd, who was prefent, turned round to me, and faid, Teffries, I believe this man will be able to tell us how the affair was; we had better alk him. I alked him then how long he had been in King street when they fired? He faid he went from Mr. Field's when the bells rung; when he got to to Walker's corner, he faw many persons coming from Cornhill, who he was told had been quarrelling with the foldiers down there; that he went with them as far as the stocks; that he stopped there, but they passed on: While he was standing there he saw many things thrown at the Sentry. I asked him if he knew what was thrown? He faid he heard the things strike against the guns, and they sounded hard; he believed they were oyster shells and ice; he heard the people huzza every time they heard any thing strike that founded hard: that he then faw some soldiers going down towards the Custom house, that he saw the people pelt them as they went along; after they had got down there, he croffed over towards Warden and Vernon's shop, in order to see what they would do; that as he was passing he was shot;that he was taken up and carried home to Mr. Field's by some of his friends. I asked him whether he thought the soldiers would fire? He told me he thought the soldiers would have fired long before. I then asked him whether he thought the foldiers were abused a great deal, after they went down there? He said, he thought they were. I asked him whether he thought the foldiers would have been burt, if they had not fired? He faid, he really thought they would, for he heard many voices cry out, kill the I asked him then, meaning to close all, whether he though they fired in felf defence, or on purpose to destroy the pe ple? He faid, he really thought they did fire to de themselves; that he did not blame the man whoever he was, that flot him. This conversation was on Wednesday. He always gave the fame aufwers to the fame questions, every time I visited him.

O. Was he apprehensive of his danger?

A. He was told of it. He told me alfo, he was a native of Ireland, that he had frequently feen mobs, and foldiers called upon to quell them; whenever he mentioned that, he always called himfelf a fool, that he might have known better, that he had feen foldiers often fire on the people in Ireland, but had never feen them bear half to much before they fired in his life.

Q. How often did he repeat this conversation?

A. Almost every day I saw him, though he was more particular, the day but one after he was shot.

Q. How long did he live after he received his wound? A. Ten days. Is a marin en la se disconsisse out this

O. When had you the last conversation with him?

A. About four o'clock in the afternoon, preceding the night on which he died, and he then particularly faid, he forgave the man whoever he was that that him, he was fatisfied he had no malice, but fired to defend himfelf.

Q. Did you yourfelf fee any of the transactions at Mur-

ray's barracks on that evening the land and the state of the state of

A. On the evening of the 5th March, I was at my father's, opposite Mr. Cooper's meeting; about nine, one of the neighbours run in, (a woman) the faid to my father, pray fir come out, there will be murder, the foldiers and people are fighting: I went directly towards Murray's barracks. before I got to them I found the passage way stopped up so that I could not pais, by a number of people of all forts; I faw no foldiers just at that minute; I got upon Dr. Hyran's steps, I saw several soldiers towards Mr. Greenleaf's, I think there were three, one of them had a pair of tongs in his hand, another had a flick I think, he was the second, he that had the tongs was the first, behind them were several officers driving the foldiers towards the barrack gate, ordering them to go in. I faw them strike them, they turned

them into the gate, they then that the barrack gate intirely, I think the officers did that themselves; as they were putting them in, there were a great many fnow balls thrown at them, they were called cowards, cowardly rafcals, and that they were afraid to fight.

Q. What number of people do you think were there !

A. There were as many as could frand betwirt the fleps and the fide of the way; I took the alley to be as full as it could be, for others were pressing to get into that street and could not; I judge not less than seventy or eighty could fill that space of ground: the officers told the people not a foldier should come out, at that time I saw a gentleman speak o fome of the officers, who I then took to be Mr. Palmes, I asked the person next me if he knew the names of either of the officers? He pointed to one, and faid that was Capt. Goldfinch; while the gentlemen was talking with Capt. Goldfinch (it was some time about seven or eight minutes I stood on the fame (pot) there was a great deal of abulive language given to them, they were repeatedly called lobsters; they promifed the gentleman who was speaking to them, that if any body had been injured, enquiry should be made next day, and the persons should be punished, I heard this repeated four or five different times, they spoke also to the people o general; while they were talking I faw fnow balls thrown the officers, which struck the door before which they food; they begged the people would go away; they faid they would not; the officers faid they had done all they d, they had turned the foldiers in and thut the gate, that no foldiers should come out that evening; some body replied, you mean they dare not come out, you dare not them out; many persons cried let us go home, others Gid no, we shall find some soldiers in King street, a number of them then passed up the alley, as they went up they buzased and made a noile against the fences and side of the walls; I then passed up the alley myself into Cornhill; as soon so I got out of the alley I heard the Old-Brick bell ring. Q. Did you hear Dr. Cooper's bell ring before !

A. I think not, I heard it afterwards. There were many in the Areet running, some with buckets, enquiring where the fire was? There were many answers given in the street it is not fire, it is the soldiers fighting, I do not know from who, but from several quarters behind and before me; I

The ment to be in 1 that their mark to the way of money a

went up Gornhill and faw a number of persons collected betwist Mr. Jackson's shop and the Town-house.

Q. How many had de as water at i moderate Ou

A. About twenty, I thought many of them were the persons that had just left the alley, I had followed them with my eye and saw them stop there, many of them had sticks, they did not use them to walk with, as they went up they flourished them about.

Q. What number of sticks did you see flourishing in that

manners over the transfer there there are manners

A. I thought about two thirds of them had Ricks.

Q. Was there a general cry !

A. No, the chief was huzzaing. As they went up feve ral of them struck against Jackson's shop-windows and faid. damn'it, here lives an importer, others ran more towards the Town-house and took up pieces of ice and threw at Jack fon's windows and broke four panes of glass, I stood and counted them; at that time Mr. Cazneau came up and faid, do not meddle with Mr. Jackson, let him alone, do not break his windows, and they left off throwing; the bigger part of them immediately pulhed down King-freet by the north fide of the Town-house, others of them went betwirt the west door of the Town-house and Coinhill, and faid, we will go to the guard; I then went over to the opening betwint the fouth fide of the Town-houfe and the Guard-houfe, to look down to fee if they did stop there, at that time I heard : buzza I thought lower down King-street, it was not from any of the people I had then in view, these persons did not stop by the Guard-houfe, but run directly down King-freet; I then turned back, and returned by Cornhill through Boylfton's-alley. I found a small circle of people talking with the officers on the Reps, about twelve; at that time Dr. Cooper's bell began to ring, one of the officers immediately cried out, pray flop that bell, I then left them and went to my father's.

Q. Did you fee any person ring the bell?

A. No, I saw no person, but I saw a window open.

Q. Was any thing done to ftop it ?

A. I faw nothing done, I had been but a little while in the house, I had just took off my cloak when the girl ran in from the kitchen, and said there is a gun fired, I replied to the company, I did not believe it, for I had seen the officers put in the soldiers and shut the gate.

weet an County and hard an about sections of the box Captain Edmund Mafon, fworn.

Q. By whom is the Sentry at the Custom-house placed?

A. The Sentinel at the Guftom-houft is placed by order of commanding officer, the commanding officer was then Lieut, Col. Dalrymple, by his order a Sentry was placed at the Custom-house to take care of the money in the Cashier's office, books, &c. that is the duty of a Sentinel stationed at the Cuffom-house. I was new all exacts to reduce and w. . O

Q. Had a Sentry alternately been placed there for fome

months before the cth March ? over time tigualist .A

A. Yes, for many months before, ever fince I came to the town, and the Sentinel there cannot ftir till the commandficer relieves him. A notice state of state of the late

Q. Did you fee the first order for placing the Septinel at shmistioners office when they kept at Concert-hall?

A. I did not, I was not then in the country.

Thomas Hall, fworn.

Produced on the part of the crown.

Q. Do you know any of the prisoners?

A. Yes, White, Killroy, Wemms, and Carrol.

O. Did White fay any thing to you on the 5th March laft for person all city one

A. Yes. I went down King-fireet just after the bells began to ring, and he faid Hall, I am molested and imposed on in my post, I cannot keep my post clear; Hall take care of yourself, there will be something done by and bye. I

O. Were any number of people about the Sentinel at that time to be treat and anidas same tobaic Haris a force t

A. Yes, there were about twenty, he faid he could not keep his post clear. They faid he dared not fire. He cocked his gun on the steps, then he presented his gun, and they drew off again. Her and many ros 45 months of

Q. What did he fay to the people!

A. He defired them to keep off. Some were throwing how balls, some oyster shells at him. nesidena with T

Q. Did you fee any of them hit the Sentinel?

A. No: I faw them hit his gun two or three times; then he hollowed for the guard, and the guard came down.

Q. What expression did he use !

A. He hollowed foldiers come here, and they came feven men and the officer.

2. What followed upon that?

A. As foon as they came down the people pressed in upon them; and they pushed with their bayonets to keep them off, but did not move out of their ranks.

2. Were any snow-balls, sticks, or stones, thrown at the

party after they came down? A. No.

2. Did the foldiers tell them to keep off?

A. Yes; but they still pressed on. Then one man fired, and I run down Royal-exchange-lane as fast as I could.

Q. How near did you stand to the party?

A. About twelve or fourteen feet off.

2. Were there people between you and the party?

A. Yes, ten or twelve.

Q. What was the general cry?

A. Fire, fire, you dare not fire, fire and be damned, -

John Stewart, Sworn.

Betwixt eight and nine o'clock on the 5th of March as I was going home to Green's lane, I met five or fix men with flicks in their hands, about the middle of it I met with much the same number, and at the end of it I met with much the same number.

2. Which way were they going?

A. They were going into town, towards King freet.

Captain Barbujon O Hara, fworn.

9. Do you know Carrol one of the prisoners?

A. I have known him these four years by a particular circumstance. I landed at a battery where he was on duty, and entered into conversation with him; and I have took particular notice of him ever since.

2. What is his general character?

A. That of a discreet sober orderly man.

2. Do you know if a Sentinel was constantly placed at the Custom-house?

A. Yes, for feveral months before last March, by order from the Commanding officer.

Theodore Blifs, Carpenter, fworn.

On the evening of the 5th of March I was in my own house, betwixt nine and ten I heard the bells ring for fire, I went out of the house and came into King street: I there saw the foldiers and the officer. I went to the officer and asked

Lim if his men were loaded, he faid they were; I asked him if they were loaded with ball, he made me no answer; I asked it they were going to fire, he faid they could not fire without his orders; directly I saw a snow ball and stick come from behind me which struck the grenadier on the right, which I took to be Warren, he sended it off with his musket as well as he could, and immediately he fired.

2. Where did he stand?

A. He was the first man on the right, and the third man from the officer; immediately after the first gun, the officer turned to the right, and I turned to the left and went down the lane; I heard the word fire given, but whether it was the town's people or the officer, I do not know.

2. Were any blows given to the foldiers before the firing?

A. I faw none.

2. Were any blows given after the first and before the

second gun fired?

2. Did you, or did you not, after the first gun was fired fee a blow aimed?

A. I did not.

2. Did you not aim a blow yourfelf?

A. Yes, when I was going away.

2. How large was that flick you faw thrown?

A. About an inch diameter.

Q. Did the foldier fally or step back when the stick struck him?

A. I saw only his body, I did not see his feet.

2 Directly on the first gun's going off, did any close in

upon the foldiers, and aim a blow or blows at them?

A. I did myself, whether any one else did or not I cannot tell. When I was about three or four roods from my own house, I heard the soldiers were quarrelling with the inhabitants, some inhabitants said, We had better go and see it out,

2. What number was coming down along with you?

A. Six or eight, in some places eight or ten, in others one after another, all the way along from the South-end; the people were saying, the soldiers were quarrelling with the inhabitants.—breeding a tumpus—going to beat the inhabitants. Some said we had better go home—others lets go now and see it out—it is the best time now—and now is the only sime.

2, Had they buckets? A. Yes.

2. Had all of them buckets? A. No.

2. What had the rest?

A. Some had nothing at all, fome had walking canes.

2. What was the general cry before the firing?

P. Fire, damn you, why don't you fire, you dare not fire.

2. Are you fure it was the man nighest to the Custom-

A. Yes, I think I am certain of it.

Henry Bafs, Merchant, fworn.

* Produced on the part of the Crown.

On the evening of the 5th of March I left my house in Winter-street, and went to see a friend in the neighbourhood of Dr. Cooper's meeting. I went down the main-street, and coming near Boylston's-elley, I saw a number of boys and children from twelve to sisteen years old, betwixt Mr. Jack-son's and the alley; some of them had walking canes. A number of soldiers, I think four, sallied out of the alley.

2. How many boys were there? A. Six or eight.

2. What time of night was it?

A. About five minutes after nine. I took the foldiers for grenadiers, all of them had cutlasses drawn.

Q. Did they come out of the barracks?

A. They came out of the alley, and I imagine from the barracks; they fell on these boys, and every body else that came in their way, they struck them; they followed me and

almost overtook me, I had the advantage of them and run as far as Col. Jackson's, there I made a stand, they came down as far as the stone shop.

Q. Did you see that their cutlasses were drawn?

A. Yes, it was a very bright night, these lads came down, some of them came to the Market-square, one got a stave, others pieces of pine, they were very small, I do not know whether any of the lads were cut.—I turned and then saw an oyster-man, who said to me, damn it here is what I have got by going up; (showing his shoulder wounded) I put my singer into the wound and blooded it very much.—This oyster-man made a stand, and several people got round him asking him questions.

Q. What time was this? A. About 7 minutes after nine.

Q. Was it before the bells rung as for fire or after?

A. It was fome time before. My way lay through that alley where the barracks were, but I did not think it fafe to go up that way, I returned home by the way of Royal-exchange-lane.

Q. When you got to Dock-Square, were there a number of

people there?

A. This affair of the oyster-man gathered numbers, before that there were not above eight, all little lads; in a little time I imagine about twenty gathered. I passed up Royalexchange-lane by the Sentinel, quite near him, I suppose there were not above fifteen persons in King-fireet, very few for fuch a pleasant night; it was then about fifteen minutes after nine.

Q. Where was the Sentinel?

A. Close to the corner of the Custom-house, I came quite near him.

Q. Did you fee no hoys by him? A. None at all.

Q. Did the bells ring then ?

A. No. I went up from Royal-exchange-lane to the northfide of the Town-house, and when I came there the Old Brick niceting house bell began to ring.

Q. Did this gather a great many?

A. Yes. I proceeded towards home, I met several of my acquaintance, and told them there was no fire, but there had been a quarrel with the foldiers and inhabitants, but that it was all over, in particular I met Mr. Chase, presently after another bell rung.

Q. What bell was that? A. Dr. Cooper's.

What elfe did you fee?

A. Nothing more. I had got to Winter-fireet when I heard the guns fire.

Q. Did you know previous to the Old Brick bell's ringing,

that it was to ring to alarm the inhabitants?

A. I did not, but after it had rung I knew it.

Q. At the time when you saw the soldiers run out of the alley, did you hear any body fay there had been a great number of people at the barracks?

> Edward Paine, Merchant, fworn. * * Produced on the part of the Crown.

On Monday evening the 5th March I went to Mr. Amery's, while I was there the bell rung, which I supposed was for nine o'clock, Mrs. Amory faid the imagined it was fire, I looked at the clock, it was twenty minutes after nine; I was going out to enquire where the fire was, Mr. Taylor came in, he said there was no fire, but he understood the foldiers were coming up to cut down Liberty-tree ; I then went out to make enquiry, when I came out of the door, before I had got into King-freet, I met Mr. Walker the thip carpenter, I asked him what the matter was? He faid the soldiers had fallied out from Smith's barracks, and had fell on the inhabitants, and had cut and wounded a number of them, but that they were drove into the barracks: I then went to my house to inform Mrs. Payne that it was not fire, apprehending she might be frightened; I immediately went out again, and when I came into the freet, there was nobody in the fireet at all; the Sentry at the Custom-House was walking by himself as usual, nobody near him; I went up towards the Town-house and flood by the watch-house, where were a number of people, I enquired of them what the matter was? They gave me the same account Mr. Walker did. While I stood there, I heard a confiderable noise in Cornhill, and presently I heard a noise of some people coming up Silsby's-alley, at first I imagined it was foldiers coming up that alley, and had some thoughts of retiring up the Townhouse steps, but soon found they were inhabitants, I stood till they came up to me, I believe there might be twenty at the extent, some of the persons had sticks, some had not. I believe there were as many with sticks as without, they made a confiderable noise, and cried, where are they? Where are they? At this time there came a barber's boy and faid the Sentry at the Cuftom-house had knocked down a boy belonging to their shop; the people then turned about and went down to the Sentry; I then was left as it were alone: I proceeded towards my own house, when I had got about half way, I met Mr. Spear the cooper; he faid, Mr. Payne do not go away, I am afraid the main-guard will come down; I told him I was more afraid of those people that had furrounded the Sentry, and defired him if he had any influence over them to endeavour to take them off; I then proceeded towards my own house, and when I got as far as Mr. Davis's, directly opposite to the Custom-house, I saw a number of persons going up the steps at the Custom-house, and heard a violent knocking at the door, the Sentry stood by the box as I took it, I stopt to see if they opened the Custom-house door to let them in, I found they did not open the door; I then retired to my own house, and stood on the fill of my door. Q. Was there a noise by the Sentry?

A: Yes, a confused noise, five or fix were upon the steps, I remained at my door, and Mr. Harrison Gray came up and stood there talking with me; the people were crying out fire! Damn you, why do you not fire?

Q. Was this before the foldiers came down?

A. Yes. Mr. Gray and I were talking of the foolighness of the people in calling the Sentry to fire on them; in about a minute after, I saw a number of soldiers come down from the Main-guard, and it appeared to me they had their muskets in a horizontal posture, they went towards the Custom-house, and shoved the people from the house, I did not fee in what manner they drew up; at this time Mr. Bethune joined us on my steps at the door, and the noise in the street continued much the same as before, fire! fire! Damn you, fire! why do you not fire? Soon after this, I thought I heard a gun snap, I said to Mr. Gray, there is a gun snapped, did you not hear it? He faid yes; immediately a gun went off, I reached to fee whether it was loaded with powder, or any body lying dead, I heard three more, then there was a pause, and I heard the iron rammers go into their guns, and then there was three more discharged, one after another; it appeared to me there were feven in all, as foon as the last gun was discharged I perceived I was wounded, and went into the house.

Q. Was it the last gun wounded you?

A. I do not know, I did not feel it before the last gun went off.

Q. Did you fee any body throw any thing at the foldiers?

A. No, I was not near enough to see whether the people fruck or threw any thing at the foldiers.

Q. How many people were about them?

A. From fifty to an hundred.

Q. Were they near to them? A. Pretty, nigh.

Q. Could you fee all the foldiers? A. Yes.

FIVE o'clock, P. M. the Court adjourned till Monday
morning, nine o'clock.

Monday, NINE o'clock, the Court met according to adjournment, and proceeded.

Mr. Josiah Quincy, junr.

May it please your Honours, and you Gentlemen of the Jury, WE have at length gone through the evidence in behalf of the prisoners. The witnesses have now placed be-

fore you, that state of facts, from which results our desence. The examination has been so lengthy, that I am assaid some painful sensations arise, when you find that you are now to sit and hear the remarks of council. But you should restect, that no more indulgence is shown to the prisoners now on trial, than has ever been shown on all capital causes: The trial of one man has often taken up several days; when you consider, therefore, that there are eight lives in issue, the importance of the trial will show the necessity of its length. To each of the prisoners different evidence applies, and each of them draw their desence from different quarters.

I stated to you, Gentlemen, your duty in opening this cause—do not forget the discharge of it. You are paying a debt you owe to the community for your own protection and safety: by the same mode of trial are your own rights to receive a determination; and in your turn, a time may come, when you will expect and claim a similar return

from some other jury of your fellow-subjects.

In opening, I pointed out the dangers to which you were exposed; I trust your own recollection will now preclude a recapitulation of them. The reasons of what I then said, I trust have in some measure appeared; the propriety of some of those observations have been corroborated by succeeding evidence; and you must have traced yourselves, some or those consequences, turning out in evidence, which have had an intimate relation, if not their origin, with some or all of those opinions, notions, set timents or passions (call them what you will) which I took occasion to observe, as clues, aid, and leading-strings, in our intended examination and decision.

How much need was there for my defire, that you should suspend your judgment till the witnesses were all examined? How different is the complexion of the cause? Will not all this serve to show every honest man, the little truth to be attained in partial hearings? We have often seen communities complain of ex parte testimonies; individuals, as well as societies, of men, are equally susceptible of injuries of this kind: this trial ought to have another effect, it should serve to convince us all, of the impropriety, nay, injustice, of giving a latitude in conversation upon topicks, likely to come under a judicial decision; the criminality of this conduct is certainly inhanced, when such loose sallies

and discourses are so prevalent as to be likely to touch the life of a citizen. Moreover there is so little certainty to be obtaine; by fach kind of methods, I wonder we fo. often find them practifed. In the present case, how great was the prepossession against us? And I appeal to you, Gentlemen, what cause there is now to alter our sentiments! Will any fober, prudent man countenance the proceedings of the people in King-freet-can any one justify their conduct-is there any one man, or any body of men, who are interested to espouse and support their conduct? Surely, no. But our enquiry must be confined to the legality of their conduct; and here can be no difficulty. It was certainly illegal, unless many witnesses are directly perjured: Witnesses who have no apparent interest to falfify-witnesses, who have given their teltimony with candor and accuracy---witnesses, whose credibility stands untouched-whose credibility, the council for the King, do not pretend to impeach; or hint a foggestion to their disadvantage.

I say, Gentlemen, by the standard of the law are we to judge the actions of the people who were the assailants, and those who were the assailed, and then on duty. And here, Gentlemen, the rule, we formerly laid down, takes place. To the fact:, Gentlemen, apply yourselves. Consider them as testified: weigh the credibility of the witnesses—balance their testimony—compare the several parts of it—fee the amount of it:—and then according to your oaths—"Make true deliverance according to your evidence." That is, Gentlemen, having settled the facts—bring them truly to the standard of the law; the King's judges, who are acquainted with it, who are presumed best to know it, will then inspect this great standard of right and wrong, truth and justice; and they are to determine the degree of guilt to which the fact rises.

But before we come to those divisions of enquiry, under which I intend to consider the evidence, let me once more carefully distinguish between the transactions in Cornhill and

those by the Custom-House.

The conduct of the foldiers in Cornhill may well be supposed to have exasperated the minds of all who beheld their behaviour. Their actions accumulated guilt as it slew --at least, we may well suppose, the incensed people who related them, added new colours to the scene. The slame of resentment imperceptibly enkindles, and a common acquaintance with human nature will shew, that it is no extravagant supposition, to imagine many a moderate man might at fuch a feason, with such sentiments, which I have more than once noticed; - hearing such relations and complaints, I fay do I injure any one, in supposing, that under all these circumstances, a very moderate perfon, who in ordinary matters acted with fingular difcretion, should now be drawn imperceptibly away, or rather transported into measures, which in a future moment he would condemn and lament. What more natural sup-position, than to suppose many an honest mind might at this time fluctuate thus .- The foldiers are here-we wish them away: we did not fend for them-they have cut and wounded the peaceable inhabitants, and it may be my turn next. At this instant of time, he has a fresh detail of injuries-resentment redoubles every successive moment-huzza! for the Main-guard: we are in a moment before the Custom-house. No time is given for recollection. We find, from the king's evidence, and from our own, the cry was " Here is a foldier!" Not here is the foldier who has injured us-here is the fellow who wounded the man in Cornhill. No, the reasoning or rather ferment seems to be, the soldiers have committed an outrage, we have an equal right to inflict punishment - or rather revenge, which they had to make an affault .--- They faid right, but never confidered, that, those foldiers had no right at all. These are sentiments natural enough to persons in this state of mind-we can eafily suppose even good men thinking and acting thus. Very similar to this is the force of Dr. Hiron's testimony, and some others. But our enquiry is-What fays the law We must calmly enquire, whether this, or any thing like it. is countenanced by the law. What is natural to the man, what are his feelings are one thing: what is the duty of the citizen is quite another. Reason must resume her seat-and then we shall hear, and obey the voice of the law.

The law indulges no man in being his own avenger.—— Early, in the history of jurisprudence, we find the sword taken from the party injured, and put into the hands of the magistrate. Were not this the case, punishment would know no bounds in extent or duration. Besides, it saps the very root of distributive justice, when any individual invades the prerogative of law, and snatches from the civil magistrate the balance and the rod. How much more are the pillars of security shaken, when a mixt body, assembled as those in King-street, assume the province of justice, and invade the rights of the citizen? For it must not be forgot, that the soldier is a citizen, equally intitled with us all to protection and security. Hence are all alike obliged to pay obedience to the law: For the price of this protection is that of obedience.

Let it not be apprehended, that I am advancing a doctrine, that a foldier may attack an inhabitant, and he not allowed to defend himself. No, Gentlemen! if a foldier rush violently through the street and presents a weapon of death, in a striking posture; no doubt the person assailed may defend himself, even to taking the life of the assailant. Revenge and a sense of self preservation instantly take possession of the person thus attacked; and the law goes not upon the absurd supposition, that a person can in these circumstances, unman himself. Hence we find a husband, taking his wise in the act of adultery, instantly seizes a deadly weapon and slays the adulterer;—it is not murder. Nay a fillip upon the nose or forehead, in anger, is supposed by the law to be sufficient provocation to reduce killing to Manslaughter. It is, therefore, upon principles like these, principles, upon which those, who now bear the hardest against us, at other times, so much depend; it is, I say, upon the right of self-defence and self-preservation we rely for our acquittal.

Here again it should be kept in view, that whenever the party injuring has escaped by slight, and time sufficient for the passions to cool, in judgment of law, hath elapsed; however great the injury, the injured party must have recourse to law for his redress. Such is the wisdom of the law; of that law, than which we are none of us to presume ourselves wifer;—of that law, which is sounded in the experience of ages, and which in condescension to the infirmities of slesh and blood (but to nothing else) extenuates the offence. For "no man, says the learned Judge Foster, "under the protestion of the law is to be the avenger; of his

own wrongs. If they are of such a nature for which the laws of society will give him an adequate remedy, thither he ought to resort. But be they of awhat nature

[&]quot; foever, he ought to bear his lot with patience, and remember,
" that we need no belongeth to the Most High." Crown Law 206.

Now, Gentlemen, those, whoever they were, who com-

mitted the outrage in Cornhill, had abscended—the soldiers, who are supposed to have done them, were confined in their barracks. People were repeatedly told this, and assured by the military officers, that they should not go unpunished. But what followed? Are all present appealed? We are constrained, by the force of the evidence, to assirm they were not. But to get regular and right ideas, we must consider all the commotions of the season, and endeavour to come at truth by analyzing the evidence, and arranging it, under distinct heads of enquiry.

of the appearances in several parts of the town: he was copious upon the expressions and behaviour sworn to.

He, then, more particularly recapitulated the evidence touching Murray's Barracks, Dock-square, and the Market-

He next pursued several parties, through the several lanes and streets, till they entered at the scene of action.

The testimonies of the witnesses, who swore to the repeated information given the people;—that the Sentry and party were on duty;—that they were desired to withdraw and warned of the consequences;—were in their order considered.

Under the next three heads, was remarked "the temper of the Sentry, of the party of foldiers, and of the people furrounding them."

The words, infult and gestures of the same persons were next pointed out; and from thence was collected the defigns of the persons assaulting, and the reasonable apprehensions of those assaulted.

Mr. Quincy then came to the attack itself;—considering who the persons were (namely some sailors;) remarking minutely the words and actions immediately proceeding the onset; the weapons used; the violence of the assault and battery; and the danger of the soldiers.

Mr. Quincy next exhibited those parts of the testimonies, which evidenced the attack continued after the siring.

Under all these heads, there was methodically stated the number of the witnesses to each point, and by a comparative view of all the proofs, conclusions drawn as to the force of the whole.

The next confideration, in this mode of enquiry, was the evidence as feverally pertaining to each prisoner; with fach observations, on the one hand, as served to shew a defect of legal proof as to fact; on the other, such matters as served to justify, excuse or extenuate the offence, in law.

And particularly with regard to Killroy, Mr. Quincy cited and commented on the following passages from Judge Foster's Crown law, and the Marquis of Beccaria's Essay on Crimes

and punishments.

"WORDS are often misrepresented, whether through ignorance, inattention, or malice, it mattereth not the defendant, he is equally affected in either case: and they are extremely liable to misconstruction. And withal, this evidence is not in the ordinary course of things to be disproved by that fort of negative evidence by which the proof of plain facts may be and often is confronted."

Crown Law, 243.

"Finally, the CREDIBILITY of a witness is NULL, when the question relates to the WORDS of a criminal; for the tone of voice, the gesture, all that precedes, accompanies and follows the different ideas which men annex to the same words, may so alter and modify a man's discourse, that it is almost impossible to repeat them precisely in the manner in which they were spoken. Besides, violent and uncommon actions, such as real crimes, leave a trace in the multitude of circumstances that attend them, and in their effects; but Words remain only in the memory of the hearers, who are commonly negligent or prejudiced. It is infinitely easier then to sound an accusation on the Words, than on the actions of a man; for in these, the number of circumstances, urged against the accused, afford him variety of means of justifications." Essay 48, 9.

May it please your Honours, and you Gentlemen of the Jury, AFTER having thus gone through the evidence, and confidered it as applicatory to all and every of the prisoners, the next matter in order seems to be the consideration of

the law pertinent upon this evidence.

And here, Gentlemen, let me again inform you, that the law which is to pass upon these prisoners, is a law adapting itself to the human species, with all their feelings, passions and infirmities; a law which does not go upon the absurd supposition, that men are stocks and stones, or that in the fervour of the blood, a man can act with the deliberation and judgment of a philosopher. No Gentlemen:—the law supposes that a principle of resentment, for wise and obvi-

ous reasons, is deeply implanted in the human heart; and not to be eradicated by the efforts of state policy. It, therefore, in some degree conforms itself to all the workings of the passions, to which it pays a great indulgence, so far as not to be wholly incompatible, with the wisdom, good order

and the very being of government.

Keeping therefore this full in view, let us take once more, a very brief and curfory survey of matters supported by the evidence. And, here, let me ask sober reason—What language more opprobrious—What actions more exasperating, than those used on this occasion? Words, I am sensible are no justification of blows, but they serve as the grand clues to discover the temper and the designs of the agents: they serve also to give us light in discerning the apprehensions

and thoughts of those who are the objects of abuse.

"You lobster," "You bloody-back," "You coward" and "You dattard," are but some of the expressions proved.-What words more galling? What more cutting and provoking to a foldier? To be reminded of the colour of his garb, by which he was diftinguished from the rest of his fellow citizens; to be compared to the most despicable animal, that crawls upon the earth, was touching indeed a tender point. To be stigmatized with having smarted under the lash, at the halbert, to be twitted with so infamous an ignominy; which was either wholly undeferved, or a grievance which should never have been repeated :- I fay to call up and awaken sensations of this kind, must sting even to madness. But accouple these words with the succeeding actions, -" You daftard," - " You coward !" - A foldier and a coward! This was touching, (with a witness) "The " point of honour, and the pride of virtue." -- But while these are as yet fomenting the passions, and swelling the bosom, the attack is made: and probably the latter words were reiterated at the onset; at lest, were yet founding in the year. Gentlemen of the jury, for heaven's fake, let us put ourselves in the same situation! Would you not spurn at that spiritless institution of society, which tells you to be a subject at the expence of your manhood?

But does the foldier step out of his ranks to seek his revenge? Not a witness pretends it: Did the people repeatedly come within the points of their bayonets, and strike on the muzzels of the guns? — You have heard the wit-

eigen i de capitation alci

neffes.

Does the law allow one member of the community to behave in this manner towards his fellow-citizen, and then bid the injured party be calm and moderate? The expreffions from one party were — "Stand off—fland off"! "I am upon my flation" — " if they molest me apon my post, I will fire."—" By God I will fire!" —" Keep off!" These were words likely to produce reflection and procure peace, But had the words on the other hand a fimilar tendency?--Confider the temper prevalent among all parties at this time. Confider the then fituation of the foldiery; and come to the heat and pressure of the action The materials are laid, the spark is raised, the fire inkindles, the slame rages, the understanding is in wild disorder, all prudence and true wisdom are utterly consumed. Does common sense, does'the law expect impossibilities? Here, to expect equanimity of temper, would be as irrational, as to expect discretion in a mad man. But was any thing done on the part of the affailants, fimilar to the conduct, warnings and declarations of the prisoners? Answer for yourselves, Gentlemen. The words reiterated, all around, stabbed to the heart, the actions of the affailants tended to a worfe end: To awaken every paffion of which the human breaft is susceptible. Fear, anger, pride, resentment, revenge, alternately, take possession of the whole man. To expect, under these circumstances, that such words would asswage the tempest, that such actions would allay the sames---You might, as rationally expect the inundations of a torrent would suppress a deluge, or rather, that the flames of Ætna would extinguish a conflagration!

Prepare, Gentlemen of the Jury, now to attend to that species of law, which will adapt itself to this trial, with all its singular and aggravating circumstances, A law full of

benignity, full of compassion, replete with mercy,

And here, Gentlemen, I must, agreeable to the method we formerly adopted, first tell you by what law the prisoners are not to be tried, or condemned. And they most certainly are not to be tried by the Mosaic law: a law, we take it, peculiarly defigned for the government of a peculiar nation, who being in a great measure under a theocratical form of government, it's institutions cannot, with any propriety, be adduced for our regulation in these days, It is with pain, therefore, I have observed any endeavour to mislead our judgment on this occasion; by drawing our at-

by disconnected passages of Scripture, applied in a manner foreign to their original design or import, there seems to have been an attempt to touch some peculiar sentiments, which we know are thought to be prevalent; and in this way, we take it, an injury is like to be done, by giving the mind a biass, it ought never to have received; because it is

not warranted by our laws.

We have heard it publickly said of late, oftner, than formerly, "Whosever sheddeth man's blood, by man shall his
"blood be shed." This is plainly, Gentlemen, a general
rule, which, like all others of the kind must have its exceptions. A rule, which if taken in its strict literal
latitude, would imply, that a man killing another in
self-defence, would incur the pains of death. A doctrine,
which no man in his senses would ever embrace: a doctrine
that certainly never prevailed under the Mosaical institution. For we find, the Jews had their fix cities of refuge, to
which the manslayer might shee, from the avenger of blood.
And something analogous to this, (if it did not originate
from it) is our benefit of clergy.

And so, that "the murderer shall see to the pit" comes under the same consideration. And when we hear it asked, as it very lately has been, "Who dake stay him?" I answer, if the laws of our country stay him, you ought to do likewise; and every good subject dares to do what the law allows. But the very position is begging the question: for the question, now in issue, is, whether either of the prisoners is a murderer, in the sense of our laws; for you recollect, that what is murder, and what not, is a question of law, aris-

ing upon facts stated and allowed.

But to go on; "You shall take no satisfaction for the "life of a murderer, which is guilty of death." Here again, is begging the question; and moreover the words "guil-" ty of death," if rightly rendered from the original, must be one of those general rules, I just now mentioned; which always have been their exceptions. But those words seem to be wrong translated; for in the margin of our great bible, we find them rendered "faulty to die." Against a position of this kind we have no objection. If we have committed a fault, on which our law inslicts the punishment of death, we must suffer. But what fault we have committed you are to enquire; or rather you, Gentlemen, are to find the

falls proved in Court against us, and the Judges are to see and consider what the law pronounces touching our offence, and what punishment is thereby inflicted as a penalty.

In order to come at the whole law resulting from the states which have been proved, we must inquire into the LEGALITY of the assemblies. For such is the wisdom and policy of the law, that if any assembly be lawful, each individual of that assembly is answerable only for his own ass, and not for any other. On the contrary, if an assembly be unlawful, the ass of any one of the company, to the particular purpose of assembling, is chargeable on all. This is law, which no lawyer will dispute; it is a law founded in the security of the peace of society, and however little considered, by people in general, it ought now steadily to be kept in mind.

Was the affembly of the foldiers lawful?

For What did the foldiers affemble?
Was the Sentinel insulted and attacked?

Did he call for affistance, and did the party go to affist

Was it lawful for them fo to do?

Was the foldiers when thus lawfully affembled, affaulted, &c. by a great number of people affembled, &c. ?

Was this last affembly lawful?

Was any thing done by this unlawful affembly, that will, in law, justify, excuse, or extenuate the offence of killing, so as to reduce it to manslaughter?

Was the killing [or rather was it justifiable felf-defence ? Or rather was it felf-defence culpable, but through the benignity of the law excusable?

Or felonious?

It felonious, was it { with or } Malice ?

** Under each of these heads of enquiry, in their order, Mr. Josiah Quincy arranged his arguments; and as he separated and compared, and settled the facts, he applied his law, with explanatory comments. In the course of which he necessarily run over again facts, that had been before noticed, which occasions our omission of this part of his defence. But for the sake of those, who would chuse to in-

fpect, at their leifure, the authorities. They are here fub-

joined in the order, in which they were cited.

Hawkin's Vol. II. p. 29. 9. ibid---Mutiny Act p. 115, 116, 117, 118. § 788.---Blackstone's Com. Vol. I. p. 147, 262, 335, 336.--Blackstone Vol. IV. p. 194, 195.---3d Institute p. 51, 57.--Blackstone Vol. IV. p. 191, 192.---Foster's Crown Law 276, 277, 278, 262, 257.---Blackstone Vol. IV. p. 200 top.

Blackstone Vol. IV. p. 180, 280, --- Foster's Crown Law p. 298---3d Institute 57 top--- Hawkins Vol. I. 75---ibid 71 bot. ibid 72 top.-- Foster's Crown Law 273, 274.-- Keil 128, 129, 51.

Foster's Crown Law 278, 277, 276, 295.

Blackstone Vol. IV. p. 191---Foster's Crown Law p. 277--Blackstone Vol. IV. p. 192.---Foster's Crown Law p. 298, 296, 292---3d Institute p. 55 bot.---Hawkins Vol. I. p. 82 bot. 84 mid---Hawkins pleas of the Crown Vol. I. p. 484---Hawkins Vol. 85 mid----Cro. Car. p. 537 Cooks case---Hale Vol. II. p. 274---Blackstone Vol. IV. p. 183---Hawkins Vol. I. p. 82 bot---Keil p. 135 bot.

Foster p. 261, 262--- Blackstone Vol. IV. p. 27--- Hawkins

Vol. I. p. 84 § 44 --- Foster p. 350 § 5.

Hawkins Vol. I. Chap. 31, § 21--cites Bulftrode p. 86, 87

-- Keil p. 51---Lord Bacon's Elem. 25.

The law laid down, in Foster, 261, 2. before cited, being indisputable law, not denied or controverted; and being very material in the trial, and much relied on by the pri-

foners, is here fet down at large.

" I will mention a cafe, (fays the learned Judge,) which through the ignorance or lenity of juries hath been sometimes brought within the rule of accidental death. It is where a blow aimed at one person lighteth upon another and killeth him. This, in a loose way of speaking, may be called accidental with negard to the person who dieth by a blow not intended against HIM. But the law considereth this case in a quite different light. If from circumstances it appeareth that the injury intended to A. be it by poison, blow, or ANY OTHER MEANS OF DEATH, would have amounted to murder, supposing him to have been killed by it, it will amount to the same offence if B. happeneth to fall by the fame means. Our books fay, that in this case the malice agreditur personam. But to speak more intelligibly, where the injury intended against A. proceeded from a wicked, murderous, or mischievous motive, the party is answerable for all the consequences of the action, if death ensues, from

it, though it had not its effect upon the person whom he intended to destroy. The militia I have already explained, the heart regardless of social duty DELIBERATELY bent upon mischief, consequently the guilt of the party is just the same in the one case as the other. On the other hand, if the blow intended against A. and lighting on B. arose from a sudden transport of passion which in case A. had died by it, would have been reduced to manssaughter, the sact will admit of the SAME ALLEVIATION if B. should happen to fall by it." To the same effect are other authorities.

May it please your Honours, and you Gentlemen of the Jury.

I have now gone thro' those authorities in law, which I thought pertinent to this trial. I have been thus lengthy, not for the information of the Court, but to fatisfy you, Gentlemen, and all who may chauce to hear me, of that law, which is well known to those of us, who are conversant in courts, but not so generally known, or attended to, by many, as it ought to be. A law which extends to each of us, as well as to any of the prisoners; for it knows no dif-

tinction of persons.

And the doctrines which have been thus laid down are for the fafeguard of us all. Doctrines which are founded in the wisdom and policy of ages, which the greatest men, whoever lived, have adopted and contended for. Nay, the matter has been carried, by very wife men, much further than we have contested for. And that you may not think the purport of the authorities read, are the rigid notions of a dry fystem, and the contracted decisions of municipal law, I beg leave to read you a passage from a very great, theoretic writer: a man whose praises have resounded through all the known world, and probably will, through all ages, whose sentiments are as free as air, and who has done as much for learning, liberty, and mankind, as any of the Sons of Adam; I mean the fagacious Mr. Locke: He will tell you, Gentlemen, in his Essay on Government, p. 2. c. 3. " That all manner of force without right puts man in a flate of war with the aggresser; and of consequence, that, being in such a state of war, he may LAWFULLY KILL him, who put him under this unnatural restraint." According to this doctrine, we should have nothing to do, but enquire, whether here was " force without right:" if so, we were in fuch a flate, as rendered it LAWFUL to KILL the aggressory who

put us under so unnatural a restraint. Few, I believe, will say, after hearing all this evidence, that we were unser der no unnatural restraint." But we don't want to extend matters so far. We cite this author to show the world, that the greatest friends to their country, to universal liberty, and the immutable rights of all men, have held tenets, and advanced maxims favourable to the prisoners at the bar. And although we should not adopt the sentiments of Mr. Locke in their most extensive latitude, yet there seems to be something very analogous to his opinion, which is countenanced in our laws.

There is a spirit which pervades the whole system of English jurisprudence, which inspires a freedom of thought, speech and behaviour. Under a form of government like ours, it would be in vain to expect, that pacific, timid, obsequious, and servile temper, so predominant in more despotic governments. From our happy constitution there results it's very natural effects—an impatience of injuries, and a strong resentment of insults: (and a very wise man has said, "He who tamely beareth insults inviteth injuries.") Hence, I take it, that attention to the "feelings of humanity"—to "humanity and impersection"—" the infirmities of "flesh and blood;" that attention to "the indelible rights "of mankind;"—that lenity to "the passions of man;"—that "benignity and condescension of the law" so often repeated in our books.

And, indeed, if this were not the case, the genius of our civil constitution and the spirit of our municipal law would be repugnant:—that prime defect in any political

fystem—that grand solecism in state-policy.

This cause has taken up much of your time, and is likely to take up so much more, that I must hasten to a close: indeed I should not have troubled you, by being thus lengthy, but from a sense of duty to the prisoners; they, who, in some sense, may be said to have put their lives in my hands; they whose situation was so peculiar, that we have necessarily taken up more time, than ordinary cases require: they, under all these circumstances, placed a considence, it was my duty not to disappoint; and which I have aimed at discharging with sidelity. I trust you, Gentlemen, will do the like: that you will examine and judge with a becoming temper of mind; remembering that they

T 2

who are under oath to declare the whole truth, think and act very differently from by-standers, who, being under no ties of this kind, take a latitude, which is by no means ad-

missible in a court of law.

I cannot close this cause better, than by desiring you to consider well the genius and spirit of the law, which will be laid down, and to govern yourselves by this great standard of truth. To some purposes, you may be said, Gentlemen, to be Ministers of justice: and Ministers (says a learned judge) "appointed for the ends of public justice, should have written on their hearts the solemn engagements of his Majesty, (at his coronation) to cause law and justice IN MERCY to be executed in all his judgments."

The quality of mercy is not strained;

"It droppeth like the gentle rain from heaven-

It is twice bleffed;

"It bleffes him that gives, and him that takes."

I leave you, Gentlemen, hoping you will be directed in your enquiry and judgment; to a right discharge of your duty. We shall all of us, Gentlemen, have an hour of cool resection—when the seelings and agitations of the day shall have subsided; when we shall view things through a different, and a much juster medium. It is, then, we all wish an absolving conscience. May you, Gentlemen, now aft such a part, as will hereafter insure it;—such a part as may occasion the prisoners to rejoice.—May the blessing of those, who were in jeopardy of life, come upon you—may the blessing of him who is "not faulty to die," discend and rest upon you and your posterity.

JOHN ADAMS, Efq;

May it please your Honours and you Gentlemen of the Jury,

I am for the prisoners at the bar, and shall apologize for it only in the words of the Marquis Beccaria: "If I can but be the instrument of preserving one life, his blessing and tears of transport, shall be a sufficient consolation to me, for the contempt of all mankind." As the prisoners stand before you for their lives, it may be proper, to recollect with what temper the law requires we should proceed to this trial. The form of proceeding at their arraignment, has discovered that the spirit of the law upon such occasions, is

conformable to humanity, to common sense and seeling that it is all benignity and candor. And the trial commences with the prayer of the Court, expressed by the Clerk, to the Supreme JUDGE of Judges, empires and

worlds: "God fend you a good deliverance."

We find, in the rules laid down by the greatest English Judges, who have been the brightest of mankind; --- We are to look upon it as more beneficial, that many guilty persons should escape unpunished, than one innocent per-The reason is, because it's of more imfon should suffer. portance to community, that innocence should be protected, than it is, that guilt should be punished; for guilt and crimes are so frequent in the world, that all of them cannot be punished; and many times they happen in such a manner, that it is not of much consequence to the public, whether they are punished or not. But when innocence itfelf, is brought to the bar and condemned, especially to die, the subject will exclaim, it is immaterial to me, whether I behave well or ill; for virtue itself, is no security. And if fuch a fentiment as this, should take place in the mind of the subject, there would be an end to all security whatsoever. I will read the words of the law itself.

The rules I shall produce to you from Lord Chief Justice Hale, whose character as a lawyer, a man of learning and philosophy, and as a Christian, will be disputed by nobody living; one of the greatest and best characters, the English nation ever produced: his words are these. 2. H. H. P. C. Tutius semper est errare, in acquietando, quam in puniendo, exparte misericordiæ, quam ex parte justitiæ, it is always safer to err in aquitting, than punishing, on the part of mercy, than the part of justice: 'I he next is from the same authority, 305 Tutius erratur ex parte mitiori, it is always fafer to err on the milder fide, the fide of mercy, H. H. P. C. 509, the best rule in doubtful cases, is, rather to incline to acquittal than conviction: and in page 300 Quod dubitas ne feceris, Where you are doubtful never act; that is, if you doubt of the prisoners guilt, never declare him guilty; this is always the rule, especially in cases of life. Another rule from the fame Author, 289. where he fays, In some cases, presumptive evidence go far to prove a person guil-ty, though there is no express proof of the fact, to be committed by him; but then it must be very warily pressed, for

it is better, five guilty persons should escape unpunished,

The next authority shall be from another Judge, of equal character, confidering the age wherein he lived; that is Chancellor Fortificue, in praise of the laws of England, page 59, this is a very ancient writer on the English law: his words are, " Indeed one would rather, much rather, that twenty guil'y persons escape the punishment of death, than one innocent person be condemned, and suffer capitally." Lord Chief Justice Hale, says, It is better five guilty persons escape, than one innocent person suffer. Lord Chancellor Fertefere, you fee, carries the matter farther, and fays, Indeed one had rather, much rather, that twenty guilty perions should escape, than one innocent person suffer capitally. Indeed this rule is not peculiar to the English law, there never was a fystem of laws in the world, in which this rule did not prevail; it prevailed in the ancient Roman law, and which is more remarkable, it prevails in the modern Roman law, even the Judges in the Courts of Inquisition, who with racks, burnings and fcourges, examine criminals, even there, they preferve it as a maxim, that it is better the guilty should escape punishment, than the innocent fuffer. Satius effe nocentem absolvi quam insentem damnari, this is the temper we ought to fet out with; and these the rules we are to be governed by. And I shall take it for granted, as a first principle, that the eight prisoners at the har, had better be all acquitted, though we should admit themall to be guilty, than, that any one of them should by your verdict be found guilty, being innocent.

I shall now consider the several divisions of law, under

which the evidence will arrange itself.

The action now before you, is homicide; that is the killing of one man by another, the law calls it homicide, but it is not criminal in all cases, for one man to slay another. Had the prisoners been on the Plains of Abraham, and sain an hundred Frenchmen apiece, the English law would have confidered it, as a commendable action, virtuous and praifworthy: fo that every instance of killing a man, is not a crime in the eye of the law; there are many other instances which I cannot enumerate, an officer that executes a person under fentence of death, &c. So that Gentlemen, every inflance of one man's killing another, is not a crime,

much less a crime to be punished with death. But to de-

fcend to some more particulars.

The law divides homicide into three branches; the first, is justifiable, the second excusable, and the third selonious; felonious homicide, is subdivided into two branches; the first is murder, which is killing with malice aforethought, the second is manslaughter, which is killing a man on a sudden provocation; here Gentlemen, are four forts of homicide, and you are to consider, whether all the evidence amounts to the first, second, third, or sourth, of these heads. The fact, was the slaying five unhappy persons that night; you are to consider, whether it was justifiable, excusable, or selonious; and if selonious, whether it was murder or manslaughter. One of these four it must be, you need not divide your attention to any more particulars. I shall however, before I come to the evidence, show you several authorities, which will assist you and me in con-

templating the evidence before us.

I shall begin with justifiable homicide; if an officer a sheriff execute a man on the gallows, draws and quarters him, as in case of high treaton, and cuts off his head, that is justifiable homicide, it is his duty. So also, Gentlemen, the law has planted fences and barriers around every individual; it is a caffle found every man's person, as well as his house --As the love of God and our neighbour, comprehends the whole duty of man, fo felf-love and focial, comprehend all the duties we owe to mankind, and the first branch is felflove, which is not only our indisputable right, but our clearest duty, by the laws of nature, this is interwoven in the heart of every individual; God almighty, whose laws we cannot alter, has implanted it there, and we can annihilated ourselves, as easily as root out this affection for ourselves. It is the first, and strongest principal in our nature, Justice Blackstone calls it, " The primary cannon in the law of nature." That precept of our holy religion which commands us to love our neighbour as ourselves doth not command us to love our neighbour better than ourselves, or so well, no Christian Divine hath given this interpretation. The precept enjoins, that our benevolence to our fellow men, should be as real and fincere, as our affictions to ourselves, not that it should be as great in degree. A man is authorised therefore by common sense. and the laws of England, as well as those of nature, to love himself better than his fellow subject : If two persons are cast away at sea, and get on a plank, (a case put by Sir Francis Bacon,) and the plank is infufficient to hold them both, the one hath a right to push the other off to save himself, the rules of the common law therefore, which authorise a man to preserve his own life at the expence of another's, are not contradicted by any divine or moral law. We talk of liberty and property, but, if we cut up the law of felf-defence, we cut up the foundation of both, and if we give up this, the rest is of very little value, and therefore, this principal must be strictly attended to, for whatfoever the law pronounces in the case of these eight foldiers will be the law, to other persons and after ages, all the persons that have slain mankind in this country, from the beginning to this day, Lad better have been acquitted, than that a wrong rule and precedent should be established.

I shall now, read to you a few authorities on this subject of self-desence. Foster 273 in the case of justi-stable self-desence, "The injured party may repell force with force in defence of his person, habitation, or property, against one who manifeltly intendeth and endeavoureth with violence, or surprize, to commit a known felony upon either."--- In these cases, he is not obliged to retreat but may pursue his adversary, till he findeth himfelf out of danger, and if in a conflict between them he happeneth to kill, such killing is justifiable." Keiling, 128, 129. I must intreat you, to consider the words of this authority, the injured person may repell force by force against any who endeavours to commit any kind of felony on him or his, here the rule is, I have a right to stand on my own defence, if you intend to commit felony; if any of the persons made an attack on these soldiers, with an intention to rob them, if it was but to take their hats feloniously, they had a right to kill them on the spot, and had no business to retreat; if a robber meets me in the ffreet, and commands me to furrender my, purfe, I have a right to kill him without asking questions; if a person commits a bare assault on me, this will not justify killing, but if he affaults me in fuch a manner, as to discover an intention, to kill me, I have a right to destroy him, that I may put it out of his power to kill me. In the case you will have to consider, I do not know there was any attempt to steal from these persons; however, there were fome persons concerned, who would probably enough have stolen, if there had been any thing to steal; and many were there who had no fuch disposition, but this is not the point we aim at, the question is, are you satisfied, the people made the attack in order to kill the foldiers? If you are fatisfied that the people, who ever they were, made that affault, with a defign to kill or main the foldiers, this was fuch an affault, as will justify the foldiers killing in their own defence. Further it seems to me, we may make another question, whether you are fatisfied that their real intention was to kill or maim or not? If any reasonable man, in the fituation of one of these soldiers, would have had reason to believe in the time of it, that the people came with an intention to kill him, whether you have this fatiffaction now, or not in your own minds, they were justifiable, at least excusable in firing; you and I, may be suspicious that the people who made this affault on the foldiers, did it to put them to the flight, on purpose that they might go exulting about the town afterwards in triumph; but this will not do, you must place yourselves in the situation of Wemms or Killroy—consider yourselves, as knowing that the prejudices of the world about you, were against you; that the people about you, thought you came to dragoon them into obedience to statutes, instructions, mandates and edicts, which they thoroughly deteffed; that many of these people were thoughtless and inconsiderate, old and young, sailors and landmen, negroes and molattos; that they, the foldiers had no friends about them, the rest were in opposition to them, with all the bells ringing, to call the people together to affift the people in King-freet; for they knew by that time, that there was no fire; the people shouting, huzzaing, and making the mob whiftle as they call it, which when a boy makes it in the street, is no formidable thing, but when made by a multitude, is a most hideous shriek, almost as terrible as an Indian yell; the people crying, Kill them! Kill them! Knock them over! having fnow-balls, oytter-shells, clubs, white birch flicks three inches and an half diameter, confider yourselves, in this situation, and then judge, whether a reasonable man in the soldiers situation, would not have concluded they were going to kill him. - I believe, if I was to reverse the scene, I should bring it home to our own bosoms; suppose Colonel Marshall, when he came out of his own door, and faw these grenadiers coming down with swords. Se. had thought it proper to have appointed a military watch; suppose he had affembled Gray and Attucks that were killed, or any other persons in town, and had planted them in that station as a military watch, and there had come from Murray's barracks, thirty or forty foldiers, with no other arms than snow-balls, cakes of ice, oyster-shells, oinders and clubs, and attacked this military watch in this manner, what do you suppose would have been the feelings and reasonings of any of our householders; I confess I believe they would not have borne the one half of what the witnesses have sworn the foldiers bore, till they had shot down as many as were necessary to intimidate and disperse the rest; because, the law does not oblige us to bear infults to the danger of our lives, to fland still with fuch a number of people round us, throwing such things at us, and threatening our lives, until we are difabled to defend ourselves.

Where a known felony is attempted upon the person, be it to rob, or murder, here the party affaulted may repel force with force, and even his own fervant then attendant on him, or any other person present, may interpose for preventing mischief, and if death ensues, the party so interposing will be juttified .-- In this case nature and social

duty co-operate." Foster 274.

Hawkins P. C. chap. 28. f. 25, towards the end, "Yet it feems that a private person, a fortiori, an officer of justice, who happens unavoidably to kill another in endeavouring to defend himself from, or suppress dangerous rioters, may justify the fact, in as much as he only does his duty in and of the public justice." Section 24. " And I can fee no reafon why a person, who without provocation is assaulted by another, in any place whatfoever, in fuch a manner as plainly shews an intent to murder him, as by discharging a pistol, or pushing at him with a drawn sword, &c. may not justify killing such an affailant, as much as if he had attempted to rob him; for is not he who attempts to murder me, more injurious than he who barely attempts to rob me? And can it be more justifiable to fight for my goods than for my life; and it is not only highly agreeable to reason that a man in such circumstances, may lawfully kill another, but it feems allo to be confirmed by the general tenor of our law books, which speaking of homicide se defendendo, suppose it done in some quarrel or affray."

be justified by any private persons, who cannot otherwise suppress them, or defend themselves from them; in as much as every private person seems to be authorized, by the law, to arm himself for the purposes aforesaid." Hawkins, p. 71. s. 14.---Here every private person is authorized to arm himself, and on the strength of this authority, I do not deny the inhabitants had a right to arm themselves at that time for their desence, not for offence, that distinction is material, and must be attended to.

Hawkins, p. 75. f. 14. "And not only he whom on an affault retreats to the wall or some such streight, beyond which he can get no surther, before he kills the other, is judged by the law to act upon unavoidable necessity; but also he who being affaulted in such a manner, and in such a place, that he cannot go back without manifestly endangering his life, kills the other without retreating at all,"---f. 16.
And an officer who kills one that insults him in the execution of his office, and where a private person, that kills one who seloniously affaults him in the highway, may justify

the fact without ever giving back at all."

There is an occasion for the Magistrate to read the Riotact. In the case before you, I suppose you will be satished when you come to examine the witnesses, and compare it with the rules of the common law, abstracted from all mutiny acts and articles of war, that these soldiers were in fuch a fituation, that they could not help themselves; people were coming from Royal-exchange lane, and other parts of the town, with clubs, and cord wood sticks; the foldiers were planted by the wall of the Cuftom-house; they could not retreat; they were furrounded on all fides, for there were people behind them, as well as before them; there were a number of people in Royal-exchange lane; the foldiers were fo near to the Custom-house, that they could not retreat, unless they had gone into the brick wall of it. I shall shew you presently, that all the party concerned in this unlawful defign, were guilty of what any one of them did; if any body threw a fnow-ball, it was the act of the whole party; if any struck with a club, or threw a club, and the club had killed any body, the whole party would have been guilty of murder in law.

Ld. C. J. Holt, in Mawgrige's case, Keyling 128. says, "Now it hath been held, that if A. of his malice prepensed

affaults B. to kill him, and B. draws his fword and attacks A. and pursues him, then A. for his safety gives back, and retreats to a wall, and B. still pursuing him with his drawn sword, A in his desence kills B. This is murder in A. For A having malice against B. and in pursuance thereof endeavouring to kill him, is answerable for all the consequences, of which he was the original cause. It is not reasonable for any man that is dangerously assaulted, and when he perceives his life in danger from his adversary, but to have liberty for the security of his own life, to pursue him that maliciously assaulted him? for he that hath manifested that he hath malice against another, is not sit to be trusted with a dangerous weapon in his hand, And so resolved by all the Judges when they met at Serjeant's Inn, in preparation

for my Lord Morley's trial."

In the case here, we will take Montgomery, if you please, when he was attacked by the flout man with a slick, who aimed it at his head, with a number of people round him, crying out, Kill them! Kill them! had he not a right to kill the man? If all the party were guilty of the affault made by the flout man, and all of them had discovered malice in their hearts, had not Montgomery a right, according to Lord Chief Justice Helt, to put it out of their power to wreak their malice upon him. I will not, at present, look for any more authorities in the point of self-defence; you will be able to judge from these, how far the law goes, in justifying or excusing any person in defence of himself, in taking away the life of another who threatens him, in life or limb: the next point is this, That in case of an unlawful affembly, all and every one of the affembly is guilty of all and every unlawful act, committed by any one of that affembly, in profecution of the unlawful defign they fet out upon.

Rules of law should be universally known, whatever effect they may have on politicks; they are rules of common law, the law of the land; and it is certainly true, that wherever there is an unlawful assembly, let it comst of many persons or a few, every man in it is guilry of every unlawful act committed by any one of the whole party, be they more or be they less, in pursuance of their unlawful design. This is the policy of the law; to discourage and prevent

riots, infurrections, turbulence and tumults.

In the continual vicifitude of human things, amidst the

shocks of fortune and the whirls of passion, that take place at certain critical feafons, even in the mildest government, the people are liable to run into riots and tumults .- There are church-quakes and state-quakes, in the moral and political world, as well as earthquakes, storms and tempests in the physical.—" Thus much however must be faid in favour of the people and of human nature, that it is a general, if not universal truth, that the aptitude of the people to mutinies, seditions, tumults and insurrections, is in direct proportion to the despotism of the government. In governments completely despotic, i. e. where the will of one man is the only law, this disposition is most prevalent. -In Aristocracies next-in mixed monarchies, less than either of the former-in compleat republicks the least of all -and under the same form of government as in a limited monarchy; for example, the virtne and wisdom of the administration may generally be measured by the peace and order that are feen among the people. However this may be, fuch is the imperfection of all things in this world, that no form of government, and perhaps no wisdom or virtue in the administration, can at all times avoid riots and diforders among the people."

Now it is from this difficulty, that the policy of the law hath framed such strong encouragements, to secure the people against tumults; because when they once begin, there is danger of their running to such excesses, as will overturn the whole system of government.——There is the rule from the reverend sage of the law, so often quoted

before.

I. H. P. C. 437. "All prefent, aiding and affifting, are equally principal with him that gave the stroke, whereof the party died. For tho' one gave the stroke, yet in interpretation of law, it is the stroke of every person, that
was present aiding and assisting."

I. H. H. P. C. 440. "If divers come with one affent to do mischief, as to kill, rob, or beat, and one doth it, they are all principals in the selony. If many be present, and one only gives the stroke whereof the party dies, they are

all principal, if they came for that purpose."

Now if the party at Dock-square, came with an intention only to bear the soldiers, and begin the affray with them, and any of them had been accidentally killed, it would have been murder, because it was an unlawful de-

fign they came upen; if hut one does it, they are all considered in the eye of the law to be guilty, if any one gives the mortal stroke, they are all principal here, therefore there is a reversal of the scene; if you are satisfied, that these soldiers were there on a lawful design, and it should be proved any of them shot without provocation, and killed any body, he only is answerable for it. First, Hale's Pleas of the Crown.

I. H. H. P. C. 444. "Although if many come upon an unlawful defign, and one of the company kill one of the adverse party, in pursuance of that defign, all are principals; yet if many be together upon a lawful account, and one of the company kill another of an adverse party, without any particular abetment of the rest to this fact of homicide, they are not all guilty that are of the company, but only those that gave the stroke, or actually abetted him to do it."

I. H. P. C. 445. "In the case of a riotous assembly to rob or steal deer, or do any unlawful act of violence, there the offence of one is the offence of all the company."

In another place, I. H. H. P. C. 439. 'The Lord Dacre and divers others went to steal deer in the park of one Pelham---Raydon, one of the company, killed the keeper in the park; the Lord Dacre and the rest of the company being in the other part of the park. Yet it was adjudged murder in them all, and they died for it.---And he quotes Crompton 25. Dalton 93. p. 241." So that in so strong a case as this, where this nobleman set out to hunt deer in the ground of another, he was in one part of the park, his company in another part, yet they were all guilty of murder."

The next is Hale's Pleas of the Crown, 1. H. H. P. C. 440. "The case of Drayton Bassie, diverse persons doing an unlawful act, are all guilty of what is done by one."

Foster 353, 354. "A general resolution against all oppofers, whether such resolution appears upon evidence to have been actually and implicitly entered into by the confederates, or may reasonably be collected from their number, arms or behaviour, at, or before the scene of action, such resolutions, so proved, have always been considered as strong ingredients in cases of this kind. And in cases of homicide, committed in consequence of them, every person prefent; in the sense of the law, when the homicide hath been committed, hath been involved in the guilt of him that gave the mortal blow." Foster. "The cases of Lord Daere mentioned by Hale, and of Pudsey, reported by Crompton, and cited by Hale, turned upon this point. The offences they respectively stood charged with as principals, were committed far out of their sight and hearing; and yet both were held to be present. It was sufficient, that at the instant the sacts were committed, they were of the same party and upon the same pursuit, and under the same engagements and expectations of mutual de-

fence and support, with those that did the facts."

Thus far I have proceeded, and I believe it will not be hereafter disputed by any body, that this law ought to be known to every one who has any disposition to be concerned in an unlawful assembly, whatever mischief happens in the prosecution of the design they set out upon. all are answerable for it. It is necessary we should consider the definitions of some other crimes, as well as murder; sometimes the crime gives occasion to another, an assault is sometimes the occasion of manslaughter, sometimes of excusable homicide. It is necessary to consider what is a riot. 1. Hawk. c. 65. f. 2. I shall give you the definition of it. "Wheresoever more than three persons use force or violence, for the accomplishment of any design whatever, all concerned are rioters."

Were there not more than three persons in Docksquare ?--- Did they not agree to go to King-fireet, and attack the Main guard? --- Where then is the reason for hefitation at calling it a riot? --- If we cannot speak the law as it is, where is our liberty? And this is law, that wherever more than three persons are gathered together, to accomplish any thing with force, it is a riot. 1 Hawk. C. 65. f. 2. "Wherever more than three, use force and violence, all who are concerned therein are rioters; but in some cases wherein the law authorises force, it is lawful and commendable to use it. As for a sheriff, 2 And. 67. Poph. 121. or constable, 3 H. 7. 10. 6. or perhaps even for a private person, Poph. 121. Moore, 656. to affemble a competent number of people, in order with force, to oppose rebels, or enemies, or rioters, and afterwards with fuch force, actually to suppress them."

I do not mean to apply the word rebel on this occasion; I have no reason to suppose that ever there was one in Boston, at least among the natives of the country; but rioters are in the same situation, as far as my argument is con-

cerned, and proper officers may suppress rioters, and so may

even private persons.

If we strip ourselves free from all military laws, military acts. articles of war and foldiers oaths, and confider these prisoners as neighbours, if any of their neighbours were attacked in King-fireet, they had a right to collect together to fuppress this riot and combination. If any number of perfons meet together et a fair, or market, and happen to fall together by the ears, they are not guilty of a riot, but of a sudden affray: here is another paragraph which I must read to you. 1. Hawkins, c. 65. f. 3. " If a number of persons, being met together at a fair or market, or on any other lawful and innocent occasion, happen on a sudden quarrel, to fall together by the ears, they are not guilty of a riot, but of a fudden affray only, of which none are guilty, but those who actually engage in it," &c. End of the fection. It would be endless, as well as superfluous, to examine, whether every particular person engaged in a riot, were in truth one of the first assembly, or actually had

a previous knowledge of the defign thereof.

I have endeavoured to produce the best authorities, and to give you the rules of law in their words, for I defire not to advance any thing of my own. I chose to lay down the rules of law, from authorities which cannot be disputed .--Another point is this, whether, and how far, a private person may aid another in diffress? Suppose a press-gang should come on shore in this town, and assault any failor, or householder in King-street, in order to carry them on board one of his Majesty's ships, and impress him without any warrant, as a seaman in his Majesty's service, how far do you suppose the inhabitants would think themselves warranted by law, to interpose against that lawless press-gang? I agree that such a press-gang would be as unlawful an affembly, as that was in King-freet. If they were to press an inhabitant, and carry him off for a failor, would not the inhabitants think themselves warranted by law to interpose in behalf of their fellow citizens? Now, Gentlemen, if the foldiers had no right to interpose in the relief of the Sentry, the inhabitants would have no right to interpose with regard to the citizen, for whatever is law for a foldier, is law for a failor, and for a citizen, they all stand upon an equal footing, in this respect. I believe we shall not have it disputed, that it would be lawful to go into King-freet, and

help an honest man there, against the press master. We have many instances in the books which authorize it, which

I shall produce to you presently.

Now suppose you should have a jealousy in your minds, that the people who made this attack on the Sentry, had nothing in their intention more than to take him off his post, and that was threatened by some; suppose they intended to go a little farther, and tar and feather him, or to ride him, (as the phrase is in Hudibras) he would have had a good right to have stood upon his defence, the desence of his liberty, and if he could not preserve that without hazard to his own life, he would be warranted in depriving those of life, who were endeavouring to deprive him of his; that is a point I would not give up for my

right hand, nay, for my life.

Well, I fay, if the people did this, or if this was only their intention, furely the officer and foldiers had a right to go his relief, and therefore they fet out upon a lawful errand, they were therefore a lawful affembly, if we only confider them as private subjects and fellow citizens, without regard to Mutiny Acts, Articles of War, or Soldiers Oaths; a private person, or any number of private persons, have a right to go to the affistance of their fellowsubject in distress and danger of his life, when assaulted and in danger from a few or a multitude. Keyl. 136. " If a man perceives another by force to be injuriously treated, pressed and restrained of his liberty, tho' the person abused doth not complain, or call for aid or affiftance; and others out of compassion shall come to his rescue, and kill any of those that shall so restrain him, that is manslaughter, Keyl---A and others without any warrant, impress B to serve the King at sea, B quietly submitted and went off with the press malter: Hugett and the others pursued them, and required a fight of their warrant: but they shewing a piece of paper that was not a fufficient warrant, thereupon Hugett with the others drew their fwords, and the press-masters theirs, and so there was a combat, and those who endeavoured to rescue the pressed man killed one of the pretended pressmasters. This was but manslaughter,, for when the liberty of one subject is invaded, it affects all the rest: It is a provocation to all people, as being of ill example and pernicious consequences.

Lord Chief Justice Hele says, 3d. "The prisoner (i. a. Teeley) in this case had sufficient provocation; for if one be imprisoned upon an unlawful authority, it is a sufficient provocation to all people out of compassion;—and where the liberty of the subject is invaded, it is a provocation to all the subjects of England, &c. and sure a man ought to be concerned for magna charta and the laws; and if any one against the law imprisons a man, he is an offender against

magna charta."

I am not infensible of Sir Michael Foster's observations on these cases, but apprehend they do not invalidate the authority of them as far as I now apply them to the purpose of my argument.—If a stranger, a mere sellow subject may interpose to defend the liberty, he may defend the life of another individual. But according to the evidence, some imprudent people before the Sentry, proposed to take him off his post, others threatened his life, and intelligence of this was carried to the Main-guard, before any of the prisoners turned out: They were then ordered out to relieve the Sentry, and any of our sellow citizens might lawfully have gone upon the same errand; they were therefore a

lawful affembly.

I have but one point more of law to confider, and that is this: In the case before you, I do not pretend to prove that every one of the unhappy persons slain, were concered in the riot; the authorities read to you just now, fay, it would be endless to prove, whether every person that was present and in a riot, was concerned in planning the first enterprise or not: nay, I believe it but justice, to say, some were perfectly innocent of the occasion, I have reason to suppose, that one of them was, Mr. Maverich; he was a very worthy young man, as he has been represented to me, and had no concern in the riotous proceedings of that night; and I believe the same may be said, in favour of one more, at least, Mr. Caldwell who was slain; and therefore many people may think, that as he, and perhaps another was in-nocent, therefore innocent blood having been shed, that must be expiated by the death of somebody or other, I take notice of this, because one gentleman, nominated by the sheriff, for a Juryman upon this trial, because he had faid, he believed Capt. Preston was innocent, but innocent blood had been shed, and therefore somebody ought to be hanged for it, which he thought was indirectly giving his

(163)

opinion in this cause. I am afraid many other persons have formed fuch an opinion; I do not take it to be a rule, that where innocent blood is shed, the person must die. In the instance of the Frenchman on the Plains of Abraham, they were innocent, fighting for their king and country, their blood is as innocent as any, there may be multitudes killed; when innocent blood is shed on all sides, so that it is not an invariable rule, I will put a case, in which, I dare fay, all will agree with me : Here are two persons, the father and the fon, go out a hunting, they take different roads, the father hears a rushing among the bushes, takes it to be game, fires and kills his fon through a mistake; here is innocent blood shed, but yet nobody will fay the father ought to die for it. So that the general rule of law, is, that whenever one person hath a right to do an act, and that act by any accident, takes away the life of another, it is excusable, it bears the same regard to the innocent as to the guilty. If two men are together, and attack me, and I have a right to kill them, I strike at them, and by mistake, firike a third and kill him, as I had a right to kill the first, my killing the other will be excufable, as it happened by accident. If I in the heat of passion, aim a blow at the person who has affaulted me, aiming at him, I kill another person, it is but manslaughter. Foster, 261. § 3. "If an action unlawful in itself be done deliberately and with intention of mischief or great bodily harm to particulars, or of mischief indiscriminately, fall it were it may, and death enfues against or beside the original intention of the party, it will be murder. But if fuch mischievous intention doth not appear, which is matter of fact and to be collected from circumstances, and the act was done heedlessly and inconfiderately, it will be manslaughter; not accidental death, because the act upon which death ensued, was unlawful."

" Under this head, &c. See the remainder inserted in pages

145, 146.]

Supposing in this case, the Molatto man was the person made the assault, suppose he was concerned in the unlawful assembly, and this party of soldiers endeavouring to defend themselves against him, happened to kill another person who was innocent, though the soldiers had no reason that we know of, to think any person there, at least of that number who were crouding about them innocent, they might naturally enough presume all to be guilty of the riot and assault, and to come with the same design; I say, if

on firing on these who were guilty, they accidentally killed an innocent person, it was not their faults, they were obliged to defend themselves against those who were preffine upon them, they are not answerable for it with their lives, for upon supposition it was justifiable or excusable to kill Attucks or any other person, it will be equally justifia. ble or excusable if in firing at him, they killed another who was innocent, or if the provocation was such as to mitigate the guilt to manuaughter, it will equally mitigate the guilt, if they killed an innocent man undefignedly in aiming at him who gave the provocation, according to Judge Fefter, and as this point is of such consequence, I must produce some more authorities for it. 1. Hawkins, 84. Alfo, if a third person accidentally happen to be killed, by one engaged in a combat with another upon a fudden quarrel, it feems that he who kills him, is guilty of man-Saughter only, &c. H. H. P. C. 442. To the fame point, and 1. H. H. P. C. 484. and 4. Black 27.000 1

I shall now consider one question more, and that is concerning provocation. * We have hitherto been confidering felf-defence, and how far persons may go in defending themselves against aggressors, even by taking away their lives, and now proceed to confider, fuch provocations as the law allows to mitigate or extenuate the guilt of killing,

where it is not justifiable or excusable.

An affault and battery, committed upon a man, in fuch a manner as not to endanger his life, is fuch a provocation as the law allows to reduce killing, down to the crime of manslanghter. Now the law has been made on more con-

with death in all other laws.

Vide Observations on the Statutes page 54. By the law of Scotland there is no such thing as Manslaughter, nor by the civil law; and therefore a criminal indicted for murder under the Statute of Henry the Eighth, where the Judges proceed by the rules of the civil law, must be either found guilty of the Murder or acquitted-and in another place, Observations on

^{*} The diffinction between Murder and Manslaughter, is more easily confounded than many other diffinctions of Law relative to Homicide .-And many persons among us seem to think that the punishment of Death ought to be inflicted upon all voluntary killing one private man by another, whether done fuddenly or deliberately, cooly or in anger.—These received notions may have originated partly from a falle construction of the general precept to Noah, whoso sheddeth man's blood, by man shall his blood be shed.—But may not some of those mistaken notions have been derived from law books—We find the distinction between Murder and Manflaughter, fometimes attributed to the peculiar benignity of the English law, and it is formetimes reprefented that the particular fact which the law of England calls Manslaughter, and indulges with Clergy, is pounished

fideration than we are capable of making at prefent; the law considers a man as capable of bearing any thing, and every thing, but blows. I may reproach a man as much as I please, I may call him a thief, robber, traitor, scoundrel, coward, lobster, bloody-back, &c. and if he kills me it will be murder, if nothing else but words proceed; but if from giving him fuch kind of language, I proceed to take him by the nofe, or fillip him on the forehead, that is an

the Statutes 422 Note (2.) I have before observed that by the civil law, as well as the law of Scotland, there is no such offence, as what is, with ns termed Manslaughter: Sie Michael Foster 288. If taking general dicts of acquittal, in plain cases of death, Per Infortunium, Ge. defer the name of a deviation, it is far short of what is constantly practifed at an Admiralty fessions, under 28. H. 8. with regard to offences not aus of Clergy by particular statutes, which had been committed at land we have been intituled to Clergy .- In these cases the Jury is constantly directed to acquit the prisoner; because the marine law doth not allow of Clergy in any case, and therefore in an indictment for murder on the high fear, if the fact cometh out upon evidence to be no more than Man-flaughter, supposing it to have been committed at land, the prisoner is constantly acquitted.

II. Lord Raymond 1496. His Lordship fays, " From these cases it appears, that though the law of England, is so far peculiarly favourable (I use the word peculiarly because I know of no other law, that makes such a distinction between Murder and Manslaughter) as to permit the excess of anger and passion (which a man ought to keep under and govern) in some instances to extenuate the greatest of private injuries, as the taking away a man's life is; yet in these cases, it must be such a passion, as for the time deprives him of his reasoning faculties.

I shall not enter into any enquiry, how far the Admiralty sessions in England, or a Special Court of Admiralty in America ought to proceed by the rules of civil law, though it is a question of immense importance to Americans. But must beg leave to observe that though the distinction between Murder and Manflaughter is not found in words in the civil law yet the distinction between homicide, with deliberation and without de liberation, and on a sudden provocation is well known in that law, and the former is punished with death, the latter, with some inferior corporal punishment at the discretion of the Judges.

Indeed the civil law is more favourable, and indulgent to ludden anger

and referement than the common law, and allows many things to be a provocation sufficient to exempt the person killing from the Pana ordic naria, which is death, which the common law confiders as a flight pro-

Cod. Lib, 9. Tit. 16, Note 46 .--- Gail, page 503 .-- Maranta, page

49. Par. 4. Dift. 1. 77.

It should from these authorities, that the lenity and indulgence of the laws of England, is not unnatural, extraordinary, or peculiar, and in-flead of being unknown in the civil law, that it is carried much farther in many respects than in the common law.--- And indeed it seems that the like indulgence, was permitted in the Jowith law - though it has been to often represented as peculiar to the English law, that many persons feem to think it unwarrantable, and tending to leave the guilt of blood upon the land.

affault! that is a blow; the law will not oblige a man to fland fill and bear it; there is the diffinction; hands off, touch me not; as foon as you touch me, if I run you thro the heart it is but Manslaughter; the utility of this dillindion, the more you think of it, the more you will be fatisfied with it; it is an affault when ever a blow is struck, let it be ever fo flight, and fometimes even without a blow. The law confiders man as frail and passionate, when his passions are touched, he will be thrown off his guard, and therefore as in a fit of passion, not having the possession of his intellecqual faculties, and therefore does not oblige him to measure out his blows with a yard flick, or weigh them in a scale; let bin kill with a fword, gun or hedge stake, it is not murder, but only manslaughter. Keyling's Reports 135. Regina ver/us Mawgrige. "Rules supported by authority and general consent, shewing what are all ays allowed to be sufscient provocations. First if one man, upon any words shall make an affault upon another, either by pulling him by the nofe, or filliping upon the forehead, and he that is fo affaulted, shall draw his fword, and immediately run the other through, that is but manslaughter; for the peace is broken by the person killed, and with an indignity to him that received the affault. Besides, he that was so affronted might reasonably apprehend, that he that treated him in that manner, might have some further design upon him," So that here is the boundary, when a man is affaulted, and kills in confequence of that affault, it is but manslaughter; I will just read as I go along the definition of an affault. 1. Hawkin's Chap. 62. § 1. " An affault is an attempt or offer, with force or violence, to do a corporal hurt to another; as by firiking at him, with or without a weapon, or prefenting agun at him, at fuch a distance to which the gun will carry, or pointing a pitch-fork at him, or by any other such like act done in an angry, threatening manner, &c. But no words can amount to an affault." Here is the definition of an affault, which is a sufficient provocation to soften killing down to mansaughter. 1. Hawkin's, Chap, 31. 5 36. " Neither can he be thought guilty of a greater crime, than manflaughter, who finding a man in bed with his wife, or being actually firuck by him, or pulled by the nose, or filliped upon the forehead, immediately kills him, or in the defence of his person from an unlawful arrest; or in the defence of his house, from those who claiming a title to it, attempt foreibly to enter it, and to that purpose shoot at it, &c."

Every fnow-ball, oyster-shell, cake of ice, or bit of cinder that was thrown that night, at the Sentinel, was an affault upon him; every one that was thrown at the party of foldiers, was an affault upon them, whether it hit any of them or not. I am guilty of an affault, if I present a gun at any person, whether I shoot at him or not, it is an affault, and if I infult him in that manner, and he shoots me, it is but manslaughter. Poster, 295, 6. "To what I have offered with regard to fudden rencounters, let me add, that the blood already too much heated, kindleth afresh at every pass or blow. And in the tumult of the passions, in hich mere inftinct felf-preservation, hath no inconsiderable share, the voice of reason is not heard. And therefore, the law in condescention to the infirmities of flesh and blood doth extenuate the offence," Infolent, fcurrilous, or flanderous language, when it precedes an affault, aggra-Foster 316. "We all knew that words of reproach, how grating and offensive soever, are in the eye of the law, no provocation, in the case of voluntary homicide, and yet every man who hath confidered the human frame or but attended to the workings of his own heart, knoweth, that affronts of that kind, pierce deeper, and stimulate in the veins more effectually, than a flight injury done to a third person, tho' under colour of justice, possibly can." I produce this to flew the affault, in this case, was aggravated by the scur tilous language which preceeded it. Such words of reproach, stimulate in the veins, and exasperate the mind, and no doubt if an affault and battery succeeds them, killing under such a provocation is softened to manslaughter, but, killing without fuch provocation, makes it murder."

FIVE o'Clock, P. M. the Court adjourned till Tuesday

morning, nine o'Clock.

Tuefday, NINE o'Clock, the Court met according to ad-

journment, and Mr. Anams proceeded.

May it please your Honours, and you Gentlemen of the Jury, I yesterday afternoon produced from the best authorities, those rules of law which must govern all cases of homicide, particularly that which is now before you; it now remains to consider the evidence, and see whether any thing has occurred, that may be compared to the rules read to you; and I will not trouble myself nor you with laboured endeavours to be methodical, I shall endeavour to make some few observations, on the testimonies of the witnesses, such as will place the facts in a true point of light, with as much

brevity as possible; but I suppose it would take me four hours to read to you, (if I did nothing else but read) the minutes of evidence that I have taken in this trial. In the first place the Gentleman who opened this case, has stated to you, with candour and precision, the evidence of the iden-

tity of the persons.

The witnesses are consident that they know the prisoners at the bar, and that they were present that night, and of the party; however, it is apparent, that witnesses are liable to make mistakes, by a single example before you. Mr. Bass, who is a very honess man, and of good character, swears positively that the tall man, Warren, stood on the right that night, and was the first that fired; and I am sure you are satisfied by this time, by many circumstances, that he is totally mistaken in this matter; this you will consider at your leisure. The witnesses in general did not know the saces of these persons before; very sew of them knew the names of them before, they only took notice of their saces that night. How much certainty there is in this evidence, I leave you to determine.

There does not seem to me to be any thing very material in the testimony of Mr. Asian, except to the identity of McCauley, and he is the only witness to that. If you can be satisfied in your own minds, without a doubt, that he knew McCauley so well as to be sure, you will believe he was there.

The next witness is Bridgham, he fays he saw the tall man Warren, but faw another man belonging to the fame regiment foon after, so like him, as to make him doubt whether it was Warren or not; he thinks he faw the Corporal, but is not certain, he fays he was at the corner of the Custom-house, this you will take notice of, other witnesses swear, he was the remotest man of all from him who fired first, and there are other evidences who swear the left man did not fire at all; if Wemms did not discharge his gun, at all, he could not kill any of the persons, therefore he must be acquitted on the fact of killing; for an intention to kill, is not murder nor manslaughter, if not carried into execution: The witness saw numbers of things thrown, and he saw plainly flicks strike the guns, about a dozen persons with sticks, gave three cheers, and furrounded the party, and firuck the guns with their ficks feveral blows: This is a witness for the crown, and his testimony is of great weight for the prisoners; he gives his testimony very sensibly and impar-He swears positively, that he not only saw ice or fnow thrown, but faw the guns flruck feveral times; if you believe this witness, of whose credibility you are wholly the judges, as you are of every other; if you do not believe him, there are many others who fivear to circumftances in favour of the prisoners; it should seem impossible you should dispelieve to great a number, and of crown witnesses too. who fwear to fuch variety of bircumstances that fall in with one another fo naturally to form our defence; this witness fwears politively, there were a dozen of persons with clubs, furrounded the party; twelve failors with clubs, were by much an overmatch to eight foldiers, chained there by the order and command of their officer, to stand in defence of the Sentry, not only fo, but under an oath to stand there, i. e. to obey the lawful command of their officer, as much. Gentlemen of the Jury, as you are under oath to determine this cause by law and evidence; clubs they had not, and they could not defend themselves with their bayonets against fo many people: it were in the power of the failurs to kill one half or the whole of the party, if they had been fo disposed; what had the foldiers to expect, when twelve persons armed with clubs, (failors too, between whom and foldiers, there is such an antipathy, that they fight as naturally when they meet, as the elephant and Rhinoceros) were daring enough, even at the time when they were loading their guns, to come up with their clubs, and fmite on their guns; what had eight foldiers to expect from fuch a fet of people? Would it have been a prudent resolution in them, or in any body in their fituation, to have flood fill, to fee if the failors would knock their brains out, or not? Had they not all the reason in the world to think, that as they had done so much, they would proceed further? Their clabs were as capable of killing as a ball, an hedge-stake is known in the law books as a weapon of death, as much as a fword, bayonet, or mulket. He fays, the foldiers were loading their guns, when the twelve furrounded them, the people went up to them within the length of their guns, and before the firing ; besides all this he swears, they were called cowardly raicals, and dared to fire; he fays thefe people were all dreffed like failors; and I believe, that by and by you will find evidence enough to fatisfy you, these were some of the persons that came out of Dock-Square, after making the attack on Murray's barracks, and who had been arming themselves with slicks from the butchers stalls and cord-wood-piles, and marched up round Cornhill under the command of Attucks. All the bells in town were ringing, the rathing of the blows upon the guns he heard and swears it was violent; this corroborates the testimony of James Bailey, which will be confidered presently. Some witnesses swear a club struck a foldier's gun, Bailey fwears a man struck a foldier and knocked him down, before he fired, " The last man that fired, levelled at a lad, and moved his gun as the lad ran:" You will confider, that an intention to kill is not murder; if a man lays poison in the way of another, and with an express intention that he should take it up and die of it, it is not murder; Suppose that foldier had malice in his heart, and was determined to murder that boy if he could, yet the evidence clears him of killing the boy, I fay, admit he had malice in his heart, yet it is plain he did not kill him or any body elfe, and if you believe one part of the evidence, you must believe the other, and if he had malice, that malice was ineffectual; I do not recollect any evidence that ascertains who it was that hood the last man but one upon the left, admitting he difcovered a temper ever fo wicked, cruel and malicious, you are to confider his ill temper is not imputable to another, no other had any intention of this deliberate kind, the whole transaction was sudden, there was but a very short space of time between the first gun and the last, when the first gun was fired the people fell in upon the soldiers and laid on with their weapons with more violence, and this ferved to encrease the provocation, and raised such a violent spirit of revenge in the soldiers, as the law takes notice of, and makes some allowance for, and in that fit of fury and madnels, I suppose he aimed at the boy.

The next witness is Dodge, he says, there were fifty people near the soldiers pushing at them; now the witness before says, there were twelve sailors with clubs, but now here are fifty more aiding and abetting of them, ready to relieve them in the case of need; now what could the people expect? It was their business to have taken themselves out of the way; some prudent people by the Town-house, told them not to meddle with the guard, but you hear nothing of this from these fifty people; no, instead of that, they were huzzaing and whistling, crying damn you, fire! why don't you fire? So that they were actually assisting these twelve sailors that made the attack; he says the soldiers were pushing at the people to keep them off, ice and snow-

balls were thrown, and I heard ice rattle on their guns, there were some clubs thrown from a considerable distance across the street. This witness swears he saw snow-balls thrown close before the party, and he took them to be thrown on purpose, he saw oyster-shells likewise thrown.—

Mr. Lang ford the watchman, is more particular in his testimony, and deserves a very particular consideration, because it is intended by the council for the crown, that his testimony shall distinguish Killrey from the rest of the prisoners, and exempt him from those pleas of justification, excuse or extenuation, which we rely upon for the whole party, because he had previous malice, and they would from hence conclude, he aimed at a particular sperson; you will consi-

der all the evidence with regard to that, by itself,

Hemmingway, the sheriff's coachman, swears he knew Killroy, and that he heard him fay, he would never miss an opportunity of firing upon the inhabitants: this is to prove that Killrey had preconceived malice in his heart, not indeed against the unhappy persons who were killed, but against the inhabitants in general, that he had the spirit not only of a Turk or an Arab, but of the devil; but admitting that this testimony is literally true, and that he had all the malice they would wish to prove, yet, if he was assaulted that night, and his life in danger, he had a right to defend himself as well as another man; if he had make before, it does not take away from him the right of defending himself against any unjust aggressor. But it is not at all improbable, that there was some misunderstanding about these loose expressions; perhaps the man had no thoughts of what his words might import; many a man in his cups, or in anger, which is a short fit of madness, hath uttered the rashest expressions, who had no such savage disposition in general; so that there is but little weight in expressions uttered at a kitching fire, before a maid and a coachman, where he might think himself at liberty to talk as much like a bully, a fool, and a madman as he pleased, and that no evil would come of it. Strictly speaking, he might mean no more than this, that he would not miss an opportunity of firing on the inhabitants, if he was attacked by them in fuch a manner as to justify it : foldiers have sometimes avoided opportunities of firing, when they would have been justified, if they had fired. I would recommend to them, . to be tender by all means, nay, let them be cautious at their

peril; hut fill what he faid amounts in ftriciness, to no more than this, " If the inhabitants make an attack on me, I will not bear from them what I have done already;" or I will bear no more, than what I am obliged by law to bear. No doubt it was under the fret of his spirits, the indignation, mortification, grief and shame, that he had suffered a defeat at the Rope-walks; it was just after an account of an affray was published here, betwixt the foldiers and inhabitants at New York. There was a little before the 5th of March, much noise in this town, and a pompous account in the news-papers, of a victory obtained by the inhabitants there over the foldiers; which doubtless excited the resentment of the foldiers here, as well as exultations among fome forts of the inhabitants: and the ringing of the bells here, was probably copied from New York, a wretched example in this, and in two other inftances at leaft; the defeat of the foldiers at the Rope-walks, was about that time too, and if he did, after that, use such expressions, it ought not to weigh too much in this case. It can scarcely amount to proof that he harboured any fettled malice against the perple in gene al. Other witnesses are introduced to show that Killroy had befides his general ill will against every body, particular malice against Mr. Gray, whom he killed, as Langford fwears.

Some of the witnesses, have fworn that Gray was active in the battle at the Rope-walks, and that Killroy was once there, from whence the Council for the Crown would infer, that Killrey, in King-fireet, on the 5th of March in the night knew Gray whom he had feen at the Rope-walks before and took that opportunity to gratify his preconceived malice; but if this is all true, it will not take away from him his juttification, excuse, or extenuation, if he had any. -The rule of the law is, if there has been malice between two, and at a diffant time afterwards they met, and one of them affaults the other's life, or only affaults him, and he kills in consequence of it, the law presumes the killing was in felf-defence, or upon the provocation, not on account of the antecedent malice. If therefore the affault upon Killroy was fo violent as to endanger his life, he had as good a right to defend himself, as much as if he never had before conceived any malice against the people in general, or Mr. Gray in particular. If the affault upon him, was such as to amount only to a provocation, not to a justification, his crime will be manflaughter only. However, it does not appear, that he knew Mr. Gray; none of the witnesses pretend to say he knew him, or that he ever saw him. It is true they were both at the Rope-walks at one time, but there were so many combatants on each side, that it is not even probable that Killroy should know them all, and no witness says there was any rencounter there between them two. Indeed to return to Mr. Langford's testimony, he says, he did not perceive Killroy to aim at Gray, more than at him, but he says expressly, he did not aim at Gray.

Langford says, "Gray had no stick, was standing with his arms folded up."—This witness, is however most probably mistaken in this matter, and confounds one time with another, a mistake which has been made by many witnesses, in this case, and considering the consuson and terror of the scene, is not to be wondered at.—

Witnesses have sworn to the condition of Killroy's bayonet, that it was bloody the morning after the 5th of March.

The blood they saw, if any, might be occasioned by a wound given by some of the bayonets in the affray, possibly in Mr. Fosdick's arm, or it might happen, in the manner mentioned by my brother before.—One bayonet at least was struck off and it might fall, where the blood of some person slain afterwards flowed.—It would be doing violence to every rule of law and evidence, as well as to common sense and the feelings of humanity, to infer from the blood on the bayonet, that it had been stabbed into the brains of Mr. Gray after he was dead, and that by Killroy

himself who had killed him. and back has, soller

Young Mr. Davis swears, that he saw Gray that evening, a little before the firing, that he had a stick under his arm, and said he would go to the riot, "I am glad of it, (that is that there was a rumpus) I will go and have a slap at them, if I lose my life."--- And when he was up in the spot, some witnesses swear, he did not act that peaceable inossensive part, which Langford thinks he did.--- They swear, they shought him in liquor--- that he run about clapping several people on the shoulders saying, "Don't run away"---- they dare not sire" Langford goes on "I saw twenty or sive and twenty boys about the Sentinal--- and I spoke to him, and bid him not be afraid."--- How came the Watchman Langford to tell him not to be afraid. Does not this circumstance prove, that he thought there was danger, or at least that the

Sentinel in fact, was terrified and did think himfelf in daner. - Lengford goes on " I faw about twenty or five and mty boys that is young shavers."---We have been entered with a great variety of phrases, to avoid calling this fort of peoplea mob .-- Some call them shavers, some call them renius's. The plain English is, Gentlemen, most probably a motley rabble of faucy boys, negroes and molattoes, Irish gues and outlandish jack tars. -- And why we should scruple call fuch a fet of people a mob, I can't conceive, unless the name is too respectable for them :--- The sun is not ane to fland still or go out, nor the rivers to dry up beafe there was a mob in Boston on the 5th of March that attacked a party of foldiers .- Such things are not new in the world, nor in the British dominions, though they are comparatively, rareties and novelties in this town.--Carr a native of Ireland had often been concerned in fuch attacks, and indeed, from the nature of things, foldiers quartered in a populous town, will always occasion two mobs, where they prevent one .--- They are wretched confervators of the peace!

Langford " heard the rattling against the guns, but law nothing thrown."--- This rattling must have been very remarkable, as fo many witnesses heard it, who were not in a fituation to fee what caused it. These things which it the guns made a noise, those which hit the soldiers perfons, did not -- But when fo many things were thrown and fo many hit their guns, to suppose that none struck their perfons, is incredible. - Langford goes on " Gray struck me on the shoulder and asked me what is to pay ? I answered, I don't know but I believe formething will come of it, by and by."---Whence could this apprehension of mischief arise, if Lang ford did not think the affault, the squabble, the affray was fuch as would provoke the foldiers to fire?--- "a bayonet went through my great coat and jacket," yet the foldier did not step out of his place .-- This looks as if Langford was nearer to the party than became a watchman. Forty or fifty people round the foldiers, and more coming from Quaker-lane, as well as the other lanes-The foldiers heard all the bells ringing and faw people coming from every point of the compais to the affiftance of those who were infulting, affaulting, beating and abusing of them--what had they to expect but destruction, if they had not thus early taken measures to defend themselves?

Brewer faw Killroy, &c. faw Dr. Young, &c. he faid of the people had better go home." It was an excellent advice, happy for some of them had they followed it, but it seems all advice was lost on these persons, they would hearken to none that was given them in Dock-square, Royal-enchange-lane or King-street, they were bent on making this assault, and on their own destruction.

The next witness that knows any thing was, James Bailey, he faw Carrol, Montgomery and White, he faw fome round the Sentry, heaving pieces of ice, large and hard enough to hurt any man, as big as your fift: one question is w ther the Sentinel was attacked or not ?--- If you want evi dence of an attack upon him there is enough of it, here is a witness an inhabitant of the town, surely no friend to the foldiers, for he was engaged against them at the Ropewalks; he fays he faw twenty or thirty round the Sentry, pelting with cakes of ice, as big as one's fift; certainly cakes of ice of this fize may kill a man, if they happen to hit some part of the head .-- So that, here was an attack on the Sentinel, the confequence of which he had reason to dread, and it was prudent in him to call for the main-guard: he retreated as far as he could, he attempted to get into the Custom-House, but could not; then he called to the guard, and he had a good right to call for their affiftance : " he did not know, he told the witness, what was the matter," " but he was afraid there would be mischief by and bye;" and well he might, with so many shavers and genius's round him---capable of throwing fuch dangerous things. Bailey fwears, Montgomery fired the first gun, and that he stood at the right, " the next man to me, I flood behind him, &c." This witness certainly is not prejudiced in favour of the foldiers, he swears, he saw a man come up to Montgomery with a club, and knock him down before he fired, and that he not only fell himself, but his gun flew out of his hand, and as foon as he role he took it up and fired. If he was knocked down on his station, had he not reason to think his life in danger, or did it not raise his passions and put him off his guard; so that it cannot be more than manslaughter.

When the multitude was shouting and huzzaing, and threatning life, the bells all ringing, the mob whistle screaming and rending like an Indian yell, the people from all quarters throwing every species of rubbish they could pick up in the street, and some who were quite

m

rs

m

us

en the other fide of the firest throwing clubs at the whole party, Montgomery in particular, fmote with a club and ed down, and as foon as he could rife and take up his firelock, another club from afar ftruck his breaft or houlder, what could he do? Do you expect he should chave like a floick philosopher loft in apathy? Patient as Esisarus while his master was breaking his legs with a cud-You must suppose him divested of all human passions, you don't think him at the least provoked, thrown off his guard, and into the furor brevis, by fuch treatment as this, Bailey " Saw the Molatto feven or eight minutes before firing, at the head of twenty or thirty failors in Cornhill, and he had a large cordwood flick." So that this drer, by this tellimony of Bailey compared with that of and some others, appears to have undertaken to e the hero of the night; and to lead this army with banners, to form them in the first place in Dock-square, and earch them up to King-freet, with their clubs; they passed through the main-fireer up to the main-guard, in order to make the attack. If this was not an unlawful affembly, there never was one in the world. Attucks with his myrmidons comes round Jackson's corner, and down to the party by the Sentry-boz; when the foldiers pushed the people off, this man with his party cried, do not be afraid of them, they dare not fire, kill them! kill them! knock them over! And he tried to knock their brains out. It is plain the foldiers. did not leave their station, but cried to the people, stand off: now to have this reinforcement coming down under the command of a flout Molatto fellow, whose very looks, was enough to terrify any person, what had not the sol-diers then to sear? He had hardiness enough to fall in upon them, and with one hand laid hold of a bayonet, and with the other knocked the man down: This was the behavjour of Attucks ;----to whose mad behaviour, in all probability, the dreadful carnage of that night, is chiefly to be afcribed. And it is in this manner, this town has been often treated; a Carr from Ireland, and Attacks from Framingham, happening to be here, shall fally out upon their thoughtless enterprizes; at the head of such a rabble of Negroes, &c. as they can collect together, and then there are not wanting, persons to ascribe all their doings to the good people of the town.

f

iı

0

li A

Mr. Adams proceeded to a minute confideration of every witness produced on the crown fide; and endeavoured to shew, from the evidence on that side, which could not be contested by the council for the crown, that the assault upon the party, was sufficiently dangerous to justify the prifoners; at least, that it was sufficiently provoking, to reduce to manslaughter the crime, even of the two who were supposed to be proved to have killed. But it would swell this publication too much, to insert his observations at large, and there is the less necessity for it, as they will probably occur to every man who reads the evidence with attention. He then proceeded to consider the testimonies of the witnesses for the prifoners, which must also be omitted: And concluded,

I will enlarge no more on the evidence, but submit it to you.—Facts are stubborn things; and whatever may be our wishes, our inclinations, or the dictates of our passions, they cannot alter the state of facts and evidence: nor is the law less stable than the fact; if an assault was made to endanger their lives, the law is clear, they had a right to kill in their own defence; if it was not so severe as to endanger their lives, yet if they were assaulted at all, struck and abused by blows of any fort, by snow-balls, oyster-shells, cinders, clubs, or sticks of any kind; this was a provocation, for which the law reduces the offence of killing, down to manslaughter, in consideration of those passions in our nature, which cannot be eradicated. To your candour and justice I submit the prisoners and their cause.

The law, in all vicifitudes of government, fluctuations of the passions, or slights of enthusiasm, will preserve a steady undeviating course; it will not bend to the uncertain wishes, imaginations, and wanton tempers of men. To use the words of a great and worthy man, a patriot, and an hero, an enlightned friend to mankind, and a martyr to liberty: I mean Algebras Sirner, who from his earliest infancy sought a tranquil retirement under the shadow of the tree of liberty, with his tongue, his pen, and his sword, "The law (says "he,) no passion can disturb. "Tis void of desire and fear, "lust and anger. "Tis mens fine affectu; written reason; retaining some measure of the divine perfection. It does not enjoin that which pleases a weak, frail man, but without "any regard to persons, commands that which is good, and "punishes evil in all, whether rich, or poor, high, or low, "—Tis deaf, inexorable, instexible." On the one hand it

is inexorable to the cries and lamentations of the prisoners; on the other it is deaf, deaf as an adder to the clamours of

the populace.

[The cause was then closed by Robert Treat Paine, Esq; on the part of the crown: In his argument, he endeawoured to settle the principal sacts, by comparing the evidence as well on the part of the crown as of the prisoners; and also to shew, that the many undeniable rules of law, which had been produced, did not apply to the cause at har. And surther, that even upon a supposition, the party had a right to go to the relief of the Sentry, in the manner testified by the witnesses; yet from their conduct in going down to the Sentry, and behaviour while there, the law would consider them as an unlawful assembly. But as the person who swrote the trial in short hand, was so satisfied, that he could not take down what Mr. Paine said, we are not able to insert his argument in this publication.

TUESDAY, half past FIVE o'Clock, P. M. Mr. Paine not having gone through his argument) the court adjourned

to Wednesday morning, Eight o'Clock.

WEDNESDAY morning, Eight o'Clock, the Court met according to Adjournment, when Mr. Paine finished closing, and the Court proceeded to sum up the cause to the Jury.

Juffice TROWBRIDGE.

Gentlemen of the Jury. William Wemms, James Hartegan, William M Cauley, Hugh White, Matthew Killroy, William Warren, John Carrol and Hugh Montgomery, prisoners at the bar, are charged by the Grand Jurors for the body of this county, with having feloniously and of their malice aforethought, shot and thereby killed and murdered Samuel Maverick, Samuel Gray, James Caldwell, Patrick Carr, and Crifpus Attucks, against the peace, crown and dignity of our Sovereign Lord the King; altho it is laid in each indictment that some one of the prisoners in particular gave the mortal wound, yet as all the rest of them are charged with being present aiding and abetting him to do it, they are all charged as principals in the murder; and therefore, if upon confideration of the evidence given in this case, it should appear to you that all the prisoners gave the mortal wound, or that any one of them did it, and that the rest were present aiding and abetting him to do it, the indictment will be well maintained against all the prisoners, fo far as respects the killing, because in such case, the Broke of one is, in confideration of law, the stroke of all (a) And as the crime whereof the priloners are accused is of fuch a nature as that it might have been committed by any one of them, though the indictments purport a joint charge, yet the law looks on the charge as feveral against each of the prifoners. (b) To this charge they have feverally pleaded Not Guilty, and thereby thrown the burden of proof upon the crown.-Confidering how much time has already been taken up in this cafe, and the multiplicity of evidence that has been given in it, I shall not spend any time in recapitulating what each witness has testified, especially as your Foreman has taken it in writing from the mouths of the witnesses, but shall endeayour to point out the manner in which the various testimonies are to be confidered, and how the evidence given is to be applied, still leaving it with you to determine how far that which has been testified by each wirness is to be believed. But before I do this it may not be improper, confidering what has in the course of this year been advanced, published, and industriously propagated among the people, to observe to you that none of the indictments against the prisoners are founded on the act of this province, or the law given to the Jews, but that, all of them are indictments at common law. The prisoners are charged with having offended against the common law, and that only; by that law therefore they are to be judged, and by that law condemned, or else they must be acquitted.—This feems to make it highly proper for me to fay fomething to you upon the common law, upon homicide and the feveral kinds and degrees of it, and the rules for trial of homicide as fettled and established by the common law.—The laws of England are of two kinds, the unwritten or common law, and the written or statute law. - The general customs or immemorial usage of the English nation, is properly the common law. And the evidence thereof are the Records of the feveral Courts of Justice, the Books of Red ports and Judicial Decisions, and the Trentiles of the Sages of the Law, handed down to us from the times of the highest antiquity. (c) The common law is the law by which the proceedings and determinations in the King's ordinary Courts of Justice, are guided and directed-This law is the birthright Les a Black roo 1151 24 B 8. 4 11.000

⁽a) 1 Hale, 437, 463. 2 Hale 344, 345. Foffer, 351. Salk, 334, 5. (b) 2 Haw 25 \$ 89 (c) 1 Black. 63, 642

of every Englishman-The first fettlers of this country ought it from England with them-It was in force here n the act of this province against murder was made: (d) furder here was then felony by common law, and excluded Clergy by 23 H. S. c. 1. and 1. Edw. 6. c. 12 -So that. that province act created no new felony-It was in affirmance of the common law-If murder by that act had been made a rew felony, a murderer would now be intituled to the beneit of clergy by force of 25. E. 3. c. 4. because it is not taken away by that province act or any other made fince. (e) micide is of three kinds, justifiable, excusable, and felonious—The first has no there of guilt at all—The second very little, but the third is the highest crime against the law of na. sure. (1) There are also degrees of guilt in felonious homicide, hich divide the offence into manslaughter and murder. (g) I shall give some instances under each head, proper to be confidered in this case, and known at this day. And first of justifiable homicide.-Killing him who attempts to rob or murder me, to break open my dwelling-house in the night, or to burn it, or by force to commit any other felony on me, my wife, child, fervant, friend, or even a stranger, if it cannot otherwise be prevented, is justifiable. (b) By common law it was, and still is, the duty of peace officers, such as Justices of the Peace, Sheriffs, Under-sheriffs, and Conflables, to suppress riots, routs, and unlawful assemblies, - The Stat. 1. H. 4. c. 8. Subjected Justices of the Peace, Sheriffs, and Under-sheriffs to the penalty of f 100, if they neglected their duty therein. And as the common law obliges the peace officers to suppress riots, &c. so it empowers them to raile a sufficient force to enable them to do it .- A Justice of the ce, Sheriff, or Under sheriff may raise the power of the county, and the Constable of a town, the people of that town, to aid and affift him in suppressing a riot and apprehending the rioters, and if they fland in their defence, refift the officer, and continue their riotous proceeding in pulling down a house, affaulting and beating, or abusing any person or perfone, fuch rioters may lawfully be killed, if they cannot otherwise be prevented. (i) It is the duty of all persons (except

⁽d) Pro. All, 9 W. 3. (e) 2 How. 33 \ 24. 2 Hale, 330. 334, 335. (f) 4 Black. 177, 8. (g) 4 Black. 190. (b) 24 H.8. c. 5, 1 Haw, 488. 4 Black. 180. Foster 273, 4. (i) 1 Haw. 28. 5, 14, 65. 5, 11. 1 Hale 53. 293, 4. 495, 596. 4 Black. 147.

women, decrepid perfons, and infants under fifteen I to and affift the peace officers to suppress riots, &c. when calls upon to do it. They may take with them such weapons as necessary to enable them effectually to do it, and may just the beating, wounding, and even killing, fuch rioters as re or refuse to surrender; if the riot cannot otherwise be for preffed, or the rioters apprehended. (k) So in case of a fuld affray, if a private person interposing to part the combatants. and giving notice of his friendly defign, is affaulted by th or either of them, and in the struggle happens to kill, he in justify it, because it is the duty of every man to interpose in fach cases to preserve the public peace. (1) A fortiori private persons may interpose to suppress a riot.(m)

Homicide excusable in self-defence, is where one engage in a fodden affray, quits the combat before a mortal wound given, retreats as far as he fafely can, and then urged by mere necessity, kills his adversary in the defence of his own life (n) This differs from justifiable felf-defence, because he was to blame for engaging in the affray, and therefore must retreat as far as he can fafely; whereas in the other case aforementioned neither the peace officers, nor his affiftants, nor the private person, is obliged to retreat, but may stand and repel

force by force. (a) the same distriction and the same of the

Manslaughter is the unlawful killing another without malice express or implied: -As voluntarily upon a sudden heat, of involuntarily in doing an unlawful act. (p) Manslaughter on a fudden provocation, differs from excufable homicide in felfdefence, in this; that in one case there is an apparent necessity for felf preservation to kill the aggressor; in the other there is no necessity at all, it being a sudden act of revenge () As where one is taken in the act of adultery, and inflantly killed by the hufband in the first transport of passion. (r) So if one, on angry words, affaults another by wringing his nofe, and he thereupon immediately draws his fword and kills the affailant it is but Manslaughter, because the peace is broken, with an indignity to him that received the affault, and he being fo affronted, might reasonably apprehend the other had some Autor to continuity they were

⁽b) 1 Haw. 63. \$ 10. 65. \$ 20. 21. 4 Black. 147. 179. 180. (1) Foster 272. 1 Haw. 63. 9 11. 13. (m) Kely 76. 1 Haw. 65: \$ 11. (n) 1 Hale 479: Foster 277. (o) Foster 273. (1) 4 Black. 191, (g) 4 Black. 192. (r) Kely. 137. Ray. 212. Foster 298.

further defign on him.(f) Where one happens to kill an. other in a contention for the wall, it is but manslaughter.(t) So where H. and A. came into Buckner's lodging, A takes down a fword in the scabbard that hung there, stood at the chamber-door with the fword undrawn, to prevent Buckner from going out before they could bring a Bailiff to arrest him for a debt he owed H; and upon some discourse between Buckner and H. Buckner takes a dagger out of his pocket, stabs and kills H with it. This was adjudged only manslaughter at common-law, and not to come within the statute of I la. 1. against stabbing, because Buckner was unlawfully imprisoned. (u) where an officer abruptly and violently pushed into a gentleman's chamber, early in the morning to arrest him, without elling him his bufiness, or using words of arrest, and the gentleman not knowing him to be an officer, in his first surprise, took down a favord and flabbed him. This also was ruled to be but manslaugher at common law, because the gentleman might reasonably conclude from the officer's behaviour, that he came to rob or murder him. (v) So where Marshal and some other Bailiffs, came to Cook's dwelling house about eight o'clock in the morning, called upon him to open his doors and let them enter, because they had a warrant, on such and such write, at the fuit of fuch persons, to arrest him, and required him to obey them, but he told them they should not enter, and bid them depart, and thereupon they broke a window, and then came to the door of the house, and in attempting to force it open, broke one of the hinges, whereupon Cook that Marshal and killed him; it was adjudged not to be murder, because though Marshal was an officer, yet he was not in the due execution of his office, but was doing an unlawful act in attempting to break open the house to execute such a civil process; and every one has a right to defend his house in such cases; but to be manslaughter, because Gook saw Marsbal, knew him, that and killed him voluntarily, when he might have relifted him without killing him. (w) Though no words of reproach, nor actions, or gestures expressive of reproach or contempt, without an affault, will by common law free the party killing from the guilt of murder, (x) yet words, of menace of bodily him by h so b

of

tri

de

nic

CO

kil

refu

it i

law

⁽v) Siple's 467. (v) Fester 298, 9. 11 Hale 370, Kely 136. (w) Cro. Car. 537. 8. Cook's Gase. (x) Foster 290.

harm, may amount to fuch a provocation as to make the of-

fence to be but manflaughter.()

If these determinations appear new and extraordinary to you, it is not to be wondered at, confidering the doctrines that of late have been advanced and propagated among you. In the course of this year you doubtless have heard much of the law given to the Jews, respecting homicide, as well as of the precept given to Noab, that " Wholo shedeth man's blood, by man shall his blood be shed." Whence it has been inferred, that whoever voluntarily kills another, whatever the induces ment or provocation may be, is a murderer, and as such ought to be put to death. But furely not only the avenger of blood and he who killed a thief breaking up an house in the night. were exceptions to that general precept, but also he who killed another in his own defence. Even the Jewish Doctors allowed this (2) and that jully; because the right of self-defence is founded in the law of nature. The Jews indeed, supposed their law equally subjected to death, him who killed another. whether of malice afore-thought, or on a sudden falling out : but it feems the early christian divines did not, for the Clergy in the reign of Canute, the beginning of the eleventh century; so construed the Missaical law as to deem him a murderer. who in time past had conceived hatred against his neighbour, and lain in wait for him and killed him, and him guilty of manslaughter only who killed another on sudden provocation. and it is ordained by one of the laws of this Canute, that if any person shall with premeditation kill another he shall be openly delivered up to the kindred of the flain, but if the killing be not with premeditation the Bishop shall not take cognizance of it.(a) And as homicides have fince happened, and been tried in the King's Courts, the Judges have from time to time determined them to be either justifiable, excusable, or felonious: and if felonious, to be murder or manslaughter, according to the particular circumstances that attended the action of the lang alleviation if B. Industry on the language to be spilled

These determinations of the King's Courts, for so many ages past, shew, not only what the common law in cases of this kind is, but that these rules of the common law, are the result of the wisdom and experience of many ages.—However it is not material in the present case, whether the common law is agreeable to, or variant from, the law given to the

^{()) 1} Hale 456. (2) 1 Hale, 4. (a) Ca. Eq. 270, 1.

because it is certain, the prisoners are not in this Court tried by that law, but by the common law, that is ac. line to the fettled and establish'd rules, and ancient customs

of the nation, approved for fuccessions of ages. Marder, by the common law, is the unlawful killing a fonable creature, under the King's peace, of malice aforeight, by a person of sound mind and discretion. (b) Malice is the grand criterion that diffinguishes murder from all other homicide. Malice aforethought, is not confined to an old ige, or fixed, fettled anger against a particular person. it extends to a disposition to do evil (c) It is the dictate of a wicked, depraved, and malignant spirit. (a) As when one with a fedate, deliberate mind, and formed defign kills another (e) Not where the killing is owing to a fudden sport of passion, occasioned by any considerable provocation. For the law paye such regard to human frailty, as not to put an hally act, and a deliberate one, upon the fame footing with regard to guilt. (f) In the case of duelling, when two, upon a fudden quarrel, inftantly draw their fwords and he, and one kill the other, it is manflaughter; but if on fuch a quarrel in the morning, they agree to fight in the afternoon, or fo long after as that there is sufficient time for the blood to cool, the passions to subside, and reason to interpose, and they meet and fight accordingly, if one kills the other, it is marder.(g) So if a man resolves to kill the next man he meets, and does it, it is murder, although he knew him not, for this shews the malignity of his heart, and his universal malice (b) So where one maliciously strikes or shoots at another. but miffes him and kills a third person, whom he did not intend to hurt, it is nevertheless murder, because he is anfwerable for all the confequences of his malicious act ;(i) but if the blow intended against A, and lighting on B, arose from a fudden transport of passion, which in case A had died by it, would have reduced the offence to manslaughter; the fact will admit of the same alleviation if B. should happen to fall by it.(4) If two or more come together to do an unlawful act against the King's peace, of which the probable consequence

⁽b) 3 Inft. 47. 4 Black. 19. (c) 4 Black. 199. (d) Foft. 256. (e) 4 Black. 199. (f) 4 Black. 191. (g) 1 Hawk. 31. 1, 29. Kely 27, 130. Foft. 296. Ld. Raym. 1494, 5. (b) 4 Black. 200. (i) 1 Hale 442. Foft. 261, 2. (k) Foft. 262.

might be bloodhed, as to best a man, or commit a riot, and in the profecution of that design, one of them kills a man, it is murder in them all. (1) So where one kills another wilfully without a considerable provocation, it is murder, because no one, unless of an abandoned heart, would be guilty of such an act upon a flight or no apparent cause. (m) So if one kills an officer of justice, either civil or criminal, in the execution of his duty, or any of his affifiants endeavouring to conferve the peace, or any private person endeavouring to suppress an affray, or apprehend a felon, knowing his authority, or the intention with which he interpoles, it is murder (n). As to the rules fettled and established by common law, for the trial of homicide, it is observable. That no person can by common law, be held to answer for any kind of homicide at the fuit of the King only, unless he be first accused thereof by a Jury of the county where the fact was done (e). That he who is so accused, may on the plea of Not Guilty, not only put the council for the King upon the proof of the fact, but when it is proved, may give any special matter in evidence to justify or excuse it, or to alleviate the offence (p)—That the facts are to be fettled by another July of the same country, (9) who are supposed to be best knowing of the witnesses and their credibility, and their verdict must be founded on the evidence given them in court.(r)—That if any of the Jurous are knowing of the facts, they ought to inform the Court of it, be fworn as witnesses and give their testimonies in Court, to the end it may be leg evidence to their fellows, and the Court may know on what evidence the Jury's verdict is founded. (/) - That the Court are to determine the law arising on the facts, because they are supposed to know it. (1) - That the Jury, under the direction of the Court in point of law, matters of fact being still left to them, may give a general verdict conformable to such direction; but in cases of doubt, and real difficulty, the Jury ought to flate the facts and circumstances in a special verdict, that the Court upon farther confideration thereof, may determine what

100

t

e

1,75

lab lab

ot

n-

ut

m

ît,

by

act

oft.

Foft.

^{(1) 1} Haw. 31. \$ 46. 4 Black. 200. (m) 4 Black 200. (n) 1 Hale 457. Foft. 270; 308. 1 Hawk. 31. \$ 44. 4 Black. 200, 1. (o) 4 Black. 343. 300. 1. (p) 4 Black. 332. 3. 1 Inft. 283. a (q) 2 Hawk. 40. \$ 1. 4 Black. 301. (r) 1 Hale. 635. Fortofeno de Laud. Leg. Ang. c. 28. (f) 3 Black. 374, 5. (f) 2 Haw. 22. \$ 21. Ld. Raym. 1494. Foft. 255. 6. 280.

the law is thereon. (a) -That although malice is to be collected from all circumstances, yet the Court, and not the Jury, are the proper judges thereof; as also, if the quarrel was sudden, whether there was time for the passions to cool, or whether the act was deliberate or not.(w) The judge ought to recommend to the Jury to and the facts specially, or direct them hyperbolically as and was deliberated. hypothetically, as—if you believe fuch and fuch wirnesses, who have fourn to and fo, the killing was malicious, and then you ought to find the prisoners guilty of murder; but if you do not believe these witnesses, then you ought to find them guilty of manuscripter only. And according to the nature of the case, if you on the evidence given, believe the facts to be so, then it was not deliberate, or if you believe them to be so, then it was not deliberate, and according as you believe, so s ought to find one or the other.(x)

To what has been faid under this head I must add, that in e trial of this case, both the Court and Jury are as much ed to observe these rules, as a Court and Jury in England d be in the trial of a like case there; the law in these echs is the fame here, as there. A Juror's oath in this afe is also the fame here as there.(y). Therefore by law you se to fettle the facts in this case, upon the evidence given you in Court : you must be sensible, that in doing it, you ought not to have any manner of regard to what you may have read or heard of the cafe out of Court. And as it is the proper buffness of the Court, to determine the law arising upon the facts, you must also be sensible, that you are to take the law from the Court, and not collect it from what has been said by people out of Court, or published in the news-papers, or delivered

from the pulpits.

Having premifed these things, I shall observe to you, the several questions that arise in this case; and point out to you feveral questions that arise in this case; and point out to you the manner in which I think they may be best considered and the manner in which I think they may be best considered and

The principal questions are these, wiz.

I. Whether the five persons said to be murdered, were in

II. Whether they, or either of them were killed by the fact killed ? And if To, prisoners, or either of them? And if they were, then

(a) Foft. 255. 6. (w) Foft. 257. Ld Raym. 1493. 4. (x) Foft. 256. Ld. Raym. 1494. Vaugb. 144. b) 2 Hale, 293.

Iff. Whether fuck killing was justifiable, excusable, or fe-

IV. Whether it was manflaughter or murder?

As to the first, you have not only the coroner's inquest, but the testimony of so many witnesses, that the sive persons were shot, and thereby mortally wounded in the night of the 5th of March last, and that some of them died instantly, and the rest in a sew days after, that you doubtless will be satisfied they were all killed. And the same evidence must I think, also convince you, that they were all killed by the party of soldiers that were at the Custom-bouse that night, or by some of them.

Whether the prisoners were there, will therefore be your next enquiry; for if either of them was not, he must be acquitted. You have the testimony of Bridgham and Simpson as to Wemms; or Danbroooke and Simpson, as to Hartegan; of Austin as to M Cauley; of Simpson, Langford, Bailey and Clark as to White; of Archibald, Langford and Brewer as to Killroy; of Dodge and Simpson as to Warren; and of Bailey, Bass, Palmes, Danbrooke and Wilkinson as to Montgomery's being at the Custom-house that night, and of the party of soldiers that was there; and this is not contested with any opposite proof.—

The law doth not in this case make the testimony of two witnesses necessary for the Jury to settle a fact upon: If one swears it, and upon his testimony you believe it, that is sufficient evidence for you to find the fact, But if you are satisfied upon the evidence, that all the prisoners were there, yet, as each prisoner is severally charged with having killed these five persons, and by his plea has denied the charge, you must be sully satisfied upon the evidence given you, with regard to each prisoner, that he in particular, did in said, or in consideration of law, kill one or more of these persons that were slain, or he must be acquitted.

The way therefore to determine this, will be for you to name some one of the prisoners, and then consider, whether it appears upon the evidence in the case, that he did in sall kill Maverick? And then, whether upon the evidence it appears, he in sall killed Gray? And so enquire in the same manner, whether he did in sall kill either of the other three persons? And having noted how it appears upon the evidence with regard to him; you must then proceed in like manner with each of the other prisoners; and if upon a full consideration of the evidence in the case.

Aaa

you should be in doubt, as to any one of the prisoners having in fall killed either of the persons that were slain, you must consider whether he did it in consideration of law? Now all that are present, aiding and abetting one person in killing another, do, in judgment of law, kill him. The stroke of one is, inconsideration of law, the stroke of all. When a number of persons assemble together to do an unlawful act, and in prosecution of that design, one of them kills a man, all the rest of the company are in law considered as abetting him to do it. (a)

You must therefore enquire how, and for what purpose, the prisoners came together at the Custom-bouse, and what

they did there before these persons were killled?

The Council for the prisoners say, that, if they were at the Custom-bouse that night, they went there by order of the Captain of the Main-guard, to support and protect the Sentry, who was insulted, assaulted and abused by a considerable number of people assembled for that purpose; but as this is denied by the Council for the Crown, it will be proper to consider whether, a Sentry was duly placed at the Custom bouse? And if so, whether he was attacked? And if so, whether the prisoners went by order of the Captain,

to support and protect him?

That a Sentry was in fact then placed at the Custom-bouse, by order of Colonel Dalrymple, the Commanding Officer, as also that one had been placed there for a long time before, is testified by Capts. O'Hara, and Mason, and indeed the right to place Sentries, (it being in time of peace) is the only thing that has been questioned. Upon this, therefore I would observe, that as the main defign of society, is the protection of individuals by the united strength of the whole community; so for the sake of unanimity, strength and dispatch, the supreme executive power is by the British constitution vested in a fingle person, the King or Queen. This single person has the sole power of raising sleets or armies; and the Sta ute of 13 Car. 2. c. 6. declares, That within all his Majesty's realms and dominions, the sole supreme government, command and disposition of the militia, and of all forces by fea and land, and all forts and places of firength is, and by the law of England ever was, the undoubted right of his Majesty and his royal Predecessors, Kings and Queen of England. And as Charles the Second had this right as King of England, it of course comes to his successors, and our

present Sovereign Lord the King, now hath it.

Indeed the Bill of Rights declares among other things, That the raising of keeping a standing army, within the kingdom, in a time of peace, unless with the confent of Parliament, is against law. And it is said, that upon the same principles whereon that declaration was founded, it is alike unlawful to be done in any other part of the King's dominions. But be that as it may, the Mutiny acls annually made, shew the consent of Parliament, that the King in time of peace should keep up a standing army not only in the Kirgdom, but in America alfo. They not only afcertain the number of troops that shall be kept up, but provide for the regulation of such of the King's troops as are in America. And therefore as by these acts the king is impowered to keep up these troops, and he, by common law, has the command and disposition of all forces by sea and land within his dominions. and is the principal conservator of the peace, he doubtless, well might fend such part of those troops to this part of his dominions, in order to restore the public peace, or to aid and assist the civil Magistrate in preserving of it, as he judged necessary for the purpose: and if you should think there was no occasion for fending any troops here, for either of those purposes, that will not alter the case, because the King being the proper judge in that matter, the validity of his order will not depend upon the truth of the representations whereon it is founded. The acts not only fix the number of troops to be kept up, but also establish a law martial for their government. Among other things, the Acts subject every officer or soldier that sleeps on his post, or leaves it before he is relieved, or disobeys the lawful command of his superior officer, to such punishment as a Court Martial shall inflict, -though it extend to death itself. These troops are, and ever fince they came here, have been under this martial law, and subject to as strict regulation, as in time of war. Placing Sentries is a necessary part of the regulation of our army, accordingly a Sentry hath in fact been kept at the Custom-bouse, ever since the troops have been here; and it is sworn, by the Captains O'Hara and Mason, that it was done by order of the Commanding Officer. If fo, you have no reason to doubt but that it was legally done.

Your next enquiry then will be, whether the Sentry for placed at the Custom-bouse was attacked? Many witnesses have two in that he was. But the Council for the Crown say, the contrary appears by the testimony of Col. Marshal and others.

It is with you to determine this matter upon the whole of the evidence given you. In doing it you ought to reconcile the feveral tellimonies, if by any reasonable construction of the work it may be done. - Where some witnesses swear they saw such a thing done, and others fwear they were prefent and did not fee it: if the thing faid to be done be fuch as it may reasonably be supposed some might see and others not, by reason of their want of observation, or particular attention to other matters there, as both may be true, you ought to suppose them to be so, rather than presume that any of the witnesses swear fallly. But if witnesses contradict each other, so that their testimonies cannot be reconciled, you must then consider the number of the witnesses on each side, their ability, integrity, indifference as to the point in question, and the probability or improbabifity arising from the nature of the thing in question, and upon the whole settle the fact as you verily believe it to be. If you and the Sentry was attacked, the next thing to be considered is, whether the prisoners went to protect him, and if so, whether it was lawful for them to to do. There is a great difference between a common affray, and attacking the King's forces. I think the law in that regard ought to be more generally known here than it seems to be. If upon a sudden quartel from some affront given or taken, the neighbourhood rife and drive the King's forces out of their quarters, it is a great misdemeanor, and if death ensues it may be selony in the affailants, but not treason, because there was no intention against the King's person or government: but attacking the King's forces in opposition to bis authority, upon a march or in quarters, is levying war against the King. (b) And resisting the King's forces, if fent to keep the peace may amount to an overtact of high treason. (c) Though it may be attended with great inconveniences for private persons, without a peace-officer, to make use of arms for suppressing an ordinary riot, yet if the riot be such an one as savours of rebellion, it doubtless may lawfully be done. (d) You have heard what the witnesses deposed respecting the resolution to drive the

⁽b) Foster 219. (c) 4 Black. 147. (d) 1 Haw. 65. \$ 11.

foldiers out of town, " because they had no bufiness here." You have also heard what has been testified of the proposals to astack the Main-guard—of the affembling of the people especi-ally in Dock-square—of the huzzaing for the Main-guard and King-street—and of the attacking the Sentry. Now if this was done in pursuance of a resolution taken " to drive the foldiers out of the town because they had no bufiness bere." 1 will not now determine whether it was treason or not : but it certainly was a riot that favoured of rebellion; for the fupprefing whereof private perions might not only arm themselves, but make use of their arms, if they could not otherwife suppress it. (c) Much more might the Captain of the Main guard take part of the guard, armed as usual, and go with them to protect the Sentry. By what Crookfounks, Benjamin Davis, Whitington, and others have sworn, it seems the Sentry not only called to the Main-guard for affiltance, but two men went and told them they must send assistance directly or the Sentry would be murdered. Whereupon the Captain gave orders that a party should go to the assistance of the Sentry, so they were drawn out accordingly, led down to the Custom-boule by a Corporal, and followed by the Captain. Now as this party did not assemble, or go there, of their own accord, but were fent by their Captain to protect the Sentry, it must be supposed that was their design in going untill the con-trary appears. And although upon the evidence you should not be fatisfied that the Sentry was attacked in pursuance of a resolution taken to drive the foldiers out of town, because they but no bufiness bere, yet confidering the notice given by the and what the Captain himfelf might then fee and observe of the attack upon the Sentry, (if any regard is to be had to what a great number of the witnesses have sworn) he well might order out fuch a party, and go with them to protect the Sentry: And it feems to be agreed that if the prisoners wate at the Custom-bouse that might, all of them, except the Sentry, were of that party, It has been faid that this party of foldiers, when on their march, pushed Foldick with a bay-Brower as foon as they got to the Custom-bouse, which shewed their delign was to difturb the peace, and not to preferve it. But as Fosdick himself says, that, upon his refusing to move

out of his place, they parted and went by him, you will consider whether it is not more reasonable to suppose, that what he calls a push was an accidental touch owing to the numbers in the freet, rather than any thing purposely done to hurt him: and so with regard to the blow said to be given to Brower. But supposing the push purposely given by one of the party, and the blow by him or another of them, it will by no means be sufficient to prove a design in the whole party, to disturb the peace, nor will all of them be involved in the guilt of one or more of them that broke the peace, unless they assume a side of a betted him or them that did it; because they were assembled and sent forth for a different purpose, and a lawful one. (1) But if they were a lawful assembly when they got to the Custom-bouse, yet if afterwards they all agreed to do an unlawful act to the disturbance of the peace, and in prosecution of that design Maverich and the rest were killed, all that party will by law be chargable with each mortal stroke given by either of them, as though they all, had in fact given it.

And it is faid, that while they were at the Cuftom-boufe, before they fired, some of them attempted with their bayoness to stab every one they could come at, without any reason at all for so doing. Such conduct to be sure can neither be jusrified nor excused. But as the time was so very short, and fome of the witnesses declare the people were cronding upon the foldiers, and that they were moving their guns backwards and forwards crying fland off, fland off, without moving from their station, you will consider whether this may not be what other witnesses call an attempt to stab the people. But, be that as it may, if the party was a lawful affembly before, this not being the act of the whole, would not make it unlawful. The Council for the Crown infift, that the firing upon the people was an unlawful act, in disturbance of the peace, and as the party fired to near together, it must be suppoled they previously agreed to do it; that agreement made them an unlawful affembly, if they were not so before, and being fo when they fired, all are chargeable with the killing by any one or more of them. However just this reasoning may be, where there is no apparent cause for their firing, yet it will not hold good where there is. If each of the party had been at the fame instant so assaulted, as that it would

d

I

th

in

have justified his killing the affailant in defence of his own life, and thereupon each of them had at that fame inflant fired upon and killed the person that assaulted him, surely it would not have been evidence of a previous agreement to fire, or prove them to be an unlawful affembly; nor would it have been evidence of such agreement if the attack was not fuch as would justify the firing and killing, though it was fuch an affault as would alleviate the offence, and reduce it to manslaughter, fince there would be as apparent a cause of the firing in one case as in the other, and though not so good a cause, yet such an one as the law, in condescension to human frailty greatly regards .- You will therefore carefully confider what the feveral witnesses have sworn, with regard to the affault made upon the party of foldiers at the Custombouse, and if you thereupon believe they were, before, and at the time of, their firing attacked by fuch numbers, and in fuch a violent manner, as many of the witnesses have positively sworn, you will be able to affign a cause for their firing to near together, as they d'd, without supposing a previous agreement so to do. But it is said that if their firing as they did, don't prove a previous agreement to do it, yet it is good evidence of an actual abetment to fire, as one by firing encourages the others to do the like. As neither of the soldiers fired more than once, it is evident that he who fired last, could not thereby in fact, abet or encourage the firing of any of those who fired before him, and so it cannot be evidence of such abetment. And if he who fired first and killed, can justify it, because it was lawful for him to do so, furely that same lawful act cannot be evidence of an unlawful abetment. And though he who first fired and killed, may not be able to justify the doing it, yet if it appears he had such a cause for the killing as will reduce it to Manslaughter, it would be strange indeed if that same act should be evidence of his abetting another who killed without provocation, fo as to make him who fired first guilty of murder. The same may be faid as to all the intermediate hrings: and, as the evidence stands, I don't think it necessary to say how it would be in case the first person fired with little or no provocation. If therefore this party of soldiers, when at the Custom-bouse, were a lawful affembly and continued so untill they fired, and their firing was not an actual unlawful aberment of each other to fire, nor evidence of it, they cannot be faid to have in consideration of law killed those five persons or either of Bb

ne he p-de nd

ng

them, but it must rest on the evidence of the actual killing and, if so, neither of the prisoners can be found guilty thereof, unless it appears not only that he was of the party, but
that he in particular in fast did kill one or more of the persons stain. That the five persons were killed by the party
of foldiers or some of them, seems clear upon the evidence;

and indeed is not disputed

Some witnesses have been produced to prove that Montes. mery killed Attucks; and Langford swears that Killroy killed Gray, but none of the witnesses undertake to say that either of the other prisoners in particular killed either of the other three persons, or that all of them did it. On the contrary it: feems that one of the fix did not fire, and that another of them fired at a boy as he was running down the freete but miffed him (if he had killed him as the evidence stands, it would have been murder) but the witnesses are not agreed as to the person who fired at the boy, or as to him who did not fire at all. It is highly probable, from the places where the five persons killed fell and their wounds, that they were killed by the discharge of five several guns only. If you are upon. the evidence satisfied of that, and also that Montgomery killed Attucks, and Killroy Gray, it will thence follow that the other three were killed, not by the other fix prisoners, but by three of them only: and therefore they cannot all be found guilty of it. And as the evidence does not shew which three killed the three, nor that either of the fix in particular killed either. of the three, you cannot find either of the fix guilty of killing them or either of them. (g) If you are fatisfied, upon the evidence given you, that Montgomery killed Attucks, you will proceed to inquire whether it was justifiable, excusable, or felonious homicide, and if the latter whether it was maliciously done or not. As he is charged with murder, if the fact of killing be proved, all the circumstances of necessity or infirmity are to be fatisfactorily proved by him, unless they arife out of the evidence produced against him, for the law prefumeth the fact to have been founded in malice untill the contrary appears. (b)

You will therefore, carefully confider and weigh the whole of the evidence given you respecting the attack, made upon the party of soldiers in general, and upon Montgomery in particular. In doing it, you will observe the rules I have before

⁽g) 1 Hale 442 (b) Foster 255.

mentioned, and not forget the part that some of the witnesse took in this unhappy affair, and if upon the whole it appears to you, that Montgomery was attacked, in fuch a violent manner, as that his life was in immediate danger, or that he had fufficient reason to think it was, and he thereupon fired and killed Attucks, for the prefervation of his own life, it was justifiable homicide; and he ought to be acquitted. - If you do not believe that was the case, but upon the evidence are satisfied, that he was by that affembly, affaulted with clubs and other weaspons, and thereupon fired at the rioters and killed Attacks : then you ought to find him guilty of manslaughter only. But if upon the evidence you believe, that Montgomery, without being previously assaulted, fired, and killed Attucks: then you will find him guilty of murder. But you must know, that if this party of foldiers in general were pelted, with fnow-balls, pieces of ice and flicks, in anger, this, without more, amounts to an affault, not only upon those that were in fact struck. but upon the whole party; and is fuch an affault as will reduce the killing to manflaughter. And if you believe, what fome of the witnesses have fivorn, that the people around the foldiers, and many of them armed with clubs, crouded upon the foldiers, and with the cry of, "Ruth on, Kill them, Kill them, Knock them over," did in fact rush on, frike at them with their clubs, and give Montgomery fuch a blow, as to knock him down, as fome of the witnesses say, or to make him fally, or flagger as others fay-it will be fufficient to show, that his life was in immediate danger, or that he had sufficient reason to think sourced as alim blook ravan at "

It seems, a doctrine, has of late been advanced, "that foldiers while on duty, may upon no occasion subatever fire upon their sellow subjects, without the order of a civil magistrate." This may possibly account, for some of those who attacked the soldiers, saying to them, "You dare not fire, we know you dare not fire."—But it ought to be known, that the law doth not countenance such an absurd doctrine. A man by becoming a foldier, doth not thereby lose the right of self-defence which is founded in the law of nature. Where any one is, without his own default, reduced to such circumstances, as that the laws of society cannot avail him, the law considers him, "as fill in that instance under the protection of the law of nature." (i) This rule extends to soldiers as

Ping on raid to be n

e

e

⁽i) Foster 274, 5.

well as others; nay, while foldiers are in the immediate fervice of the King, and the regular discharge of their duty, they rather come within the reason, of civil officers and their affistants, and so are alike under the peculiar protection of the law.

If you are satisfied upon the evidence, that Killroy killed Grey, you will then enquire, whether it was justifiable, excusable or selonious homicide, and if the latter, whether it was with, or without malice. If the attack was upon the party of soldiers in general, and in the manner I have just mentioned, as some of the witnesses say it was, it is equally an assault upon all, whether all were in fact struck, or not, and makes no material difference, as to their respective right of siring: for a man is not obliged to wait until he is killed, or struck, before he makes use of the necessary means of self-defence. If the blows with clubs were, by an enraged multitude, aimed at the party in general, each one might reasonably think his own life in danger; for though he escaped the first blow, he might reasonably expect more would follow, and could have no assurance, that he should be so fortunate

tl

n

46

di

tie

m

tri

CO

w

lea

ftai

1

gar

pea

agr

as to escape all of them.

And therefore, I do not fee but that Killroy is upon the same footing with Montgomery; and your verdict must be the same as to both, unless what Hemmingway swears Killroy faid, or the affray at the rope-walk, or both, materially vary the cafe. Hemmingway swears, that he and Killroy were talking about the town's people and the foldiers, and that Killrey faid, " He never would miss an opportunity, when he had one, to fire on the inhabitants, and that he had wanted to have an opportunity, ever fince he landed." But he fays, he cannot remember what words immediately preceded or followed, or at what particular time the words were uttered, nor does he know whether Killroy was jocular, or not. If the witness is not mistaken as to the words, the speech was at least, very imprudent and foolish. However, if Killroy, either in jest or in earnest, uttered those words, yet if the affault upon him was fuch, as would justify his firing and killing, or alleviate it fo as to make it but manslaughter, that will not inhance the killing to murder. And though it has been fworn that Killroy and other foldiers had a quarrel with Gray and others, at the Rope-walk, a few days before the 5th March, yet it is not certain that Killroy then knew Gray, or aimed at him in particular: But if Gray encouraged the affault by clapping the

affailants on their backs, as Hinkley swears he did, and Killroy faw this and knew him to be one of those that were concerned in the affray at the Rope-walk, this very circumstance would have a natural tendency, to raise Killroy's passions, and throw him off his guard, much more than if the fame things had been done by another person. - In the tumult of passion the voice of reason is not heard, and it is owing to the allowance the law makes for such human frailty, that all unlawful voluntary homicide is not deemed murder. If there be " malice between A and B, and they meet cafually, A affaults B, and drives him to the wall, B in his own defence kills A, this is fe defendendo, and shall not be heightened by the former malice, into murder or homicide at large, for it was not a killing upon the account of the former malice, but upon a necessity imposed upon him by the affault of A."(k) So upon the same principle, where the affault is such as would make the killing but manslaughter, if there had been no previous quarrel, the killing ought to be attributed to the affault, unless the evidence clearly shews the contrary: an affault being known and allowed by law to be a provocation to kill, that will free the party from the guilt of murder; whereas neither words of reproach, nor actions expressive of contempt, " are a provocation to use such violence," (1) that is, the law doth not allow them to be, without an offault, fuch a provocation as will excuse the killing, or make it any thing less than murder.

Upon the same principle, where the assault is such, as makes the killing manslaughter, the killing ought to be attributed to the assault, unless the evidence clearly shows the

contrary.

This meeting of Killroy and Grey was casual upon the part of Killroy at least; he was lawfully ordered to the place where he was and had no right to quit his station without the leave of Capt. Preston; nor were any of the party obliged to retreat and give way to the rioters, but might lawfully stand and repel force by force.

It is needless for me to say what you ought to do with regard to the other six prisoners, in case they had gone to the Custom-bouse, not to protect the Sentry, but to disturb the peace, or after they had got there and before the siring had agreed so to do; or in case they had actually unlawfully abet-

⁽k) 1 Hale 479. (1) Kely. 131. Foster 290.

ted the killing: because none of these things have been testified, nor can any of them be deduced from any thing which has been given to you in evidence.

Having already faid much more upon this occasion, than I should have thought necessary in a like case, at any other

time, I shall add no more.

JUSTICE OLIVER.

Gentlemen of the fury,

This is the most solemn trial I ever sat in judgment upon. It is of great importance to the community in general, and of the last importance to the prisoners at the bar. I have not tired your patience and attention during the course of the trial, which have been highly commendable and seem to have been adequate to the importance of the cause.

The occasion of this trial is the loss of five of our fellow. subjects, who were killed on the evening of the 5th of March last: whether the prisoners at the bar are chargeable with their death or not, it is nevertheless our part to adore the divine conduct in this unhappy catastrophe, and to justify the

ways of GOD to Man.

Here are eight prisoners at the bar who are charged with the murder of those five persons, and whose lives or deaths depend upon your verdict. They are soldiers, but you are to remember that they are sellow-subjects also. Soldiers, when they act properly in their department, are an useful set of men in society, and indeed, in some cases, they are more useful than any other members of society, as we happily experienced in the late was, by the reduction of Canada, whereby our liberties and properties have been happily secured to us: and soldiers, Gentlemen, are under the protection of the same laws equally with any other of his Majesty's subjects.

tl

fo

10

pr

Sp

pu

yo

lon

wh

enc

cerr

the

bis

feere.

will

There have been attempts to prejudice the minds of the good people of this province against the prisoners at the bar, and I cannot help taking notice of one in particular, swhich included also an insult on this Court) published in one of the Weekly Papers the day before this trial was to have come on. I think I never saw greater malignity of heart expressed in any one piece; a malignity blacker than ever was expressed by the savages of the wilderness, for they are in the untutored state of nature and are their own avengers of wrongs done to them; but we are under the laws

of fociety, which laws are the avengers of wrongs done to us: I am forry I am obliged to fay it, but there are persons among us who have endeavoured to bring this Supreme Court of Law into contempt, and even to deflroy the Law itself: there may come a time when these persons themselves may want the protection of the law and of this Court, which they now endeavour to destroy, and which, if they succeed in their attempts, it may be too late for them to repair to for justice: but I trust, that the antient virtue and spirit of this people will return and the law be established ed on a firm basis. If you, Gentlemen, have seen or read any of the libels which have been published, and have imbibed prejudices of any fort, I do now charge you, in that facred Name which you have in the most solemn manner invoked for the faithful discharge of your present trust, to divest your minds of every thing that may tend to bias them in this cause: it is your duty to fix your eyes solely on the scales of juffice and as the law and evidence in either feale may preponderate, so you are to determine by your verdict.

Gentlemen, the prisoners at the bar are indicted, with others, for the murder of five different persons; viz. Carrol, for the murder of James Caldwell; Killroy, for the murder of Samuel Maverick; White, for the murder of Patrick Carr; Hartegan, for the murder of Samuel Gray; Warren, for the murder of Crispus Attucks. Observe, that the five prisoners I have now named, are severally charged as principals in the different supposed murders, and the others as aiding and abetting, which in the sense of the law makes the latter

principals in the fecond degree.

e

18

re

S,

ul

IFe.

01-

da,

red

of

y's

the

the

lar,

in

lave

eart

ever

are

ven-

laws

I should have given to you the definitions of the different species of homicide, but as my brother hath spoke so largely upon this subject, and hath produced so many and so indisputable authorisies relative thereto, I would not exhaust your patience which hath so remarkably held out during this long trial. But I would add one authority to the numbers which have been produced, not that it immediately relates to this case, but I the rather do it, because I see a mixt audience, and many from the country whom it more directly concerns: it is cited from the celebrated Ld. C. Just. HALE, by the great and upright Judge FOSTER, viz. If a person, drives his cart carlessly and it runs over a child in the street; if he have seen the child and yet drives on upon him, it is MURDER because wilfully done; here is the heart regardless of social duty: but if he

bad run cross the way and the cart run over the child before it was possible for the carter to make a slop, it is by MIS ADVENTURE.

The law that was given to Noah after the deluge, viz. Whofoever feeddeth Mans blood by Man shall his blood be shed. hath lately been urged in the most public manner very indiscriminately, without any of the softings of humanity, Mofes in his code of laws, mentions the same, though in different words, viz. He that killeth a man, he shall be put to death: but be pleased to remember Gentlemen, that Moses was the best Commentator on his own laws, and he hath publish. ed certain restrictions of this law, as, If one thrust another of batred that be die, the flager shall surely be put to death; but if be thrust bim suddenly without enmity, or cast a stone upon bim, not feeing bim fo that he die, in those cases there were cities of refuse appointed for the manslayer to flee to, that his life might be fafe: fo that to confirue that law to Noah firicily, is only to gratify a blood-thirsty revenge, without any of those allowances for human frailties which the law of nature and the English law also make.

I would recommend to you, Gentlemen, in order to your forming a just verdict in this cause, to satisfy yourselves in the first place, whether or not the prisoners at the bar were an unlawful affembly when they were at the Cuftom-bouse for on that much depends their guilt or innocence. That they were nigh the Custom-bouse when the five persons mentioned in the indicaments were killed, you can have no doubt, for it is conceded. Inquire then how they came there. Now, two officers, viz. Capt. Majon and Capt. OHara have sworn that a Sentinel was placed at the Custom-bouse, by orders of the commanding officer to protect the King's monies, and that it is at his peril if he ftirs from his duty: it appears by divers witnesses that this Sentinel was attacked and called for aid; upon which a party confifting of the prisoners at the bar with an officer at their head, went down to protect him: they were under obligation by act of parliament to obey their commanding officer; and thus far, being at their post constituted them a lawful affembly.

Confider next, whether those who were collected around the prisoners at the bar, were a lawful or unlawful assembly; and in order to satisfy yourselves, weigh the evidence that hath been offered impartially. But I cannot help taking notice in this place, that some delicacy hath been used at the bar, in calling those people a mob. Mob is only a contraction of a Latin word which signifies a tumultuous croud gathered; but I shall use a legal phrase, and call such a croud a riotous assemble.

bly, if the found is more agreeable than mob.

As my brother Trembridge has been very full in his remarks upon the evidence, and as you Mr. Foreman have wrote down from the witnesses mouths what they testified, which is somewhat uncommon, and for which you are to be applauded, I shall therefore only make a few remarks on those I think the most material testimonies, not beginning in the order of examination, but in the order of time.

Thomas Simmons fays, that betwixt eight and nine o'clock on that unhappy evening, (which was before the firing, for the firing was not till between nine and ten) he heard people at the Sugar-house barracks, which are called Murray's barracks, say, if the foldiers would not come out and fight them, they would fet fire to the four corners of the barracks, and burn every damn'd soul in them; that there was a considerable number of them

armed with cutlaffes, fwords, and flicks.

1,

f

e

1,

(e)

e

ur .

ne :

an

on

re

he

n-

ffi-

a

m-

t 18

ers

id;

rith

vere

nd-

nem

und

oly;

that

no-

bar,

William Davis is the next witness I shall take notice of; he is a gentleman who is a stranger to me, but whose character flands unimpeached in this Court, and who hath given a diftinct testimony of what passed under his notice: he says, that about eight o'clock, he faw about two bundred in Forefireet, armed with different weapons, threatening to knock down the first soldier or bloody back they should meet; some proposed to go to the fouthward and join their friends there, and drive all the foldiers out of town. At Dock fquare, about nine o'clock, be fays be Saw numbers in the market tearing up the stalls, and saying, damn the dogs, where are they now? let us go and kill that damned scoundrel of a Sentry, and then attack the Main-guard; others faid, Smith's barracks. At Oliver's dock, he fays, be faw mo with clubs: one man was loading his piece, and laid he would do for some of them scoundrels that night, and said, damn the villains, scoundrels, Soldiers, and Commissioners, and damn the villain who full sent them here, they should not remain here above two days

Allow me, Gentlemen, to make a pause at this last part of the evidence, viz. Damn the villain who first sent them bere; and make an observation which I am forry for the occasion of, the expression having been justified. I venture to assume that man a villain who uttered it, for it was his Majesty who sent them here, and here they were fixed by his order and authority.

Cc

Dr. Hirons, who lives near to Murray's barracks, hath told you, that a little after eight o'clock, he faw people coming from Dock-square, and heard the words, Town-born turn out, twenty or thirty times, and the people encreasing. He mentions the altercations between the officers and inhabitants, and a little man talking with an officer, saying, You know the town and country bave been used ill, we did not send for you, we won't have you bere; ave'll get rid of you, or drive you away; and that then about two thirds of them went off and said, let's go to the

Main-guard, buzza for the Main-guard.

Dr. Jeffries says, that about eight o'clock he saw the passage to Murray's barracks silled with inhabitants, who, with ill language dared the soldiers to sight: he imagines there were seventy or eighty people, and but three soldiers, and that when the officers were endeavouring to appease the people, snowballs were slung at them; and that when they told the people that the soldiers were secured in their barracks, and could not come out, somebody replied, You mean they dare not come out, you dare not let them. Some then said home, others said, no, we shall find some in King-freet, others said we'll go to the Main-guard. Dr. Jeffries hath been so distinct and particular, that you cannot but remember his testimony.

As to the pains which have been taken to exculpate this town from being concerned in the fatal action of that night, they feem to me to have been unnecessary. It is true, there hath been, in times past, no place more remarkable for order and good government than this town; but as it is a sea-port town, and of great trade, it is not to be wondered at, that the inhabitants of it should be infected with disorder as well as

other populous places.

James Selkrig, with three others, fay, that before the bells rang, they faw, not far from Murray's barracks, a large number armed with different weapons; some of them say, nigh two bundred: that some of the people had been repulsed from the barracks, and after that, a tall man with a red cloak and white wig talked to the people, who listened to him, and then huzzaed for the Mainguard. I cannot but make this observation on the tall man with a red cloak and white wig, that, whoever he was, if the huzzaing for the Main-guard, and then attacking the soldiers, was the consequence of his speech to the people, that tall man is guilty in the sight of GOD, of the murder of the five persons mentioned in the indictment, and altho' he may never be brought to a court of justice here; yet, unless he speedily slies

to the city of refuge, the supreme avenger of innocent blood,

will furely overtake him.

John Gridley hath told you, that he heard numbers before the Town-bouse say, GOD damn the rascals, some said, this will never do, the readiest way to get rid of those people, is to attack the Main-guard, strike at the root, this is the nest: others replied, damn you, that's right. All this was before the soldiers had formed.

It would be too tedious to recite the numbers of testimonies to prove a design to attack the soldiers: I have selected a few, which seem to prove the intent, for there are no less than thirty-eight witnesses to this fact, fix of whom the council for the King have produced. Compare them Gentlemen, and then determine whether or not there is any room to doubt of the numbers collected around the soldiers at the Custom-bouse, being

a riotous affembly.

T

rt

16

16

15

er.

1-

ts,

10

21-

an .

he,

an

ns

be

ies

I will return now to the foldiers and view their behaviour whilst they were going upon duty at the Custom-bouse, and whilst they were there. As they were going from the Main-guard to their post, to support the Sentry, (who by the way behaved with a good temper of mind, in endeavouring to avoid a difpute, by attempting to get into the Custom bouse, which he was by no means obliged to do,) I fay, as they were going down, Nathaniel Fosdick says, they bid him make way, but he refused: instead of forcing him to give way, he says, they gave way to him, and passed to their post; when they got there, they loaded; and John Gridley fays, that, whilft they were loading. he paffed between the files, and they put up their guns to let bim pafs. I cannot find, upon examining the tellimonies, that any one foldier flirred from his post, and indeed it might have been fatal to him to have broke his orders; but on the contrary. it hath been faid, that had they stepped forward, they might have killed the people, but that they only pushed their bayonets as they flood, to keep off the people who were preffing on them; at the same time, bidding them keep off.

Now consider whether the prisoners had any just provocation to fire upon the inhabitants, for that some of them did fire, you were be in no doubt. There are twenty-five witnesses who have sworn to ice, snow-balls, slicks, &c. being thrown at the prisoners, ten of whom, are witnesses for the Crown. There are nigh thirty witnesses, who have sworn to words of provocation attered against the prisoners, as daring them to fire, and threatening to kill them; but you must remember that

Ccz

words only, are no provocation in law to justify the killing of a person; but if threatening expressions are attended with an attempt on the life of a man, in such a case a killing may be justified; and if any such facts appear in this trial, you must consider them thoroughly. And here, I would take notice of the testimonies of some of the witnesses, viz. that although they were close to the soldiers, they saw nothing of any kind thrown at them, nor heard any buzza, or a threatening; nay, one witness is so distinct as to tell, in a cloud of smoak, which guns killed the different persons. I know not how to account for such testimonies, unless by the witnesses being affrighted, which some of them say they were not: they themselves perhaps may satisfy their own minds.

Dr. Jeffries relates an account which he had from Patrick Carr, one of the deceased, who on his death bed repeatedly told him and confirmed it but a few days before he died, that he went with a design against the soldiers, that the soldiers were pelted as they were going to their post, that he thought they were abused, and that they would really have fired before, for he heard many voices cry out, kill them, and that he thought they fired to desend themselves: that he forgawe, and did not blame the man, whosever he was, that shot him; that he blamed himself for going to the riot, and might have known better, for he had seen soldiers called to quell riots, but never saw any hear half so much before. This Carr was not upon oath, it is true, but you will determine, whether a man, just stepping into evernity, is not to be believed, especially in savour of a set of men by whom he had lost his life.

Ye have one difficulty to solve, Gentlemen; and that is, that there were five persons killed, and here are eight soldiers charged with murdering them. Now one witness says, that the Corporal did not fire, and Thomas Wilkinson says, that the guns of the third or fourth man from the eighth slashed, so that there are two guns of eight not discharged, and yet it is taid sevin were fired. This evinces the uncertainty of some of the testimonies. My brother Trowbridge hath explained the distinct ty of charging any one prisoner with killing any one particular person, and hath adduced an authority from Lord Chief sustice Hale, to support him; so that this maxim of haw cannot be more justly applied, than in this case, viz. That it is better that ten guilty persons escape, than one innocent person suffer: indeed as to two of the prisoners, there is no great doubt of their firing, namely, Montgomery and Killrey. As

to Montgomery, it feems to be agreed that he was on the right, and Riebard Palmes says, that a piece of ice or a flick flruck bis gun before he fired: and Andrew, Mr. Wendell's Negro-man, (of whom his master gives a particular and good character) is very distinct in his account: and he says, that a sout man struck the grenadier on the right, first on his gun and then on his head, and also kept his bayonet in his left hand; and then a cry of kill the dogs, knock them over; upon which he soon fired: Here take the words and the blows together, and then say, whether this

firing was not justifiable.

As to Killrey, there have been stronger attempts to prove him guilty of murder than any other. Two witnesses have sworn, that his bayonet was bloody next morning; but nothing hath been offered in evidence to prove how it came fo; I have only one way to account for it; if it was bloody, viz. that by pushing to keep off Nathaniel Fosdick it might become so by wounding him in the breaft and arm. Nicholas Ferreter, who the week before beat one of the soldiers at the Rope-walks, says further, that Killroy was then at the Rope walks; but at the fame time he fays, that Killroy uttered no threatenings, but only daring the Rope-makers to come out. But Samuel Hemming way fays, that some time before the 5th March, he heard Killroy fay, that he would not miss an opportunity of firing on the inhabitants. How the conversation was had, whether it was maliciously spoke, or was jocole talk, doth not so fully appear, but it would be extream hard to connect fuch discourse with this transaction; especially, as his being at the Customhouse was not voluntary, but by order of his officer.

Thus Gentlemen, I have as concifely as I could, without doing injustice to the cause, summed up the evidence to you: I was afraid of being tedious, otherwise I should have more

minutely confidered it.

1

t

3

0

is

of

18

rd

of

at nt

10

As

If upon the whole, by comparing the evidence, ye should find that the prisoners were a lawful assembly at the Custom-bouse, which ye can be in no doubt of, if you believe the witnesses, and also that they behaved properly in their own department whilst there, and did not fire till there was a necessity to do it in their own defence, which I think there is a violent presumption of: and if, on the other hand, ye should find that the people who were collected around the soldiers, were an unlawful assembly, and had a design to endanger, if not to take away their lives, as seems to be evident, from blows succeeding threatenings; ye must, in such case, acquit the pri-

MES.

foners; or if upon the whole, ye are in any reasonable doubt of their guilt, ye must then, agreeable to the rule of law, de-

clare them innocent.

As I said at first, this cause is of the last importance to the prisoners, their lives or deaths depend upon your verdict; and may you be conducted by the SUPREME WISDOM to return such an one, as that your hearts may not reproach you so long as you live, and as shall acquit you at that tribunal, where the inmost recesses of the human mind shall be fully disclosed.

[Each of the other Justices also summed up the evidence to the Jury very particularly, and gave their opinions of the construction of law upon the evidence; but as they differed in no material point, from the two Justices, (who according to the custom of the Court) spoke first, they thought it unnecessary to make public what was severally delivered by them.]

After the Court had summed up the Cause, the Jury withdrew for about two hours and an half, and then returned to the Court.

Clert of the Court. Gentlemen of the Jury, are you all agreed in your verdict?

Fury. Yes.

Clerk. Who shall speak for you?

Jury. Our Foreman.

Clerk. William Wemms, hold up your hand. (which he did) Gentlemen of the Jury, look upon the prisoner: How say you, is William Wemms guilty of all or either of the selonies or murders whereof he stands indicted, or not guilty?

G

YO

h

ed

Ge

18

Whe

Jury. Not guilty.

Clerk. Hearken to your verdict, as the Court hath recorded it. You upon your oaths do fay, that William Wemms is not guilty, and so you say all.

Clerk. James Hartegan, hold up your hand. (aubich he did)
Gentlemen of the Jury, look upon the prisoner: How say
you, is James Hartegan guilty of all or either of the felonies or
murders whereof he stands indicted, or not guilty?

Jury. Not guilty.

Clerk. Hearken to your verdict, as the Court hath recorded it. You upon your oaths do say, that James Hartegan is

not guilty, and so you say all.

Clerk. William M. Cauley, hold up your hand. (which he did) Gentlemen of the Jury, look upon the prisoner: How say you, is William M. Cauley guilty of all or either of the felonies or murders whereof he stands indicted, or not guilty?

Glerk. Hearken to your verdict, as the Court hath recorded it. You upon your oaths do fay, that William M Cauley is

not guilty, and fo you fay all.

Clerk. Hugh White, hold up your hand. (which he did) Gentlemen of the Jury, look upon the prisoner: How say you, is Hugh White guilty of all or either of the selonies or murders whereof he stands indicted, or not guilty?

Jury. Not guilty.

clerk. Hearken to your verdict, as the Court hath resorded it. You upon your oaths do fay, that Hugh White is not guilty, and so you say all.

Gentlemen of the Jury, look upon the prisoner: How say you, is Matthew Killrey guilty of ail or either of the felonies, or murders whereof he stands indicted, or not guilty?

Jury. Not guilty of murder, but guilty of manslaughter.

Clerk. Hearken to your verdict, as the Court hath recorded it. You upon your oaths do say, that Matthew Killroy is not guilty of murder, but is guilty of manslaughter, and so you say all.

Clerk. William Warren, hold up your hand. (which he did) Gentlemen of the Jury, look upon the prisoner: How say you, is William Warren guilty of all or either of the selonies or hurders whereof he stands indicted, or not guilty?

Jury. Not guilty.

Clerk. Hearken to your verdict, as the Court hath recorded it. You upon your oaths do fay, that William Warren is not guilty, and fo you fay all.

Clerk. John Carrol, hold up your hand. (which be did) Gentlemen of the Jury, look upon the prisoner: How say you, is John Carrol guilty of all or either of the selonies or murders whereof he stands indicted, or not guilty?

Jury. Not guilty.

d-

Chrk. Hearken to your verdict, as the Court hath recorded it. You upon your oaths do fay, that John Carrol is not guilty, and fo you fay all.

Clerk. Hugh Montgomery, hold up your hand. (which be did) Gentlemen of the Jury, look upon the prisoner: How fay you, is Hugh Montgomery guilty of all or either of the felonies or murders whereof he stands indicted, or not guilty ?

Jury. Not guilty of murder, but guilty of manslaughter. Clerk. Hearken to your verdict, as the Court hath recorded it. You upon your oaths do fay, that Hugh Montgomery is not guilty of murder, but guilty of manslaughter, and so you fay all.

B B

B

C

C

C

C

C

Co

D D D

Da

Fo

Fe

Gil Go

Gra

Gri

Hil

[Wemms, Hartegan, M'Cauley, White, Warren, and Carrol. were immediately discharged; Killroy and Montgomery, prayed the Benefit of Clergy, which was allowed them, and thereupon they were each of them burnt in the hand, in open Court, and discharged.] of the training of the training the feloning

to the property of the control of th

Diving a floring to xiy to the deal of the Sea

et entra month of the rel of the miss and

marcar ber a girly of day and any to you

to trything that is the military of

and a literal argument of the second

categories would be get Cours been retord.

the man vertical, to got Challed Leak records all or to do long that he what Warren is not

the so there is the second tent cit vers carried to the state

Personal of special in that to success

INDEX to the WITNESSES. Auffin Jonathan Williams 10 Hill John Efg: Archibald Francis 19 Hunter William Appleton Nathaniel 50 Hughes Shubael Andrew, (a Negroe) 111 Hirons Dr. Richard Appleton John 51 Hinkley Joseph Bridgeham Ebenezer 11 Hall Thomas 128 Brewer James 20 Jefferies Dr. John 124 Bailey James 24 Kneeland Bartholomew 49 Bass Jedediah 32 Knight Thomas 90 Burdick Benjamin 45 Keaton Patrick 101 Bowman Archibald 88 Knox Henry 104 Bulkley John 105 Langford Edward G. Botson William 107 Lee Benjamin Blifs Theodore 129 Marshall Thomas Bass Henry 131 Mitchelson David 83 Clark Samuel 16 Murray Matthew 118 Croswell Joseph 53 Mansfield John 123 Carter James 53 Mason Capt. Edward 128 Crawford James 80 O'Hara Capt. Barbason 120 Cookson John Our Palmes Richard Prince Newton, (Negroe) 110 28 Cookson John Cruckshanks Alexander 99 Parker William Carter Lieut. William 100 Payne Edward 132 Ruffel Nathaniel 104 102 Cox John Cornwall Daniel 109 Ruddock John Efg; 110 Dodge James 14 Simpson Josiah 37 Danbrooke John 30 Short John 84 Davies Benjamin 85 Selkrig James 87 Dixon William 89 Strong William 9I Davies Benjamin, junt. 97 Symmonds Thomas 119 Davies Wm. Sergt. Major 101 Stewart John 120 Foldick Nathaniel 40 Thayer Nathaniel Ferriter Nicholas 44 Thomson James Frost John 107 Townshend Gregory Esq; 111 Field Catherine 123 Wilkinson Thomas Gooll Archibald 81 Williams Robert Gillespie John 89 Wilson Archibald Goldfinch Capt. John of Waddel James 108

Gray Harrison jung. 118 Wendel Oliver 115

Gridley John 121 Whittingdon William Hemmingway Samuel 41 Willis Charles

Hiller Joseph

APPENDIX

ANY Gentlemen having expressed a desire, that some account of the evidence as it appeared on the trial of Edward Manwarring, John Munro, Hammond Green, and Thomas Greenwood, should be made public, we have, for the satisfaction of such, who wish to be made acquainted with the real state of facts respecting the above sour persons, inserted by way of appendix from the minutes of a gentleman who attended the trial, the substance of what the witnesses produced, as well on the part of the Crown, as of the prisoners, testified on that trial.

The pritoners were charged by the Grand Jary, with being present, aiding, abetting and affisting, &c. William Warden in the murder of Crispus Attacks; as is at large set forth in the indicament, at the beginning of the preceed.

ing trial.

This trial came on the 12th day of December last, and (Jonathan Sewall, Esq; the King's Attorney, being absent) Samuel Quincy, Esq; conducted the cause on the part of the Crown.

After the Jury were impaneled, Mr. Quincy opened the facts on which he expected to support the charge against the prisoners; and then called the following witnesses.

Samuel Drowne, son of Mr. I bomas Drowne of Boston, Tin-

I know all the prisoners: I was in King-street on the evening of the 5th March, when the party of soldiers at the Custom-bouse fired on the people assembled in King street.—

I then saw two stashes from the Custom-bouse, but who fired them cannot tell, one of the stashes came from the balcony of the Custom-bouse, and the other from a chamber window of the Custom-bouse, the second window from the corner of Royal-exchange-lane, and the third from the balcony; I saw a person in the balcony, with something in his hand, a gun or pistol I saw the stash, but I heard no report, when I saw this stash, it was at the time the soldiers were string; I did not think it a time to stay and hear the report, but I saw him after the stash go from the balcony into the house, he went in stooping. When I saw the stash, I was standing in the middle of King-street between Quaker-lane and Royal-exchange-

iat

he

en

nt-

or

fa

at

D,

という

fet -

d-

nd

nt)

he

he

nft

EJ

in-

en-

the

red/

ny

OW

of

aw

nu

aw)

iot

ent

the

ge-

lane. I also saw a stash from the middle window of the Custom bouse chamber, between the balcony and Royal-exchange-lane, I cannot tell whether this stash came from a gun or a pistol, the muzzel was thrust out of the window above a yard, the stash was inside. I don't know that either of the prisoners were in the balcony, or at the Custom-bouse that night. The stash I saw at the window, was inside, and as near the glass as the length of a man's hand. The distance between the two stashes was a quarter of a minute.—I did not see any stash from the muzzels of either of their guns or pistols, but the stash at the window blaz'd up half a yard, the window was open two or three inches, I saw no person at the window.

Gillam Bafs, Upholfter, froom.

I was in King-freet on the evening of the 5th March last, faw the soldiers fire,—two or three slashes seemed four or five feet higher than the rest. I saw no firing from the Custom-house, nor any person in the balcony or at the windows. I did not look there. I stood near Mr. Stone's steps.

Timothy White, Stationer, Sworn.

He was called in support of Drowne's character. He swore Samuel Drowne lived with him two years, and during that time attended his shop; that he never observed any thing to impeach his veracity or understanding.—Some people thought him foolish.

Charles Bourgat, a French boy, born at Bourdeux, servant to

Mr. Manwarring, Sworn.

I am an apprentice to Mr. Edward Manwarring. On the evening of the 5th March last, I was at Mr. Hudjon's in Back fireet, at the North-end, where my maffer then lodged," Mr. Hudson and his wife were at home; when the bells rung I ran into King-fireet, and to the door of the Custome bouse which was on a jarr partly open, and a young man one Green, he with one eye, (pointing to Hammond Green) opened the door and pulled me in; two or three gentlemen came down stairs, and one of them a tall man, pulled me up stairs, and said to me, you must fire, the tall man gave me a gun, and faid to me " if you don't fire I'll kill you."-I went up stairs and stood by a front window in the chamber, and the tall man loaded two guns with two balls each, and I fired them both; as foon as I had fired one gun, he, the tall man, faid again to me, "if you don't fire I will kill D d 2

you." He had a cane with a fword in it in his hand, and compelled me to fire both the guns. After I had fired thefe two guns, Mr. Manwarring fired one gun also out of the fame window. The tall man loaded the three guns, and I fee him put the balls in each of them and heard them go down. The two guns I fired, I pointed up the fireet and in the air. When my master Mr. Manavarring pointed his gun out of the window I was in the room, but went out and was on the stairs before his gun went off. I heard it, but did not see it. As soon as I had fired, the tall man took me down stairs, and faid he would give me money if I would not tell: I replied, I did not want any money, but if I was called before the Justices, I would tell the truth There were a great many people in the house, and a number of people round me in the chamber where I fired, I can't tell the precise number, but there were more than ten, Mr. Munro and Hammond Green were in the house below flairs, Mr. Manwarring was in the chamber when all the three guns were loaded and fired, there was the space of a mi. nute and an half between the second gun I fired, and the third which my Master fired. There was a candle in the chamber, but I cannot tell whether there were one or two windows in it. When I came up into the chamber, there were two guns in it, I fired twice out of the same gun, but I cannot tell whether Mr. Manwarring fired the same gun I did. At the time I and my master fired, the street below. was full of people, and the mob were throwing sticks, fnow-balls, &c. It was pretty dark, but I don't know but there might be a little moon. I can't tell whether the guns my master and I fired, were fired before or after the firing by the foldiers. When I went from Mr. Hudson's to the Custom-bouse, I passed through the lane that leads from the Market to the Custom-bouse, (Royal exchange lane) and I did not fee the Sentry box or any foldiers near the Custom-bouse; there were many people round there in the street. Immediately after I went down flairs, I went out of the house and faw a great number of people throwing fnow-balls and flicks, but I faw no foldiers. I returned to Mr. Hudfon's house, Mr. Hudson and his wife were then at home, and no other person in the house. Upon being again asked where he was when be heard the report of his matter's gun? Say, he was quite down flairs. Upon being asked whether he was not foon after his commitment taken out of goal and

examined by Mr. Molineux? Says, he was in the goal-er's house with Mrs. Otis the prison-keeper's wife, Mr. Wallis, deputy sheriff, and Mr. Molineux, and that the latter told him to tell the truth: and that this was previous to his examination before the Justices.

Mr Quincy declared he had no further evidence to produce on the part of the Crown, The prisoners defired the following witnesses might be sworn and examined, which was done accordingly.

Mr. Edward Paine, George Bethune, and Edward Davis, Merchants, and Harrison Gray, junior, they testify they stood opposite the Custom-bouse on the evening of the 5th of March last, when the firing was in King fireet, that their faces were towards the Custom-bouse. That the moon shone strong on the house, that they did not see the balcony door of the Customhouse open that evening, or any person in the balcony, or any window in the front of the house open, or guns fired from either the balcony or any of the windows in the front of the house, and are fully fatisfied no such thing could have hap-That they pened that evening without their observing it. stood opposite the Custom bouse in full view of it from the time the foldiers first came down there until all the guns were discharged. That they are satisfied the whole of the firing was from the ffreet, and that all above was quiet, and no light in any of the front rooms of the Custom-bouse. Mr. Davis added, that foon after the firing he faw two women standing at the chamber window of the Custom-house, which is next to Royal-Exchange-lane, with their hands under their aprons, in the posture of spectators.

1

e

1-

le ne A

10

ut I

W,

ut ns

ng

he he

lid fe;

di-

nd ind

m's no

ere

y.,

he ind Elizabeth Avery, Sworn.

I lived with Mr. Bartbolomew Green at the Custom-bouse on the 5th of March last, and when the noise was in the street, before the house I went with (Hammond Green the prisoner at the bar) Nancy Green and Mary Rogers up into that chamber of the house, which is next to Royal-exchange-lane and right over the Sentry-box as it then stood, and from the west window in that room faw the party of foldiers come down from the Main-guard to the Sentry; I tarried in this room till the firing was all over, and the soldiers had returned from whence they came. There was no other person in this room, (except them I have mentioned) during the whole time of my being there, but I homas Greenwood who came in and went out again in a minute. Nor was there any gun or pistol, or candle in the room. Nor was the door of the balcony or any of the windows of the chamber opened that evening to my knowledge, and I verily believe they were not. The Frinch Boy, who has just been sworn in this Court was not there that evening, nor did I ever see him there in my life. Nor was Mr. Manwarring or Mr Munroe there on that evening.

Ann Green daughter of Mr. Bartholomew Green, was then fworn, and confirmed in every particular the testimony of Elizabeth Avery, the preceeding witness.

Elizabeth Hudson, the wife of Mr. John Hudson, with whom Mr. Manwarring boarded on the evening of the 5th of

March last, was fworn

She deposed that on the evening of the 5th of March, Mr. Edward Manwarring was at her house, in Back-street at the northerly part of the town, together with Mr. John Munroe and one Mr. Warwell, that the French Boy, Charles Bourgat was also there. That when the bells rung, she, Mr. Manwarring and the two Gentlemen who were with him, went to a window fronting the flreet to inquire where the fire was, supposing that occasioned the ringing of the bells. That hearing from the conversation in the street there was no fire but some disturbance, they all left the window, and Messis. Manwarring, Munroe and Warwell, went into Mr. Manwarring's chamber. That her this deponent's husband was out of town that evening, and the being afraid to be alone went into Mr Manwarring's room, and tarried there until all the bells were done ringing, and the disturbance was all over. That Mr. Manwarring called his fervant boy Charles Bourgas up into the room where the deponent and the others were, and kept him there the whole evening, until after the bells had all ceased ringing, and until after ten o'clock. That the is certain the French boy Charles Bourgat, was not out of her fight five minutes at a time, from the time the bells began to ring until after ten o'clock. Nor does the know that he went out of the house during that night. That Messrs. Manwarring, Munroe and Warwell, were at her house from the dusk of evening until about half after ten o'clock, and did not go out of the house during that whole time. That Mr. Munroe about half after

ten o'clock said he would go home to his sodgings, which Mr. Manwarring endeavoured to dissuade him from, telling him he might be molested, as he went thro' the street; He Munroe however went away, but Mr. Manwarring and Mr. Warwell tarried in the house all night,

The Court then inquired of the boy, whether he heard and understood what Mrs. Hudfon had testified, he said yes, and that her account was false, and that what he himself had now declared in Court, was the truth and nothing but the truth.

Mr. John Lowell, Mr. Edward Marriot, Mr. Ellwin of Quebec, and Mr. Philip Dumaresque were then sworn as interpreters, and the boy was re-examined in French, and again affirmed in French to said interpreters, all the material parts of his testimony as he had given it in English before.

James Penny was then called and fworn.

e

90

21

7-

IS,

at

ire

rs.

27

of

Mr

an-

om

ere

ench'

s at

ten

oule

and

ouse

after

ne y

He deposed that he was in goal in Boston for debt, that while there Charles Bourgat the French boy, voluntarily, and without any threats or persuasion declared to him, and one Elisha Ingraham then also a prisoner for debt in said goal-"That what he testified to the Grand Jury and before the Inflices on his examination with regard to his mafter Edward Manwarring, and John Munroe, their firing out of the Cufforbouse on the evening of the 5th of March last, was in every particular falle, and that he did fwear in that manner by the persuasion of William Molineux, who told him he would take him from his master and provide for him, and that Mr. Molineux frightened him by telling him if he refuled to fwear against his master and Mr. Monro, the mob in Boston would kill him: and farther that Mrs. Waldron, the wife of Mr. Waldron a taylor in Back-fireet, who fells gingerbread and drams, gave him the faid Charles gingerbread and cheefe, and defired him to swear against his master." The said Charles further declared, " that neither he nor his master Edward Manwarring were at the Custom-house at any time on the evening of the 5th of March last.

Charles Bourgat the French boy positively denied he ever made any such declaration to Mr. James Penny or any other person; and desired that one Wm. Page a cabinet-maker, who was then also prisoner for debt in the said goal, might be called and examined relative to the conduct of said Penny, in procuring this declaration,—Page was accordingly sworn.

He deposed that some time after Manwarring and Munroe we indicted, he faw James Penny the former witness at the winder of the room where Charles Bourgat was confined, on the back fide of the goal, that Penny had a pen in his hand, a page laying before him, and was talking earnestly and roughly the boy. That he this deponent heard Mr. Penny speak quic to the boy, and asked him " Is this not true," but that he could not hear what the boy faid, though he liftened -On being asked, whether he ever saw the boy carried out of the goal t Mr. Otis the prison-keeper's house by Mr. Molineus to be examined, faid that after Penny had told of this confession of the nows. Mr. Molineux did come to the goal and the boy was taken out and carried into Mr. Otis's house, and there declared he never made the confession or declaration Penny pretended. and also Mr. Molineux never urged or required him to say any thing but the truth.

The Jury acquitted all the Prisoners, without going from their Stats. hipdon ist with the

adel anon finglik bety ere seekel, collected in ee all Land all all alua actions oblivers bus collected in order a partiet being on the franch out of mit it was to Detailable on the dament would be fundament on an and the state of the state of the state of the state of with a cold for targing of the forest that a cold and the state of t additional to a standard and the standard and the e et the thought on any time on the same of the ter

what he rel of its the boated long and larger than LANGE TABLE OF WITH A PROPERTY OF STANDARD AND A PARTY OF THE

word by the winding and the time to be the

The design of the state of the

The Aller of the Property of the Control of the Con evening at the complete of the second to second wife and a the de had to said a brief and a brief